EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.



Lenz Oil Settling Respondents

Remedial Investigation Report Lenz Oil Service, Inc. Site Lemont, Illinois

Volume 6 of 6

Revision 1

October 1992

Project No. 0252

Environmental Resources Management-North Central, Inc. 540 Lake Cook Road, Suite 300 Deerfield, Illinois 60015



Lenz Oil Settling Respondents

Remedial Investigation Report Lenz Oil Service, Inc. Site Lemont, Illinois

Volume 6 of 6

Revision 1

October 1992

Project No. 0252

APPENDIX O

QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW OF PHASE I GROUND WATER AND NAPL RESULTS

Environmental Standards, Inc.

Specialists in Environmental Kish Assessment -> Hydrogeonomens Into Validation

34). Contains a full offster Contain 122 Anna Gorga (Prochains) in America 13, 1940 for $2 \le 5 \times 10^{127}$

QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

OF THE LENZ OIL SITE

June 28, 1991

Prepared for:

ERM-NORTH CENTRAL, INC.

102 Wilmot Road Suite 300 Deerfield, IL 60015

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS, INC.

1220 Valley Forge Road P.O. Box 911 Valley Forge, PA 19481



Environmental Standards, Inc.

Specialist in Environmental Risk Assessment Hydrogeology and Data Validation

QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

OF THE LENZ OIL SITE

June 28, 1991

Prepared for:

ERM-NORTH CENTRAL, INC.

102 Wilmot Road Suite 300 Deerfield, IL 60015

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS, INC.

1220 Valley Forge Road P.O. Box 911 Valley Forge, PA 19481

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

Section 1 Quality Assurance Review

- A. Organic Data
- B. Inorganic Data
- C. Conclusions

Section 2 Analytical Results

- A. Organic Data
- B. Inorganic Data

Introduction

This quality assurance review is based upon a review of all data generated from the 35 samples which were collected from May 2 through May 9, 1991 as part of the Lenz Oil RI/FS. The samples that have undergone a rigorous quality assurance review are listed on Table 1.

This review has been performed with guidance from the "Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analyses" (U.S. EPA, 1988) and the "Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses" (U.S. EPA, 1988).

The reported analytical results are presented as a summary of the data in Section 2. Data were examined to determine the usability of the analytical results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to analytical requirements and data package deliverables specified in the EPA's Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) protocols. Qualifier codes have been placed next to results so that the data user can quickly assess the qualitative and/or quantitative reliability of any result. Details of this quality assurance review are presented in the narrative section of this report. This report was prepared to provide a critical review of the laboratory analyses and reported chemical results. Rigorous quality assurance reviews of laboratory-generated data routinely identify various problems associated with analytical measurements, even from the most experienced and capable laboratories. The nature and extent of problems identified in this critical review should not be interpreted to mean that those results that do not have qualifier codes are less than valid.

TABLE 1

SAMPLES INCLUDED IN THIS QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

ERM-North Central Sample Number	Laboratory Sample Number	Date of Sample Collection	Fractions Analyzed
LO-1G102D-GW	111897-1	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G102L-GW	111897-2	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G101D-GW	111897-3	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G101M-GW	111897-4	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G101L-GW	111897-5	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW01D-GW	111897-6	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW01S-GW	111897-7	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW03S-GW	111897-8	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW03D-GW	111897-9	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW03S-FD	111897-10	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1GW1-FB	111897-11	5/6/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-GW6-TB	111897-12	5/2/91	V
LO-1MW04D-GW	111908-1	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G104D-GW	111908-2	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1GW2-FB	111908-3	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW07D-GW	111908-4	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW07S-GW	111908-5	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW02D-GW	111908-6	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW05S-GW	111908-7	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW05S-FD	111908-8	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G104L-GW	111908-9	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW05D-GW	111908-10	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G106DR-GW	111908-11	5/7/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1G106DR-MS/MSD	111908-12	5/7/91	V
LO-1GW-TB1	111908-13	5/7/91	V

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

ERM-North Central Sample Number	Laboratory Sample Number	Date of Sample Collection	Fractions Analyzed
LO-1MW06D-GW	111918-1	5/8/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW04S-FD	111918-2	5/8/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW02S-GW	111918-3	5/8/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1GW3-FB	111918-4	5/8/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW06S-GW	111918-5	5/8/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW04S-GW	111918-6	5/8/91	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-1MW02S-MS/MSD	111918-7	5/8/91	v
LO-1GW-TB	111918-8	5/8/91	V
LO-1G106S-WO	111928-1	5/9/91	V, S, P, M*, CN
LO-1GW-TB3	111928-2	5/2/91	V

NOTES:

- TCL Volatile Organic Compounds
 TCL Semivolatile Organic Compounds
 TCL Pesticide/PCB Organic Compounds V
- S
- P
- M^{\bullet} Total Metals Only
- Total and Dissolved Metals M
- CN Total Cyanide

Section 1 Quality Assurance Review

A. Organic Data

The organic analysis of 35 aqueous samples and one oil sample was performed by Applied Research and Development Laboratories, Inc. of Mt. Vernon, Illinois. This data set was provided in four separate data packages and the samples are listed on Table 1. The samples were analyzed by CLP protocols collectively for the Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds, the TCL base/neutral/acid extractable compounds and the TCL pesticides/PCBs. In addition, mass spectral library searches were performed on up to 30 extraneous chromatographic peaks for the volatile and semivolatile GC/MS analyses combined. The findings offered in this report are based upon a rigorous review of holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, analytical sequence, GC/MS tuning, system performance, target compound matching quality, calibrations, internal standard areas, quantitation of positive results and Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs). The analytical results are provided in Section 2.

Overall, the organic data quality was good; however, a portion of the data was qualified or rejected. Contractual criteria and reporting requirements were met for the data package with the exception of the following. It should be emphasized that the following items are contractual in nature and do not necessarily affect data usability. Usability is addressed separately.

Correctable Deficiencies

- 1. The laboratory originally reported m-xylene and o- & p-xylene on the sample Form I's in cases 111897, 111918 and 111908. Per CLP protocol, the total concentration of both isomers should be reported as total xylenes on the Form I data sheets. The laboratory has reissued Form I's with the appropriate description.
- 2. The mass listings and bar graph spectra for all of the BFB and DFTPP tunes of this data set were not labeled with the date or time of analysis as required by CLP protocol (SOW288, B-13 and B-17).
- 3. A very large peak elutes within the first 2 minutes of the analytical run in the volatile and semivolatile chromatograms of all samples. Although this peak is most likely due to a an air leak (carbon dioxide) or a solvent front, it is greater than 10% of the area of the associated internal standard and should have been library searched per CLP protocol (SOW288, E-26 and E-45).

- 4. Per CLP protocol, tabulated results of matrix spiked TCL compounds are <u>not</u> to be reported on the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate Form I's (SOW288, B-14, B-18 and B-21). The laboratory reported both spiked and nonspiked TCL compounds on the Form I's for all fractions.
- 5. Several discrepancies were observed between the date of initial calibration reported on the Form VI's and Form VII's in the volatile and semivolatile fraction and the observed date of analysis from the raw data. These discrepancies, none of which impact data usability, are summarized below.

<u>Case</u>	Fraction	Initial Calibration Date Reported on Form VI/Form VII	Observed Initial Calibration Date
111897	BNA	5/22/91	5/21/91
111928	VOA	6/12/91	5/19/91
111928	BNA	6/4/91	6/3/91
111928	BNA	6/11/91	6/10/91
111918	VOA	4/30/91	4/29/91
111908	VOA	5/20/91	5/19/91
111908	VOA	4/30/91	4/29/91
111908	BNA	5/22/91	5/21/91
111908	BNA	5/28/91	5/24/91
111908	BNA	5/31/91	5/29/91

6. The following minor discrepancies were observed for mass ion 365 between the reported percent abundances on the applicable semivolatile Form V's and the raw GC/MS tuning. The DFTPP raw data for mass ion 365 indicated only 2 significant digits. None of these discrepancies impact data usability.

Date/Time of Tune	Reported Abundance	Observed Abundance
5/21/91 at 14:28	1.81	1.8
5/22/91 at 9:22	1.75	1.7
5/23/91 at 14:01	1.41	1.4
6/4/91 at 13:09	2.25	2.2
6/5/91 at 9:18	2.55	2.6

Date/Time of Tune	Reported Abundance	Observed Abundance
6/11/91 at 12:17	2.42	2.4
5/30/91 at 21:11	2.17	2.2

- 7. The semivolatile continuing calibration check forms (Form VII) were not provided for the 50 ng standards analyzed on 6/14/91 at 17:12 (Case 111987), 6/10/91 at 21:13 and 6/11/91 at 13:33 (Case 111928), 5/29/91 at 18:33, 6/4/91 at 17:12 and 6/6/91 at 16:14 (Case 111918) and 5/26/91 at 18:32, 5/29/91 at 18:33 and 6/4/91 at 17:12 (Case 111908). For these calibrations, the laboratory analyzed samples within the same 12-hour shift that the associated initial multi-point calibration was performed. Although a Form VI was provided, this form does not demonstrate that the percent differences for the calibration check compounds are compliant with the criteria stated in the CLP protocol. Therefore, a Form VII is a required deliverable.
- 8. The volatile TCL compound toluene was reported below the quantitation limit as a Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC) on the semivolatile Form I's for samples LO-1G106DR-GW, LO-1G102L-GW and LO-1MW03S-FD. Furthermore, the presence of toluene in sample LO-1MW03S-FD was incorrectly reported as ethylbenzene on the TIC Form I. Only non-target compounds are to be reported as TICs per the CLP protocol.
- 9. Low internal standard areas were obtained for d₁₂-chrysene in samples LO-1G106S-WO and LO-1G106S-WOMS and for d₁₀-acenaphthene and d₁₀-phenanthrene in sample LO-1G106S-WODL, yet the appropriate "*" flag was not utilized as per CLP protocol (SOW288, B-37).
- 10. Upon review of the raw data provided for the semivolatile analysis of sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer), it was determined that the GPC-cleanup performed on this sample was not considered by the laboratory when calculating the positive results for this sample. Therefore, the reported results on the applicable Form I were biased low by a factor of 2. The laboratory was contacted concerning this matter and subsequently issued Form I's with the correct results. However, the laboratory failed to correct the result for dibenzofuran on the secondary Form I's. The data reviewer has reported the correct results on the sample data tables.
- 11. The laboratory reported a 25% recovery for the semivolatile surrogate compound 2,4,6-tribromophenol on the Form II for sample LO-1G106S-WODLRE. The data reviewer calculated a 50% recovery for this surrogate compound.

12. Several discrepancies were observed between the laboratory's reported sample identification numbers and the ERM-North Central sample identification numbers from the Chain-of-Custodies provided for this data set. Most of these discrepancies were found throughout a particular data package, whereas others were found in the Case Narrative only. These discrepancies are summarized below.

ERM-North Central Sample Number	Reported Sample Number
LO-1MW03S-FD	LO-1MW3S-FD
LO-1MW05S-FD	LO-1G105S-FD
LO-1GW-TB	LO-1GW-TB2
LO-1G101M-GW	LO-1G101W-GM
LO-1MW07S-GW	LO-1MW075-GW
LO-1MW05D-GR	LO-1MW05D-GW

Noncorrectable Deficiencies

- 1. Low surrogate recoveries were obtained for d₅-nitrobenzene and 2-fluorobiphenyl in the semivolatile analysis and reanalysis of sample LO-1G101L-GW. Per CLP protocol, reextraction is required if the reanalysis of the extract does not produce acceptable surrogate recoveries (SOW288, E-39).
- 2. A matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis was not performed on the aqueous sample in Case 111928.
- 3. In most of the INDA and INDB calibration standards for this data set analyzed on both the primary and the confirmation columns, many of the pesticides/PCBs exhibited percent differences in excess of 15% (for quantitation) and 20% (for confirmation). In addition, many of the individual pesticides within the standards were outside the established retention time windows. Since these were closing standards, it is somewhat ambiguous in CLP protocol whether these represent true noncompliances. However, in most instances these high percent differences do not appear to affect data usability since the observed bias was in the direction of a sensitivity increase.
- 4. The reported result of acenaphthene in the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses of sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer) exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. Per CLP protocol, this sample should have been diluted (SOW288, E-43).

- 5. The VOA analysis of samples LO-1MW02S-GW, LO-1MW04S-GW, LO-1MW04S-GWE, LO-1MW05S-GW, LO-1MW06S-GW, LO-1MW06D-GW, LO-1G106DR-MS/MSD, LO-1G106DR-GW, LO-1MW03S-FD-RE, LO-1MW04S-FD, LO-1MW05S-FD, LO-1MW05S-FD-RE, LO-1GW3-FB, LO-GW6-TB, LO-GW6-TB-RE, LO-1MW02S-MS/MSD, LO-1GW-TB1, LO-1GW-TB, LO-1GW-TB3 and LO-1G106S-WO (aqueous) was performed in excess of 7 days from sample collection as specified in the Lenz Oil "RI/FS Sampling and Analysis Plan" (Page T-8). In addition, the volatile matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses of Cases 111908, 111918 and 111928 were performed 26-27 days from the date of sample receipt. Furthermore, the semivolatile MS/MSD extractions in Cases 111908, 111918 and 111928 were performed 12-17 days from the date of sample receipt.
- 6. The semivolatile and pesticide/PCB extraction of sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer) was performed 3 days beyond the 5-day holding time from the date of sample receipt.
- 7. Based on the levels of PCB Aroclors reported in samples LO-1MW05S-GW and LO-1MW05S-FD, it appears that a GC/MS confirmation should have been attempted. SOW288 (E-63) specifies that "Any pesticide/PCB confirmed on two dissimilar GC columns must also be confirmed by GC/MS if the concentration in the final extract is sufficient for GC/MS analysis (based on the laboratory GC/MS detection limits)." Although it may be possible that the laboratory's GC/MS detection limits are above the concentrations reported in the aforementioned samples, it appears that the concentrations are sufficient for such a GC/MS confirmation.
- 8. According to the Chain-of-Custody provided, the analyses for TCL volatiles, TCL semivolatiles and TCL pesticides/PCBs was required for both the aqueous and oil layer of sample LO-1G106S-WO. However, it appears that the volatile analysis was not performed on the oil layer of this sample and the pesticides/PCBs analysis was not performed on the aqueous layer of this sample.
- 9. The semivolatile analysis of samples LO-1G101L-GW, LO-1MW05S-GW, LO-1MW05S-FD, LO-1MW06D-GW, LO-1G106S-WO and LO-1MW02S-GW revealed surrogate recoveries that necessitated reextraction/reanalysis (SOW288, E-39). However, according to the Form I's for these reanalyses, it was evident that the extraction date was the same as the initial extraction date. Based on conversations with the Laboratory Director, it appears that the extracts were merely reanalyzed and the samples were not reextracted. If this is the case, the semivolatile analyses for the aforementioned samples are noncompliant.

Comments

- 1. A two-times dilution factor at the instrument was indicated in the quantitation report for all samples analyzed for semivolatile TCL compounds. The data reviewer assumed this to be a result of the 2 milliliter combined extract per SOW288. This assumption is also corroborated by the quantitation limits reported on the Form I.
- 2. The Chain-of-Custody indicated that air bubbles were present in one of the volatile vials of samples LO-1G102L-GW, LO-1G104L-GW and LO-1GW-TB1. The data reviewer assumed that the volatile analysis of the samples was performed on an aliquot of sample from the vial without air bubbles present. In addition, the Chain-of-Custody indicated that air bubbles were present in both volatile vials of sample LO-1MW02S-GW.

With regard to data usability, principal areas of concern include blank contamination, surrogate recoveries, matrix spike recoveries, target compound matching quality, holding times, internal standard areas, calibrations and sample integrity. Based upon a review of the data provided, the following data qualifiers are offered. It should be noted that the following data usability issues represent an interpretation of the quality control results obtained for the project samples. Quite often, data qualifications address issues relating to sample matrix problems. Similarly, the validation guidelines routinely specify areas of the data that require qualification, yet the methods used for analysis do not require any corrective action by the laboratory. Accordingly, the following data usability issues should <u>not</u> necessarily be construed as an indication of laboratory performance.

Data Qualifiers

Due to the trace-level presence of methylene chloride, toluene, total xylenes and butyl-benzyl phthalate in the laboratory, field and/or trip blanks, the reported presence of these compounds reported in samples should be considered "not-detected" and they have been flagged "U" on the sample data tables. Furthermore, results that were reported below the quantitation limit were replaced with the quantitation limit with the appropriate "U" qualifier.

Compound

Applicable Samples

methylene chloride

All positive sample results

toluene

LO-1MW01S-GW, LO-1MW03S-GW, LO-1MW03D-GW and LO-MW03S-FD

Compound

Applicable Samples

total xylenes

LO-1MW03D-GW, LO-1G102L-GW, LO-1G101D-GW and LO-1MW03S-FD

butylbenzyl phthalate

LO-1MW02S-GW and LO-1MW07S-GW

- Although there is no direct reason to question the reported results for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in samples LO-1MW02S-GW, LO-1MW05S-GW, LO-1MW05S-GWRE, LO-1MW05S-FD, LO-1MW05S-FDRE, LO-1MW05S-FDDL and LO-1G106S-WO (multiple analyses) and acetone in sample LO-1G106S-WO (aqueous), phthalate esters and acetone are extremely common laboratory and field contaminants. Accordingly, great caution should be exercised when using these results.
- The reported result for N-nitrosodiphenylamine in sample LO-1G106S-WO (aqueous and oil layer) may represent the presence of this compound and/or diphenylamine. The analysis is not capable of distinguishing between these two compounds.
- Upon review of the raw data provided, it appears that acetone may be present in sample LO-1MW05S-GW. A peak identified as acetone is indicated on the quantitation report of this sample. This peak elutes at the same relative retention time of acetone compared to the associated continuing calibration standard. However, the mass spectra for acetone in this sample was not provided. Therefore, based on the information provided, the analysis for acetone in this sample is unreliable and has been flagged "R" (compound may or may not be present) on the sample data tables.
 - The actual analysis for 2-butanone in all samples is unreliable and has been flagged "R" on the sample data tables. Very low response factors were observed for 2-butanone in all multi-point initial and continuing calibrations in this data set.
 - Very low surrogate recoveries (<10%) were obtained for d₅-nitrobenzene in the semivolatile analysis of sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer), d₁₄-terphenyl in the semivolatile analysis of sample LO-1MW05S-GWRE, d_s-phenol and 2,4,6-tribromophenol in the semivolatile analysis of samples LO-1MW05S-GW, LO-1MW05S-GWRE, LO-1MW05S-FD and LO-1MW05S-FDRE and for all three acid surrogate compounds in the semivolatile analysis of samples LO-1MW06D-GW and LO-1MW06D-GWRE. Accordingly, the analysis for all base/neutral compounds in samples LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer) and LO-1MW05S-GWRE and all acid compounds in samples LO-1MW06D-GW, LO-1MW06D-GWRE, LO-1MW05S-GW, LO-1MW05S-GWRE, LO-1MW05S-FD and LO-1MW05S-FDRE are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the sample data tables. Similarly, the positive results reported for base/neutral compounds in samples LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer) and LO-1MW05S-GWRE should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables.

- The CLP spiking compounds 2,4-dinitrotoluene, 4-nitrophenol and N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine were not detected in the matrix spike and/or matrix spike duplicate analysis of sample LO-G106S-WO (oil layer). Accordingly, the analysis for the aforementioned compounds in this sample is unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the sample data tables.
- The reported positive results for heptachlor epoxide, methoxychlor and endrin ketone in sample LO-1G106-WO (oil layer) is unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. Examination of the raw data revealed the presence of patterns clearly indicative of the presence of PCB Aroclors, Specifically, the reviewer obtained a very good match to PCB 1242 on both GC columns with a concentration of 1,130 µg/Kg as PCB 1242 which has been added to the data tables. Clearly, the PCB patterns resulted in false-positive results for the aforementioned pesticides. Furthermore, it appears that Aroclors 1254 and 1260 may also be present, but due to significant peak overlapping, the presence of Aroclors 1254 and 1260 cannot be determined with certainty. Therefore, the analysis for of Aroclor 1254 and Aroclor 1260 in sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer) are unreliable and have been flagged "R" (compound may or may not be present) on the sample data tables.
 - The actual detection limits for all "not-detected" results for compounds quantitated using the internal standard(s) chrysene-d₁₂ in sample LO-1MW02S-GW; perylene-d₁₂ in sample LO-1MW02S-GWRE; d₈-naphthalene, d₁₀-acenaphthene and d₁₀-phenanthrene in sample LO-1G106S-WODL (oil layer); d₁₀-acenaphthene in sample LO-1G106S-WODLRE; d₁₀-acenaphthene and d₁₀-phenanthrene in sample LO-1MW05S-FDRE; all volatile compounds sample LO-1MW03S-GWRE; bromochloromethane 1,4-difluorobenzene in samples LO-1MW05S-FD; bromochloromethane in sample LO-1MW03S-FDRE; all compounds quantitated using d₈-naphthalene, d₁₀-acenaphthene, d₁₀-phenanthrene and d₁₂-chrysene in sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer); and all "notdetected" results for semivolatile compounds except those quantitated using d₄-1,4-dichlorobenzene in sample LO-1MW05S-GW and LO-1MW05S-FD; and d₄-1,4-dichlorobenzene and d₈-naphthalene in sample LO-1MW05S-FDDL may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" (unless previously flagged "R") on the sample Similarly, any positive result for compounds quantitated using the data tables. aforementioned internal standards in the associated samples should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables. The areas for these internal standards in the associated samples were not within CLP criteria.
- The actual detection limits for VOA compounds in sample LO-1MW02S-GW may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. Similarly, the positive result for total-1,2-dichloroethene in sample LO-1MW02S-GW should be considered estimated and has been flagged "J" on the data tables. According to the Chain-of-Custody, both VOA vials for this sample were observed to contain air bubbles when this sample was received by the laboratory.

- The positive results reported for methylene chloride in samples LO-1GW1-FBRE and LO-GW6-TBRE and acetone in sample LO-1G106S-WO (aqueous layer), and tetrachloroethane in samples LO-1G101D-GW, LO-1G102L-GW and LO-1MW03S-FD should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables. High percent differences (>25%) were observed between the response factors used to quantitate these results and the average response factors calculated from the associated initial multi-point calibrations.
- The positive results reported for acetone in sample LO-1G106S-WO and methylene chloride in samples LO-1GW1-FBRE and LO-GW6-TBRE should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables. A high relative standard deviation (>30%) was observed for the response factors of acetone and methylene chloride in the initial multi-point calibration associated with the aforementioned samples.
- The positive results reported for 2-methylnaphthalene, acenaphthene, dibenzofuran, fluorene and phenanthrene in sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer), 2-methylnaphthalene and phenanthrene in samples LO-1MW05S-FD, LO-1MW05S-GWRE, LO-1MW05D-FDRE and LO-1MW05S-GW and naphthalene in sample LO-1MW05S-FD should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables. These results exceeded the calibration range of the instrument.
- The actual detection limits for all base/neutral compounds in samples LO-1G101L-GW and LO-1G101L-GWRE may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the sample data tables. Low surrogate recoveries were obtained for d₅-nitrobenzene and 2-fluorobiphenyl in the semivolatile analysis of this sample.
- The positive results reported for tetrachloroethene in sample LO-1MW03S-FD, methylene chloride in samples LO-1GW1-FBRE and LO-1GW6-TB, toluene, acetone and total xylenes in sample LO-1GW1-FB, 1,2-dichloroethane, ethylbenzene and total xylenes in samples LO-1MW05S-FD and LO-MW05S-FDRE and all positive results in samples LO-1G106S-WODL (oil layer) and LO-1G106S-WODLRE (oil layer) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables. High surrogate recoveries were observed in the volatile and/or semivolatile analyses of the aforementioned samples.
- A slightly high relative percent difference was observed for toluene between the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analysis of sample LO-1G106S-WO (aqueous layer). Accordingly, the positive result reported for toluene in this sample should be considered estimated and has been flagged "J" on the sample data tables.

- A high percent recovery was obtained for acenaphthene in the matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analysis of sample LO-G106S-WO (oil layer). In addition, a high relative percent difference was obtained for acenaphthene between the MS and MSD. Accordingly, the positive result reported for acenaphthene in this sample should be considered estimated and has been flagged "J" on the sample data tables.
- The volatile analysis of samples LO-1MW04S-GWRE and LO-1GW-TB3 were performed 20-21 days from the date of sample collection. Therefore, the actual detection limits of all volatile compounds in these samples may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the sample data tables. Similarly, all the positive results reported for samples LO-1GW-TB3 and LO-1MW04S-GWRE should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables.
- The actual detection limits for the volatile aromatic compounds in samples LO-1MW02S-GW, LO-1MW04S-GW, LO-1MW05S-GW, LO-1MW06S-GW, LO-1MW06D-GW, LO-G106DR-MS/MSD, LO-1G106DR-GW, LO-1MW03S-FDRE, LO-1MW04S-FD,LO-1MW05S-FD,LO-1MW05S-FDRE,LO-1GW3-FB,LO-GW6-TB, LO-GW6-TBRE, LO-1MW02S-MS/MSD, LO-1GW-TB1, LO-1GW-TB, LO-1GW-TB3 and LO-1G106S-WO (aqueous) may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" tables. Similarly, positive results for on the data volatile compounds LO-1MW05S-GW, LO-1MW05S-FD, LO-1MW05S-FD-RE, LO-1GW-TB3 and LO-1G106-WO (aqueous) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. The volatile analyses of the aqueous aforementioned samples were analyzed in excess of the Federal Register maximum allowable holding time for the analysis for purgeable aromatics of 7 days from collection in unpreserved samples. In addition, it should be noted that the aforementioned samples were analyzed in excess of the Lenz Oil Site "RI/FS Sampling and Analysis Plan" of 7 days from collection (Page T-8). Non-aromatic volatiles that were analyzed within the <u>Federal Register</u> holding time of 14 days from sample collection did not require qualification.
 - The relative retention time for the peak reported as 2-methylnaphthalene in sample LO-1G106-WO (oil layer) differed by 12 seconds from the relative retention time reported for 2-methylnaphthalene in the associated continuing calibration standard. However, a reasonably good qualitative match was observed between the sample and standard mass spectrum for 2-methylnaphthalene. Furthermore, it is possible that this reported result represents the total concentration of the 1-methylnaphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene isomers. Therefore, the reported result for 2-methylnaphthalene in sample LO-1G106S-WO (oil layer) should be considered estimated and has been flagged "J" on the data tables.

The laboratory reported results for Aroclor 1248 and Aroclor 1260 in samples LO-1MW05S-GW and LO-1MW05S-FD. However, the data reviewer observed an additional multi-peak pattern indicative of Aroclor 1242 in sample LO-1MW05S-GW. The quantitated result of 645 μ g/Kg for Aroclor 1242 has been added to the sample data tables. Due to the significant peak overlapping it is somewhat judgmental whether Aroclor 1242 and/or Aroclor 1248 is truly present. Furthermore, the presence of Aroclor 1242 was not clearly evident in sample LO-1MW05S-FD, the field duplicate of sample LO-1MW05S-GW.

Three blind field duplicate pairs were submitted to the laboratory for this data set as listed below.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Duplicate</u>
LO-1MW03S-GW	LO-1MW03S-FD
LO-1MW04S-GW	LO-1MW04S-FD
LO-1MW05S-GW	LO-1MW05S-FD

Positive results were not-detected above the quantitation limit for any volatile, semivolatile or pesticide/PCB target compounds for the field QC samples LO-1MW03S-GW and LO-1MW03S-FD and LO-1MW04S-GW and LO-1MW04S-FD with the exception of benzoic acid (27 μ g/L) in the field duplicate of sample LO-1MW04S-GW. However, the following high percent differences (>35%) were observed for several target compounds in the volatile, semivolatile and pesticide/PCB fractions for the field QC samples LO-1MW05S-GW and LO-1MW05S-FD. Therefore, the positive results for the following compounds should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables. Similarly, the "not-detected" results for the following compounds may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the sample data tables.

Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference
1,1-dichloroethane	28 μg/L	not detected	100%
chloroform	14 μg/L	not detected	100%
total xylene	670 μg/L	920 μg/L	37%
1,2-dichloroethane	not detected	28 μg/L	100%
dibenzofuran	$410~\mu g/L$	670 μg/L	63%

Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference
phenanthrene	1800 μg/L	$3600~\mu g/L$	100%
anthracene	79 μg/L	150 μg/L	90%
fluoranthene	not detected	$130 \mu g/L$	100%
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	290 μg/L	530 μg/L	83%

The following high percent differences (>35%) were observed between results reported above the quantitation limit for several target compounds in the original analysis and reanalysis and/or dilution analysis of several samples. Accordingly, the positive results reported for the following compounds should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables.

Sample	Compound	Result for Original Analysis	Result for Reanalysis/Dilution	Percent <u>Difference</u>
LO-1MW05S-GW	2-methylnaphthalene	3200 μg/L	1900 μg/L	41%
LO-1MW05S-GW	anthracene	79 μg/L	120 µg/L	52%
LO-1MW05S-GW	pyrene	110 μg/L	59 μg/L	46%

- Per CLP protocol, all results reported below the quantitation limit should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables.
- Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) have been evaluated and are presented on the data tables. Most of the TICs were reported at levels below the quantitation limit. The TICs include numerous benzene derivations and several alkanes and unknowns.

B. Inorganic Data

The inorganic analysis of 60 aqueous samples (including 6 field blanks) representing 30 total and dissolved samples and the analysis of one oil sample was performed by ARDL, Inc. Laboratory of Mount Vernon, Illinois. All samples were analyzed by CLP protocols for the Target Analyte List (TAL) inorganic constituents. The data set was submitted in four distinct sample delivery groups (SDGs) as specified by the six-digit prefix of the laboratory sample number.

The findings offered in this report are based upon a rigorous review of the sample holding times, blank analysis results, pre- and post-digestion spike recoveries, laboratory duplicate analyses, initial and continuing calibrations, ICP interference checks, instrument sensitivity, system performance, ICP serial dilutions, graphite furnace duplicate burns and the quantitation of positive results. The analytical results are provided in Section 2B.

Overall, the inorganic data quality was fair. Contractual criteria and reporting requirements were met for this data set, with the exception of the following deficiencies. It should be noted that the following issues are contractual in nature and may not necessarily affect data usability. Usability is addressed in a separate section of this report.

Correctable Deficiencies

- 1. According to the Chain-of-Custodies provided, the laboratory misidentified sample LO-1MW05S-FD as LO-1G105S-FD on the Form I.
- 2. The concentration level was not reported on any of the QC forms as required (SOW788, B-15).
- 3. None of the raw data were labelled with the ERM-NC sample numbers as required (SOW788, B-9).
- 4. Digestion logs for the flame atomic absorption and hydride generation analyses were not included in the data packages provided.
- 5. The values and percent recoveries for total cyanide were not reported on the Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification Forms (Form II's) as required (SOW788, E-5).
- 6. An inconsistent number of significant figures was used to report the "Initial Calibration Found" and "Continuing Calibration Found" on the Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification Forms (Form II's). According to CLP protocol, the value of the concentration of each analyte measured in the verification solutions should be reported to two decimal places (SOW788, B-20).
- 7. The percent recoveries were not reported on the ICP Interference Check Sample Forms (Form IV's) for aluminum, calcium, iron and magnesium as required (SOW788, B-24). In addition, the concentrations of all elements except for aluminum, calcium, iron and magnesium were not reported on the Form IV's for Solution A.
- 8. The prep blank concentration units were misreported on the Blank Form (Form III) for SDG 111928. The correct units should be mg/Kg.

9. The Analysis Run Log Forms (Form XIV's) are incorrect for the analytes checked when the calibration verifications, laboratory control samples and interference check samples were reanalyzed.

Noncorrectable Deficiencies

- 1. The laboratory analyzed samples called "blank" in between the analysis of the ICP initial calibration verifications and the initial calibration blanks for SDGs 111798, 111908 and 111918. Per CLP protocol, the initial calibration blank must be analyzed immediately after every initial calibration verification (SOW788, E-6).
- 2. The laboratory did not analyze many of the continuing calibration verifications and continuing calibration blanks at the required frequency of 10% or every 2 hours (whichever is more frequent) during the ICP analytical runs for SDGs 111897, 111908 and 111918 as required (SOW788, E-7).
- In SDG 111908, the laboratory reported the values for the percent recoveries for iron 3. (total) and magnesium (total) from the continuing calibration verifications performed on 5/23/91 at 11:36 and at 11:33 (respectively) based on only the first analytical run instead of from the average of the two analytical runs as required (SOW788, D-1). In addition, in SDG 111897, the laboratory reported the percent recovery for magnesium (dissolved) from the CCV performed on 5/8/91 at 10:57 based upon the first analytical run. Finally, in SDG 111918, the laboratory reported the percent recovery for aluminum (dissolved) from the CCV performed on 5/28/91 at 7:56 based upon the first analytical run. Although the actual noncompliance in the reporting requirement is a correctable deficiency, the implications of this are noncorrectable. Had the values of the averages of the two analytical runs been reported, percent recoveries would have been obtained outside the control limits for these elements. In accordance with CLP protocol, if the recovery of any element falls outside the specified control limits, the analysis must be terminated, the instrument must be recalibrated, the calibration must be verified and the preceding 10 samples must be reanalyzed (SOW788, E-6).
- 4. The concentrations of all of the CRI standards for antimony were 1.25 times the concentration specified in CLP protocol. In addition, the concentrations of the CRA standards for lead, arsenic, selenium, sodium and thallium were higher than the specified concentrations (SOW788, E-6).
- 5. The final ICP interference check sample (ICS) solution ICSAB was analyzed twice at the conclusion of the analytical run performed on 5/15/91 (associated with the dissolved samples in SDG 111908) and analyzed twice at the beginning of the analytical run performed on 5/8/91 (associated with the dissolved samples in SDG 111897). In the first of the two analyses on 5/15/91 of the final ICSAB solution, a 147% recovery for

beryllium and a 181% recovery for manganese was obtained. In the first of the two analyses on 5/8/91 of the initial ICSAB solution, 176.8% recovery for manganese was obtained. The laboratory immediately reanalyzed the ICSAB solutions and obtained recoveries for beryllium and manganese that were within the 80-120% control limits. Per CLP protocol, if the results for the ICP analyses of the ICSAB solution outside the 80-120% control limits, then the analysis must be terminated, the instrument must be recalibrated and all analytical samples analyzed since the last good ICS analysis must be reanalyzed (SOW788, E-8).

- 6. Arsenic and selenium were analyzed by hydride generation for all samples. This method of analysis is not listed as an accepted method in the CLP protocol.
- 7. The spiking concentrations of the elements analyzed by the ICP method for the matrix spike analysis of sample LO-1MW04D-GW (total) in SDG 111908 were two times the levels specified in CLP protocol (SOW788, E-11).
- 8. The laboratory did not perform any post-digestion spikes on the elements that did not meet the specified control limit criteria for the pre-digestion spikes as required (SOW788, E-10).
- 9. The concentration of magnesium reported on the Laboratory Control Sample Form (Form VII) for the LCS performed on 5/15/91 at 8:30 (associated with dissolved samples in SDG 111908) on 5/7/91 at 7:45 and on 5/8/91 at 11:21 (associated with all samples in SDG 111918) was only based upon one run instead of upon the average of the two analytical runs as required (SOW788, D-1). Although the actual noncompliance in the reporting requirement is a correctable deficiency, the implications of this are noncorrectable. Had the concentration of the average of the two runs been reported, the percent recoveries for magnesium would have been just slightly outside the specified 80-120% control limits. Per CLP protocol, the analysis should have been terminated and the associated sample should have been redigested and reanalyzed (SOW788, E-13).
- 10. The analysis reported for cyanide for the samples in SDGs 111908 and 111897 was performed 2 days beyond the 14 day holding time from date of sample receipt. According to the case narratives, these samples were originally analyzed within holding time using the TRAACS 800 Auto Analyzer. However, the laboratory reanalyzed the samples using the manual method and reported the latter results, with no further explanation. In addition, the raw data for the automated method was not included in the data packages provided.
- 11. For SDGs 111897, 111908 and 111918, the laboratory analyzed many of the ICP calibration verification standards (initial and continuing) two or three times until elements that were outside the specified control limits were brought within the control limits. Per CLP protocol, if the recovery of a calibration verification standard falls outside the

specified 90-110% control limits for ICP analyses, the analysis must be stopped, the instrument recalibrates, the calibration verified and the preceding 10 analytical samples reanalyzed (SOW788, E-6).

- 12. The sample volume for the analysis of mercury and total cyanide in many project samples were not the volumes specified for these analyses in CLP protocol (SOW788, D-47 and D-66).
- 13. The spiking concentrations of the elements analyzed by ICP and flame methods for the matrix spike analysis of sample LO-1G106S-WO were one half the levels specified in CLP protocol (SOW788, E-11).
- 14. The positive results for calcium in samples LO-1G101M-GW (total), LO-1MW01S-GW (total), LO-1MW07S-GW (total), LO-1MW05S-GW (total), LO-1G105S-FD (total), LO-1MW05D-GW (total), LO-1MW04S-GW (total), LO-1MW04S-FD (total), LO-1MW02S-GW (total) and LO-1MW04S-GW (total), for magnesium in samples LO-1MW01S-GW (total), LO-1MW05S-GW (total), LO-1G105S-FD (total), LO-1MW04S-FD (total), LO-1MW02S-GW (total), LO-1MW07D-GW (dissolved) and for iron in sample LO-1MW02S-GW (total) exceeded the calibration of the instrument. Per CLP protocol, all measurements must be within the instrument linear range (SOW788, D-14).

Comment

It is interesting to note that none of the initial calibration blanks, continuing calibration blanks and laboratory preparation blanks for any of the SDGs revealed even the slightest trace-level blank contamination. This is extremely unusual.

With regard to data usability, principal areas of concern include blank contamination, holding times, the two-times CRDL standard recoveries, pre- and post-digestion spike recoveries, laboratory duplicate analyses, laboratory control sample results, calibrations, field duplicate precision, method of standard addition results and serial dilution results. Based upon a review of the data provided, the following inorganic data qualifiers are offered. It should be noted that the following inorganic data usability issues represent an interpretation of the quality control results obtained for the project samples. Quite often, data qualifications address issues relating to sample matrix problems. Similarly, the validation guidelines routinely specify areas of the data that require qualification, yet the methods used for analysis do not require any corrective action by the laboratory. Accordingly, the following data usability issues should <u>not</u> be construed as an indication of laboratory performance.

Inorganic Data Qualifiers

Due to the trace-level presence of the following elements in the field blanks, the reported results for these elements in the samples listed below should be considered "not-detected" and have been flagged "U" on the data tables.

Element	Applicable Samples
aluminum	LO-1MW06D-GW (total)
iron	LO-1MW06D-GW (total)
lead	LO-1MW06D-GW (total)
manganese	LO-1MW06D-GW (total)
zinc	LO-1MW04D-GW (total), LO-1G104D-GW (total), LO-1MW07D-GW (total), LO-1MW02D-GW (total), LO-1G104L-GW (total), LO-1G104L-GW (total), LO-1MW05D-GW (total), LO-1G106DR-GW (total), LO-1MW06D-GW (total), and LO-MW06S-GW (total)

The analyses for the elements in the following samples are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. Very low or zero percent recoveries were obtained for the two-times CRDL standard associated with these samples.

<u>Element</u>	Applicable Samples
aluminum	All samples in SDG 111908 (dissolved), LO-1GW2-2FB (total), LO-1G102L-GE (total), LO-1GW1-FB (total), and all samples in SDG 111918 (dissolved) except sample LO-1MW04S-GW (dissolved)
cadmium	All samples in SDG 111908 (dissolved) except sample LO-1MW04D-GW (dissolved). All samples in SDG 111897 (dissolved) and all samples in SDG 111918 with "not-detected" results
manganese	LO-1GW2-FB (total), LO-1MW06S-GW

(dissolved) and LO-1MW06D-GW (dissolved)

Element Applicable Samples

silver All sample in SDG 111908 (total), all samples

in SDG 111897 (total) and all samples in SDG

111918 with "not-detected" results

antimony All samples in SDG 111918 except sample LO-1MW02S-GW (total)

The analysis for barium in all samples in SDG 111918 (dissolved) except samples LO-1MW04S-GW (dissolved) and LO-1MW04S-FD (dissolved) are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. A very low recovery was obtained (29%) for the matrix spike associated with these samples.

- The positive results for the elements in the following samples should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. High percent differences were obtained between the results for these elements in the field duplicate pairs.

Element Applicable Samples

arsenic LO-1MW05S-GW (total) and LO-1MW05S-FD (total)

beryllium LO-1MW05S-GW (total)

and LO-1MW05S-FD (total)

copper LO-1MW05S-GW (total)

and LO-1MW05S-FD (total)

aluminum LO-1MW04S-GW (dissolved)

lead LO-1MW03S-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW04S-GW

(total) and LO-1MW04S-FD (total)

potassium LO-1MW04S-GW (total)

and LO-1MW04S-FD (total)

total cyanide LO-1MW04S-GW (total)

and LO-1MW04S-FD (total)

iron LO-1MW04S-GW (dissolved)

and LO-1MW04S-FD (dissolved)

zinc LO-1MW04S-FD (dissolved)

- The positive result for total cyanide in sample LO-1G106DR-GW should be considered estimated and has been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limits for all "not-detected" results in SDGs 111897 and 111908 may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. The reanalysis of cyanide for samples in these SDGs was performed 17 days from sample collection. The Federal Register holding time for the cyanide analysis is 14 days from sample collection to analysis.
 - The positive results for the elements in the following samples should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. High relative percent differences were obtained for these elements between the total and dissolved sample results, with the dissolved sample analyses displaying the higher analytical result.

<u>Element</u>	Applicable Samples
sodium	LO-1MW05S-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1MW05S-FD (total and dissolved) and LO-1G101L-GW (total and dissolved)
manganese	LO-1G104L-GW (total and dissolved)
aluminum	LO-1G101L-GW (total and dissolved) and LO-1MW01D-GW (total and dissolved)
potassium	LO-1MW04S-GW (total and dissolved)

The actual detection limits for thallium in samples LO-1MW04D-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1G104D-GW (dissolved), LO-1GW2-FB (total), LO-1MW07S-GW (total), LO-1MW02D-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW05S-GW (dissolved), LO-1G104L-GW (dissolved), LO-1G106DR-GW (dissolved), LO-1G102D-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1G101D-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1G101M-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1G101L-GW (total), LO-1MW01D-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1MW03S-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1MW03S-FD (total), LO-1MW06D-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1MW04S-FD (total), LO-1MW02S-GW (dissolved), LO-1GW3-FB (total and dissolved), LO-1MW06S-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1GW04S-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1G106DR-GW (dissolved), LO-1G101L-GW (dissolved), LO-1GW1-FB (total), LO-1GW3-FB (dissolved) may be higher then reported and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. Low post-digestion spike recoveries were obtained for thallium and lead in the aforementioned samples.

- The positive results for lead in samples LO-1MW01S-GW (total) and LO-1MW04S-GW (dissolved) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Low correlation coefficients (<0.995) were obtained for lead in the method of standard addition analysis of these samples.
- The positive results for the elements in the following samples should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limits for the elements in the following samples may be higher then reported and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. Whenever possible, the reasons for the qualifications have been footnoted and when possible, an indication of bias (percent recovery, percent difference, etc.) has been presented. For ease of data usability, this information has been presented in four tables to correspond to the four sample delivery groups.

TABLE 2

SAMPLES IN SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP 111897

Element	Samples With Biased Detection Limits	Samples With Estimated Positive Results	%REC, PD(%) or RPD (%)
aluminum ^{a, b} esa		LO-1G101L-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW01D-GW (dissolved) and all samples in SDG (total) except samples LO-1GW1-FB (total) and LO-1G102L-GW (total)	0%; 275%; 70%; 22% (PD)
antimony ^a	All samples in SDG		38% and 89%, 31% and 39%
beryllium*	All samples in SDG except LO-1G101M-GW (total) and LO-1MW01S-GW (total)	LO-1G101M-GW (total) and LO-1MW01S-GW (total)	60% and 80%, 70% and 80%
calciu m*		All samples in SDG (dissolved) except sample LO-1GW1-FB (dissolved)	•
cadmium ^b		LO-1G102D-GW (total)	120%
chromium*	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results	LO-IMW03S-GW (total) and LO-IMW03S-FD (total)	85 %
cobalt	All samples in SDG (dissolved)		83%
iron ^{84.4}		LO-IGI01L-GW (dissolved) and all samples in SDG (total) except sample LO-IGW1-FB (total)	80%; 65%; 10.5% (PD)
lead ^{b,4}		LO-IMW03D-GW (dissolved) and all samples in SDG (total) except samples LO-IGWI-FB (total)	112%, 120%; 146%
manganese.h	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results	LO-1G102D-GW (dissolved) and LO-1G101L-GW (total)	47%, 43% and 57%; 78.4% and 79.7%
nicke ř	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results	LO-1G102D-GW (total and dissolved), LO-1G101M-GW (total), LO-1MW03S- GW (total) and LO-1MW3S-FD (total)	72% and 88%, 81%
potassium ^a	LO-1GW1-FB (total and dissolved)	All samples in SDG with positive results	85%
thallium	All samples in SDG (total)		74%
vanadium*	All samples in SDG (total) except sample LO-1MW01S-GW (total)	LO-1MW01S-GW (total)	88%
zinc	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results	LO-IG101L-GW (total)	82%, 83%

See noncorrectable deficiency 11.

TABLE 3
SAMPLES IN SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP 111908

Element	Samples With Biased Detection Limits	Samples With Estimated Positive Results	%REC, PD (%) or RPI
aluminum		All samples in SDG (total) except sample LO- 1GW2-FB (total)	68%
antimony ^a	All samples in SDG (dissolved)		65% and 72%
arsenic ⁴		LO-1G104D-GW (total), LO-1MW05S-GW (total), LO-1MW05S-FD (total) and LO-1MW05D-GW (total)	140%
barium*	LO-1MW04D-GW (dissolved), LO-1G104D-GW (dissolved), LO-1GW2-FB (dissolved), LO-1MW07D-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW02D-GW (dissolved) and LO-1MW05D-GW (dissolved)	LO-1MW07S-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW05S-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW05S-FD (dissolved), LO-1G104L-GW (dissolved) and LO-1G106DR-GW (dissolved)	86%
beryllium*	All samples in SDG (dissolved)		80%
cadmium*		LO-1MW04D-GW (total and dissolved), LO- 1MW05S-FD (total) and LO-1G104L-GW (total)	0%, 80%
calcium²		All samples in SDG with positive results	•
cobalt*	All samples in SDG (dissolved), LO-1MW04D-GW (total), LO-1GW2-FB (total), LO-1MW07D-GW (total), LO-1MW02D-GW (total), LO-1G104L-GW (total) and LO-1G106DR-GW (total)	LO-1G104D-GW (total), LO-1MW07S-GW (total), LO-1MW05S-GW (total), LO-1MW05S-FD (total) and LO-1MW05D-GW (total)	85%, 87%
copper ^{a.c}	All samples in SDG (total and dissolved) except samples LO-1MW05S-GW (total) and LO-1MW05S-FD (total)	LO-1MW05S-GW (total) and LO-1MW05S-FD (total)	82%; 60%
iron*.f		All samples in SDG (total)	14% (PD)
magnesium*.g.k	LO-1GW2-FB (dissolved)	All samples in SDG except sample LO- IGW2-FB (dissolved)	82%; 117%; 79.7%
manganese*	LO-1MW04D-GW (dissolved), LO-1G104D-GW (dissolved), LO-1GW2-FB (dissolved), LO-1MW07D-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW07S-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW05D-GW (Dissolved) and LO-1G106DR-GW (dissolved)	LO-1MW04D-GW (total)	40% and 50%; 0%
mercury ^a	All samples in SDG (dissolved)		80%
nickel*	All samples in SDG (dissolved)		66%
potassium ^a	LO-1GW2-FB (total and dissolved)	All samples in SDG except samples LO- IGW2-FB (total and dissolved) and LO- IG104L-GW (total and dissolved)	82%
silver ^{a.c}	All samples in SDG (dissolved)		60%; 62%
thallium*.c	All samples in SDG		80%;74%
zinc ^{a.b}		LO-1MW07S-GW (dissolved), LO-1GW2-FB (total) and LO-1G104L-GW (dissolved)	88%; 11.50%

See noncorrectable deficiency 11.

TABLE 4
SAMPLES IN SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP 111918

Element	Samples With Biased Detection Limits	Samples With Estimated Positive Results	%REC, PD (%) or RPD (9
aluminum ^{a,c,d,i}		All reported positive results in SDG except for sample LO-IMW06D-GW (total)	0% and 65%; 370%, 45% 76% (PD)
antimony ^{a,4}		LO-IMW02S-GW (total)	0% and 7%; 150% and 190%
arsenic ⁴		All positive results in SDG (total)	140%
beryllium'	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results	LO-1MW04S-GW (total) and LO-1MW04S-FD (total)	80%
barium ^c		LO-1MW04S-GW (dissolved) and LO-1MW04S-FD (dissolved)	29 %
c a dmium ^a		LO-1MW02S-GW (total), LO-1MW04S-GW (total) and LO-1MW04S-FD (total and dissolved)	0% and 50%
calcium ^g		All samples in SDG with positive results	•
chromium ^b		LO-1MW06D-GW (total)	110% and 115%
cobalta	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results	LO-1MW06S-GW (total)	87% and 88%
copper ^{a.c}	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results		88%; 60%
iron ⁴		All samples in SDG (dissolved) with positive results	650%
lead ^{b.c}	LO-1MW02S-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW06S-GW (dissolved), LO-1MW06D-GW (dissolved) and LO-1GW3-FB (dissolved)	LO-1MW04S-GW (dissolved) and LO-1MW04S-FD (dissolved)	112%; 70%
magnesium*		All samples in SDG (total)	36% (PD)
mercury ^b		LO-IMW04S-FD (total)	120%
nickel*	All samples in SDG with "not-detected" results	LO-IMW06S-GW (total)	81%
potassium ^a	LO-1GW3-FB (total and dissolved)		82%
selenium ^{b.c}	All samples in SDG except sample LO-1MW02S-GW (total)	LO-1MW02S-GW (total)	120%; 64% and 73%
silverac		LO-1MW02S-GW (total) and LO-1MW04S-GW (total)	0% and 55%; 62% and 665
thallium*	All samples in SDG (total) except sample LO- 1MW02S-GW (total)	LO-1MW02S-GW (total)	80%
zincb		LO-1GW3-FB (total)	112%
total cyanide	LO-1MW02S-GW (total), LO-1MW06S-GW (total), LO-1MW06D-GW (total) and LO-1GW3-FB (total)	LO-1MW04S-GW (total) and LO-1MW04S-FD (total)	39%

See noncorrectable deficiency 11.

TABLE 5
OIL SAMPLE IN SDG 111928

Element	Samples With Biased Detection Limits	Samples With Estimated Positive Results	%REC, PD (%) or RPD (%)
antimony ^a	LO-1G106S-WO		61%
copper*	LO-1G106S-WO		50%
iron ^b		LO-1G106S-WO	135 %
lead ^c		LO-1G106S-WO	56%
magnesium*	LO-1G106S-WO		89%
mercury*	LO-1G106S-WO		71%
selenium ^c	LO-1G106S-WO		52%
silver	LO-1G106S-WO		68%
zinc ^b		LO-1G106S-WO	125% and 130%

NOTES:

- A low recovery was obtained for this element in the two-times CRDL standard associated with these samples.
- A high recovery was obtained for this element in the two-times CRDL standard associated with these samples.
- A low recovery was obtained for this element in the matrix spike associated with these samples.
- A high recovery was obtained for this element in the matrix spike associated with these samples.
- A high percent difference was obtained between the results for this element in the serial dilution associated with these samples.
- A low recovery was obtained for this element in an associated continuing calibration verification.
- High recoveries were obtained for this element in associated continuing calibration verification standards.
- h A low recovery was obtained for this element in an associated laboratory control sample.
- A high percent difference was obtained for this element between the sample and the associated laboratory duplicate sample.

B. INORGANIC DATA

HORSANIC ANALYSI	S - ANAL	YTICAL RESULT	\$ 	l <u></u>	·	l	l			-page 1
RN Worth Contral Laboratory Sample		mber	1 ***				L0-161010-6H 111897-3			LO-16181H- GN 111897-4
Remarks			Total	Disselved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Disselved	Total	Disselved
Units			ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
INORGANIC ELEMENT	rs	Detection Limit				1			1	
Aluminum	,	200	1500 J		1		220 J	 	19000 J	
Antimony	ŗ	60) VL	j VL	UL	UL	l BL	j UL	UL	j #L
Arsenic	ı	0.5	8.2	5.6	63	5.1			58	
Barim	,	58	56		93	67			130	
Beryllium	,	5.6	UL.) DI	W.	I I	N.	U.	13	1 11
Cadaina	P	5.#	7 3	R		ı				t
Calcium	P	1000	170000	110000 3	150000	110000 3	120000	120000 J	73 9990 J	87600 3
Chronium	P	10	230	UL	Vi	UL	UL	UL	42	UL
Cobalt	,	50		VL		Į BI		į VI	110	gr.
Copper	P	25							39	
Iren	,	50	8400 3	1600	46900 J	1200	1200 J	820	94000 J	
Lead	F	2.0	6.9 3		6.3		5.4 3		54 3	
Nagnesium	,	1000	84000	55000	71666	56000	59000	61000	120000	44004
Nanganese	,	15	240	37 3	300	61	UL	UL	2300	UI
Hercury	ξV	0.20			}					
Nickel	P	44	110 J	46 3	UL	l UL	UL	UL	17 3	01
Petassium	A	500	6300 J	5900 3	5600 1	5600 J	2600 J	2600 J	9000 J	1600 3
Selenium	H	1.5								
Silver	7	10	1		1		1)	1	
Sodium	4	500	150000	140000	140000	140000	8400	7988	4688	3884
Thallium	F	5.6	UL.	UL	UL.	UL	Į UL	-	OL	
Vanadius	p	50	Į VL		Ut	-	[UL		1	-
Zinc	,	20	VL	-	UL	UL	UL		270	
Cyanide	Į.	11		NA NA						

MOTES:

- Element was not detected.
- U This analyte should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- MA Not analyzed.

|AMALYTICAL METHOD:

P - Inductively Coupled Plasma

F - Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption

CV - Celd Vapor Atomic Absorption

C - Manual Spectrophotometric

A - Flame Atomic Absorption

H - Hydride Generation

INDREANIC ANALYS	IS - ANAL	YTICAL RESULT	<u></u> S							-page 2	
ERM Morth Centra Laboratory Sampi		aber	 LO-16101L-6H 111897-5	 LO-16101L-6W 111097-5	LO-1MU01D-6W 111897-6	 10-1 NU0 1D-6W 111 0 97-6	LO-1MW015-6W 111897-7	•	LO-1MM03S-GH 111897-8	10-1RM035 -GN 111897-8	
Remarks			Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	
Valts			ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L]
INORGANIC ELEKEN	ITS I	Detection Limit		 		1	!			 	
Aluminus	P	200	710 J	970 3	420 J	1666 J	51000 J		12000 J	1	
Antimony	,	60	*	UL.	l ar	l VL	ÜL	j UL	UL	UL	U
Arsenic	И	1.5					14	8.3	56		R
Sarium .	P	50			 		350	79	91		 -
Beryllium	P	5.1	W.	U L	UL) VL	13 3	VI.) DI	טנ .	1
Cadmium	P	5.0			 	l l		l t	 	l l] -
Calcium	P	1000	130000	110000 J	20000	164884)	1300004	130000 J	386900	93000 3	'
Chronius	P	10	UL	ar	81) UL	67	UL	22 J	U.	
Cobalt	P	50		j bl	<u> </u>	87	180	j UL	66	ar ar	
Copper	Þ	25	1				120				
Ires	,	50	4000 J	278 3	4300 J	1406	160000 J	2600	43000 J		
Lead	F	2.)	14.3	મ	3.8 3		59 3		54 J	5.5 3	1
Nagnesiun	,	3000	68000	54004	100000	87000	710000 J	61000	210000	46000	1
Kanganese	P	15	36 J	UL	מנ	į ui	3700	86	936	UL	AK
Hercury	CV	0.20									-
Rickel	P	1 40	l ut	j UL	j er	ļ UL	130	j bi	52 J	UL	-1
Potassium	A	566	2400 J	3600 J	4100 3	3600 J	17000 3	5686 3	6988 J	2304 3	-1
Selenium	В	1.5									-}
Silver	,	10	1		l t		1		ı	-	-1
Sedime	A	500	6600 J	1300000 J	24000	22000	22000	210000	28000	27000	-
Thellium	F	5.0	Ü		l ut	UL VI	. L	UL UL	l al	Ų.	-
Vanadium	P	50	UL.		l UL		17 3		į VI	- ₁	-
Zinc	,	20	33 1	Į UL	VI.		320	UL	110	11	-
Cyanide	C	10	UL	MA	UL	NA.	UL	, KA	01	HA.	-

ROTES:

- Element was not detected.
- U This analyte should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- MA Not analyzed.

MALYTICAL METHOD:

P - Inductively Coupled Plasma

F - Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption

CV - Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption C - Manual Spectrophotometric

A - flame Atomic Absorption

H - Hydride Generation

INORGANIC ANALYS	IS - ANAL	TTICAL RESULT	s					-page 3	
ERM Morth Centra Laboratory Sampi				LO-1MH03D-6W		LO-18W03S-FD 111897-10		 L0-16W1-FB 111897-11	
Remarks			Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Disselved	
Units			ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
INORGANIC ELEMEN	ITS	Detection Limit				Duplicate of	Field Blank	Field Blank	
Aleniaus	P	200	1300 3		13000 J	 	1		NOTES:
Antimony	P	68	UL	VL	l UL	ØL	UL	j UL	
Arsenic	N	1.3			54				it was detected in a blank at a similar level. R Unreliable result - Analyte may or may not be present
Barion	ŗ	50	ļ	 	88	 	1		in this sample. J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified
Beryllium	,	5.0	OI.	 UL	ļ UL	į t	. VL	UL	
Cadaius	P	5.1		1		1	1	1	is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
Calcium	P	1000	230000	180000 3	400000	91000 J	1		MA Mot analyzed. -
Chronium	P	18	UL UL	l nr	21 3	Of	Nr	i nr	! !
Cobalt	ŗ	50	 	j bi	67	UL UL		j UL	1
Copper	P	25	 	ļ	-\			· { 	1
lren	P	50	5400 J	1866	44000 3	 	· 	- 	·}
Lead	f	2.0	6.7 3	2.3 3	50 3		-} UL	-}	· -
Ragnesium	ę	1004	120000	98646	22000	46404	-	-	·i !
Ranganese	p	15) UL	VL	978	W	 UL	บเ	 AMALTIICAL METHOD:
Mercury	ţv	1.20	-		-	-]	- - 	-	P - Inductively Coupled Plasma F - Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
Mickel	P	49	i nr	- UL	59 J	UL	-	. UL	
Potassium	٨	500	5400 3	4690 J	7800 J	2300 J	-{	UL	-{ A - Flame Atomic Absorption H - Hydride Generation
Selenium	Ħ	0.5			-				-1 -1
Silver	P	10	I	-) 		-) [R	<u> </u>	-)
Sedium	A	560	39000	39000	29000	27000	-		-! !
Thallium	F	5.0	DL	-	ı j vi	-	-j J UI	-	-
Vanadium	P	50	UL UL	-[-			- -
Zine	P	20	l üt	-				-	- -
Cyanide	¢	16	N.	NA		NA NA		L NA	-

INORGANIC ANALYS	IS - ANAI	YTICAL RESUL	rs -1	J 	l	-page 4
RM Morth Centra aboratory Sampi		aber		111918-3		10-1 m/079-5 1 1119 08- 6
temerks			Total	Dissolved	Total	Disselved
Units			i ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
INORGANIC ELEKEN	TS I	Detection Limit	 		l 	l İ
Aluniaun	,	200	178000 J	ı	1100 J	1
Antimony	P	60	60 3	ı		Į UL
Arsenic	¥	0.9	320 J			
Sarium	,	50	520	t	53	DI.
Beryllian	,	5.0	33	UL		j VL
Cadmium	,	5.0	9 3	R		1
Calcium	ŗ	1000	3650000)	180000 J	248608 J	160000 3
Chronium	P	10	240			
Cobalt	,	50	560	l ui	Į UL	ų u
Copper	,	25	430	ļ ut) UL	UL
Irea	,	50	540000 3	29.3	7206 3	1900
Lead	F	2.0	430	UL	2.2	
Nagnesium	7	1000	3850000 3	34000	130000)	34000 3
Manganese	p	15	11000	440	120	ן טנ
Reccury	ÇV	1.20				01
Hickel	P	40	400	UL.		UL
Potassium	٨	500	49000	6500	6000 3	5500 J
Selenium	K	1.5	5.6 3	i vi		
Silver	,	10	10 J	ļ .	,	UL
Sedium	A	500	230000	220000	62000	64000
Thallium	f	S.0	15.1 3	UL	-} 	. UL
 Vanadium	P	50	340		- 	
Zinc	P	20	1300	-	41 8	
Cyanide	C	10		HA.	-	. NA

NOTES:

- Element was not detected.
- U This analyte should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- A Unreliable result Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- MA Not analyzed.

ANALYTICAL ANALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma
- f Graphite furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption C - Manual Spectrophotometric
- A Flame Atomic Absorption
- H Hydride Generation

INORGANIC ANALY!	SIS - ANAL	ALICAL BERRY	\$	1	1	1	1	1	l	-page S	1
RM Horth Centra aboratory Samp		aber .				LO-1MW04D-6W 111908-1				111988-10	1
Remarks			Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	-1
Units			ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	-1
INGREANIC ELEME	#T\$	Detection limit		 		 		1			
Aluainus	,	200	77666 3	(58.)	1266 3	1	31996 3	1	5766 3	1	- -
Antimeny	P	60	 	l I		BL		UL.		 	. .
Arsenic	N	1.9	120 J	31		1	13 3	22	9.6 3		; !
Barium	ŀ	54	444	84 3		W.	566	62 3	34	 	.
Beryllium	P	5.4	15 J	UL.		UL	11 J	UL		01	
Cadmium	,	5.6	8 3	1	6.3	73		R		R	
Calcium	ŀ	1464	1090000 3	190000 3	230004 3	170000 3	1644446 3	120000 J	574664 3	150000 3	
Chroniun	ļ	10	110	 			53		13		
Cobalt	,	50	150	UL.	QT	UL	210 J	UL	64.3		ι
Copper	þ	25	91	u.	i ui	ų.	68 3	UL UL	l u	l a	ι
Iron	ř	50	160000	119 3	5200 J	1900	150000 J	1500	35 000 J	1200	_
lead 	F	2.0	390 J	5.8 J	4.7	4.1	360	3.7	11		
Ragnesius	•	1000	720000 1	210000	130000 3	87000 3	850000 3	58004 3	340000 3	76066 3	_
langanese	,	15	3499	150	(5 J) UL	4500	220	940	þ	1
Rercury	tv	0.20				l Br	0.38	Į ŲL		U	ıt
Rickel	,	40	130	UL		uı	146	l ui		l u	 IL
Potassium	A	500	3180 J	70000 J	5980 J	5880 3	12000 J	4200 J	9200 J	7886 3	
Selenium	1	0.9	UL	UL			1.6				_
Silver	ŀ	10	22 3	1		l UL	t	WL	t		IL
Sedium	A	500	870000	890000	100000	110000	140000 3	190000 3	146666	150000	
Thallium	F	5.1	UL	GL	l ui	J UL	UL	UL	Į UL	0	IL.
Vanadium	,	50	130								_
Zinc	þ	20	610		54 8		230	-	57 W		_
Cyanide	C	10	96 3	MA.	UL	NA.	- Jul	NA.	- UL	JIA.	

- Element was not detected.
- This analyte should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- Unreliable result Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.
- **Quantitation** is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- MA Mot analyzed.

IALYTICAL NETHOD:

P - Inductively Coupled Plasma

F - Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption CV - Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption

C - Manual Spectrophotometric

A - Flame Atomic Absorption

H - Hydride Generation

INGREANIC ANALY	SIS - ANAL	YTICAL RESULT	'S	!	I.	1		1	ı	-page 6
RK Worth Centr aboratory Samp		r pdat		10-184065-6H 111918-5	111918-1		111908-5		LO-LMM070-6W 111908-4	10-184670-64 111988-4
lenarks			Total	Disselved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
Units		1	ug/L	l ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L
INORGANIC ELEME	NTS	Detection Limit		1		 	 	1	1	
Aluminum	t	200	62000 J	l t	6100 U	t	3700 J	R	2600 1	1
Antimony	ŗ	64	į R	1	ı	1		j UL) UL
Arsenic	*	1.5	27 3	[1				1	[
Barium	,	50	250	I	67	R	110	81 J		UL
Beryllium	,	5.0	UL	i ui	l UL	Į Vi		UL		Į UL
Cadmium	p	5.0	R		(1			(R	(ı
Calcium	,	1000	340000 J	73000 J	220000 3	180000 J	570000 J	220000 J	260000 3	170000 3
Chronium	P	10	81		12 3	!	12		12	
Cobalt	-,	50	54 J) ขเ	Į UL	₩.	56 J	กเ	l nr	Af
Copper	P	25	83	or a	UL	l ut	j VL	UL	UL.	UL
Iron	,	50	68000	67 J	7460 U	2400 J	26000 J	9988	91 00 J	1100
Lead	F	2.0	50	UL	2.2 U	UL	15	2.5	1.3	5.4
Magnesium	P	1900	189000 J	28000	120000 3	88944	320000 J	120000 J	140000 3	93 0001 J
Aanganese	P	15	1100	R	80 U	R	630	UL	170	ן טנ
Mercury	CV	0.20						j UL		VL
Mickel	P	41	57 3	j VL	UL	UL		UL		UL
Potassium	A	500	26000	6300	9100	6400	8500 J	7100 3	5200 3	3800)
Selenium	H	1.9	91	l UL	j u	Į UL				
Silver	P	10	a	l t	ı	1	*) UL		Į ÜL
Sodium	A	500	280000	26000	58000	62000	420000	450000	32000	36101
Thailium	F	5.0	l VI	UL	UL	VL	UL	UL	ļ UL	UL UL
Vanadius	P	50	88							
Zinc	P	20	220 U		30 U		86 U	2B J	41 (
Lyanide	t	10	UL	XA.	UL	NA.	UL	NA.	UI	. NA

NOTES:

- Element was not detected.

U This analyte should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.

R Unreliable result - Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.

J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).

UL This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

MA Mot analyzed.

MALYTICAL METHOD:

P - Inductively Coupled Plasma

F - Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption

CV - Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption C - Manual Spectrophotometric

A - Flame Atomic Absorption

H - Hydride Generation

INORGANIC ANALY	515 - AMALY	TICAL RESULTS			-page ?			
ERM Morth Centra Laboratory Samp		umber	10-16104L-6U 111908-9		LO-16104L-6W 111908-9	 		
Remarks			Total	I	Disselved	i I		
Units	******		ug/L	 	ug/L			
INORGANIC ELENE	#TS	Detection Limit		 		 #01	ES:	
Aluminum	,	200	300) 	1	- U	This	ent was not detected. analyte should be considere
Antimony	,	61		! !	UL	 R	Unre	as detected in a blank at a s liable result - Amalyte ma
Arsenic	N	4.5				J	Quan	his sample. titation is approximate due t
Barium	,	50	70	۱- ا	55 J	l Ut	This	ng the quality assurance revi analyte was not detected, bu
Beryllium	ŗ	5.0		- <u> </u>	VL		the	robably higher due to a low quality assurance review.
Cadaius	P	5.0	5	ا د ا	R	HA	300	analyzed.
Calcium	þ	1000	120000	ا ر ا	110000 3	 		
Ehronium	,	10	38]]		
Cobalt	,	50	1	IL	Ut	1		
Copper	ı	25	- -	 L		1		
lren	,	50	6301	J		 		
Lead	F	2.0	5.8			 		
 Nagnesius	,	1900	78000	J	78000 J			METHÓD:
Ranganese	,	15	280	<u> </u>	510 J	i	P - F -	Inductively Coupled Plasma Graphite Furnace Atomic Abs
Reccury	CV	1.20	-) 			ĺ	CV -	Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Nammal Spectrophotometric
Hickel	,	40	-J 		 UL	(A - H -	Flame Atomic Absorption Hydride Generation
Potassium	,	500	36000		34000	\ 		
Selenium	K	4.5	- !			1		
Silver	,	10	-l !	1	l Dr	·l 		
Sedium	A	500	95000		110000	· 		
Thallium	F	5.0		VL		·]		
Vanadium	,	50	- 		 	· 		
line	,	26	92	ij	23 3	1		
Cyanide	ſ.	10	-	UL UL	MA.	1		
			_	-	·	-1		

- red 'not detected' since similar level.
- may or may not be present
- to limitations identified view (data validation).
- but the quantitation limit w bias identified during

bsorption

INORGANIC ANALYSI	S - AMA	LYTICAL RESULT	S			1	1	1	-page 1
ERK Horth Central Laboratory Sample		umber	10-161840-6W 111988-2	 LO-16184D-6H 111988-2	LO-16106DR-6N 111908-11	111908-11		10-18W04S-FD 111918-2	LO-1#W045-FD 111918-2
Remarks	.,		Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved		Total	Dissolved
Units			ug/L	ug/i	ug/L	ug/L	j mg/Kg	ug/L	ug/t
INGREANIC ELEMENT	\$	Detection Limit				 			Ouplicate of 10-180045-60
Aluminum	,	200/38	3500 3		840 3	1		88000 J	
Antimony	ľ	60/12		į UL		UL	l ur	1	
Arsenic	N.	4.5/0.87	8.8 3	6.7				130 J	34
Barium	P	58/9.6	140	UL	120	110 3		520	100 3
Beryllium	,	5.0/0.36	\	l UL		l ut		12 3	J UL
Cadmium	P	5.0/0.96		ļ R		1		6)	9)
Calcium	P	1000/200	350000 J	130000 J	190000 J	130000 3	100	1190000 J	200000 J
Chreaium	,	10/1.9	75					136	
Cobalt	P	50/9.6	55)	UL	BT.	l nr		189	UL
Copper	,	25/4.8	UL UL	Į WL) UL	i nr	l VI	110	UL UL
liren	•	58/9.6	17000 J	1000	4700 J	700 J	16 J	160000	610 J
Lead	F	2.0/.38	6.3	7.2	5.9	l Vi	9.66 J	749 J	1.2 J
	p	1000/200	190000 J	78000 J	94 919 J	58000 J	UL.	750000 J	210000
Nanganese	P	15/2.5	378	UL	120	UL		3300	170
Reccury	CV	0.20/0.067		J VI		l Vi	ļ vi	1.37 3	
Mickel	P	44/7.7	170	UL.		UL UL		150	ų u
Potassium	P	500/96	9800 3	6500)	14000 3	15000 1		116006 J	63000
Selenium	H	4.5/6.17					UL	i nr	j 01
Silver	,	10/1.9	Į R	j UL		j UL	j yı	1	·
Sodium	Å	500/.36	130000	130000	170000	180000		84000	890000
Thallium	F	5.0/9.6	j UL	-	UL	VL	į VI	Į UL	
Vanadim	,	50/5.6					[150	1
Zinc	,	20/3.8	92 U	-	39 U		4.2 3	660	42 J
Cyanide	Ç	19/1.9	ขเ	NA.	12)	NA.		73 J	HA.
1							-	-	-

MOTES:

- Element was not detected.
- R | U This analyte should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R | R Unreliable result Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.
- 1 Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).

 3 | UL This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit during the quality assurance review (data validation).
 - is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
 - MA Mot analyzed.

ANALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma
 - F Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption C - Manual Spectrophotometric
 - A Flame Atomic Absorption
 - H Hydride Generation

HORGANIC ANALY	SIS - AMALI	TICAL RESULT	\$			l	laamaaaaa	-page 9
RH Morth Centr Laboratory Samp		nber .	1	LO-184055-FB 111968-8	1L0-16W2-FB	 LO-16H2-FB		LO-16W3-FB L11918-4
lemarks			Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
Inits		***************************************	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
INORGANIC ELENE	ENTS	Detection Limit	Duplicate of LO-18005S-GU	Duplicate of LO-1MM655-6W	Field Blank	Field Blank	Field Blank	Field Blank
Lluninun	,	200	29000 J	1		, t	1200 J	R]
Intimony	P	61		UL.		l UL		į R
Arsenic	H	4.5	21 3	23				
lari 🛥	,	50	448	62)		Of		R
Beryllium	•	5.0	15 3	Į Į		[UL	UL VI	l ur
Ca da ju a	P (5.1	11 J	t	1	1		l R
Calcium	,	1000	1300000 3	120000	5306 3		38000 J	
Chronium	,	14	44			\		
Cebalt	P	54	170 J	81	BI.	UL	l UL	UL
Copper	,	25	45)	ļ VI	l UL	UL	l UL	ŲL
irea	1	50	149000 3	1600	150 3		4100	
Lead	F	2.0	300		VI		2.2	ן טנ
Nagnesium	,	1000	780000 3	58000	3300 3	UL	21000 J	-
Nanganese	P	15	3800	220	R	Į UL	73	
Nercury	CV	0.20	0.33) UL		
 Nickel 	'	44	120	U		Į VI	. UL	. UL
Potassium	,	500	14000	1100) W	, de	. UI	et.
Selenium	N	4.5					U	. (UL
Silver	,	10		1	.	l UI	.	t I
Sodium	A	540	140000	200000	J 2400		790	
Thallium	F	5.8	\	L J U	נ טו	. W	. \ W	L UL
Vanadium	þ	50						
Zinc	,	20	220		22		17 .	1
Cyanide	·	19	v	i NA	V	NA.	U	1 NA

OTES:

- Element was not detected.
- This analyte should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- Unreliable result Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.
- Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- NA Not analyzed.

MALYTICAL METHOD:

P - Inductively Coupled Plasma

F - Graphite furnace Atomic Absorption CV - Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption

C - Manual Spectrophotometric

A - Flame Atomic Absorption

H - Hydride Generation

OLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTICAL R	ESULTS	.l	-page 28	
RM-Morth Central Sample M Laboratory Sample Number		16W-181 111908-13	1644-TB 111918-8	16H-TB3 111928-2	
legarks		Trip Blank	Trip Bleak	Trip Blank	
Units	1 .	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit				
cis-1,3-Dichlarapr opana	5			UL	i Hoti
Breasform	5		 	UL	
?-Nexanone	10	ļ	<u> </u>	W.	İ
4-dethyl-2-Pentanene	10	ļ	 -	UL UL	i
Tetrachiereetheee	5	<u> </u>	.j	#L	į
Toluene	5) UL	UL	j VL	i I
Chlorobenzene	5	j Ui	. UL	j 81	į
Ethylbenzone	j 5	W	. j	VI.	İ
Styrene	5	j vi	. UL	j UL	1
Tetal Tylenes	j 5	j vi -	.) UL] 3 J	Ì
 Quantitation Limit Multip	lier	1.00	1.66	1.00	1
Date of Sample Collection		5/7/91	5/8/91	5/2/91	1
Date Sample Received by L	aboratory	5/8/91	5/9/91	5/13/91	
Date of Sample Analysis		5/21/91	5/22/91	5/23/91	
Instrument Used for Analy	sis MS-	HP-2	NP-4	MP-2	ì

- Compound was not detected.

- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- 9 Whreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

1 . A . A. T. T.			
LO-1 5U- T81 111988-13	:	LQ-16W-T83 1111928-2	
	-		
Trip Blank	Trip Blank	Trip Blank	1
ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
	-		 NOTES
15 (1)) -	5 (1) J	
 	 -	 -	1
	ug/L	Trip 8lank	Trip 8lank Trip 8lank Trip 8lank

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

 RA This fraction was not analyzed.

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS -	ARALYTICAL RESI	JLTS 1		l	-page 22
ERM-Morth Central Sample Mu Laboratory Sample Rumber		161865-W 1111928-1		161865-W0 111928-1	161065-W0 111920-1
Regarks		Aqueous		Oil Layer	Oil Layer
Units	1	ug/i		ug/Eg*	ng/kg*
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	 			Dilution Analysis of 10-161865-W0
Chioromethane	10		UL	KA	114
Browne Chane	10		WL	NA.	14
Vinyl Chloride	19		UL	HA.	NA.
Chloroethane	10	27	J) #A	BA
Methylene Chloride	5	41	U	NA.	14
Acetone	10	150	J	NA	i na
Carbon Disulfide	5		UL	II IIA	NA.
1,1-Dichieroethene	5	 	UŁ	HA.	NA
1,1-Dichioroethane	3		ยเ) NA) NA
Total 1,2-Bichloroethene	5		VL	MA	I NA
Chloroform	5		VL	NA.	NA.
1,7-Dichieroethene	5		Vl	NA.	KA.
2-Butanene	10		1] RA	NA
1,1,1-Trichloreethame	5		۷L	NA	##
Carbon Tetrachloride	\$		Δſ	A A	NA
Vinyl Acetate	10		UL) NA	NA
Bromodich Lorome thane	5		VL	NA	NA
1,1,2,2-Tetrachleroethane	5		VŁ	NA	j MA
1,2-Bichieropropane	5	1	UL	NA .	I RA
trans-1,3-Dichloropropeme	5		UL	NA.	I NA
Trichloroethene	5		ij٤	NA	AA
Dibromochloromethane	5		UL	NA .	HA
1,1,2-Trichlereethame	5		ÜĹ	NA	#A
Benzene	5	140	J	AA.	NA NA

HOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- B Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an "as received" basis.
- MA This fraction was not analyzed.

PLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTICAL BES	ULTS	1	-page 23
RM-Horth Central Sample H Laboratory Sample Number		,	161065-W0 111928-1	161865-W0 111928-1
Remarks		Aqueous	0il ayer	Gil Layer
inits .		##/L	ug/Kg*	ug/Kg*
VOLATILE COMPONIUS	Quantitation Limit	 	 	Rilution Analysis af LG-161865-MG
cis-1,3-Dicklereprepene	5	J VL	l la	j šá
Breaders	5	BI.	NA.	1A
?-Hexanone	10	UL	NA.) AA
4-Rethyl-2-Pentagone	10	NT.	NA.	HA .
Tetrachlorootheme	5	UL	NA.	NA NA
Talwene	5	360 3	NA.	14
Chlorobenzene	5) UL	KA	11.6
Ethylhenzene	5	130 J	NA.	NA NA
Styrene	5	บเ	BA	NA .
Total lyienes	5	2400 J	J NA	IA .
 Quantitation (imit Multip	lier	10.0	KA	MA
Bate of Sample Collection		5/9/91	NA.	NA NA
Date Sample Received by L	aberetory	5/13/91) NA	HA.
Date of Sample Analysis		5/23/91	NA	1 114
Instrment Used for Analy	reis AS-	MP~2	NA.	NA NA

HOTES:

- Compand was not detected.
 - U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
 - N Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an "as received" basis.
- MA This fraction was not analyzed.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC AMALYSIS	- ANALYTICAL	RESULTS			-page 24
RM-Morth Central Sample Hum aboratory Sample Humber	ber		161065-W0 111928-1	161065-40 1111928-1	161065-W0 111928-1
lenarks			kqueeus Layer	Bil Layer	Dil Analyzed Layer Tuice
Haits		1	ug/L	ug/Kg*	na\ra,
SENIVOLATILE COMPONIOS	Quantitation Limit (Aq)	Quantitation Limit (Sol)	l 	Dilution of LO-16	Dilution Analysis of LO-16106S-NO
henel	18	336	13	••	
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	10	330	 	1	
?-Chlorophonol	10	330	!		
1,3-Bichlerebenzene	10	334		1	
1,4-Bichlorobenzene	10	330		1	l
Benzyl Alcohol	10	330			
1,2-Dichierebenzene	10	339	10 3		
2-Nethylphonol	10	339	1		1
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	10	330		l l	
4-Nethylphenol	10	330	8.3		
M-Mitroso-di-n-Propylamine	10	330		l l	
Mexachloroethane	10	330	1	t	
Mitrobenzene	10	336		ı	UL/ -
Isopherene	16	330		l l	UL/ -
2-Mitrophenol	10	330		Į U	UL/ -
2,4-Dimethylphenel	10	330	17	l ar	UL/ -
Benzeic Acid	50	1650		l ar	UL/ -
bis(2-Chloreethoxy)methane	10	330		1	VL/ -
2,4-Dicklerophenel	10	330) UL	UL/ -
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10	330		1	VL/ -
Haph the lene	10	330	82	1	430000 J/ 410000
4-Chloroaniline	10	330		l t	UL/ -
Hexachierobutadiene	10	330		t	UL/ -
4-Chioro-3-Nethylphenol	14	330		VL	ul/ -
2-Nethylmaphthalene	16	330	190	730000 3	1500000 J/ 1400000

NOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may er may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified
- during the quality assurance review (data validation).

 Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an "as received" basis.
- MA This fraction was not analyzed.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTICAL	RESULTS - DRY	WEIGHT BASIS	i _l		-page 25	}
ERN-North Central Sample Hud Laboratory Sample Humber	nber .		1161065-W0 111928-1	1111928-1		161965-W0 111928-1	! _!
teserks			Aqueous Layer	Oil Layer		Dil Analyzed Layer Twice	
Units	1		ug/L	ug/Eg*		i ug/Kg*	
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit (Aq)	Quantitation Limit (Sol)	•			Dilution Analysis of LO-161065-WO	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10	330	 		t	OL/	UL
2,4,6-Trichlerephenel	10	336			ÜL	UL/	UL
2,4,5-Trichlerophenol	50	330			ØL	01/	Vi.
2-Chloronophthalone	10	330			t	UL/	VL
2-Mitroamilime	58	330			t	UL/	BL !
Dimethylphthalate	10	330			1	UL/	Ar
Acemaphthylene	10	330			R	UL/	OT
3-Mitroanilime	50	330			R	01/	UL
Acenaphthene	10	330	15	430000	J	290000 J/ 32000	H]
2,4-Binitrophenel	50	336			VL	UL/	UL
4-Mitrophenoi	50	339			ı	UL/	Nr
Dibenzefuran	10	330		53000	J	190000 J/ 42000	H 3
Z,4-Dinitrotelwene	1#	330		ļ	l	l ut/	UL
2,6-Bimitratelwene	10	330			ı	VL/	Br
Diethylphthalate	10	330			ı	UL/	UL
4-Chlorophenylphenylether	10	336			k	UL/	UL
Fluorene	10	1650	21	820000	J	540000 J/ 5700	H J
4-Mitroaniline	50	330			t	UL/	ØL
4,6-Binitro-2-Rethylphenol	50	330			n	DL/ -	—-!
N-Mitrosodiphonylamine	16	336	24	140008	J	240000 J/ 2000	10 J
4-Bromophenyiphenylether	10	330			R	UL/ -	
Hexachlorobenzene	10	330			R	UL/ -	
Pentachiorophenol	50	330			VL	UL/ -	
Phenanthrene	10	330	47	510000	J	640000 J/ 5200	00 J

- Compound was not detected.

- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since
- it was detected in a blank at a similar level.

 R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present
- in this sample.

 J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an "as received" basis.
- WA This fraction was not analyzed.

XTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTICAL	RESULTS - DRY	WEIGHT BA	\$15			-page 26	_
RM-Horth Central Sample Hum aboratory Sample Humber	ber		161865-W0 1111928-1	,	161865-40 111928-1		161065-W0 111928-1	
lenarks		,	Aqueeus Layer	! !	0il Layer		Oil Analyzed Layer Twice	
Units	t	1	ug/L		ug/Lg*		ug/Kg*	
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit (Aq)	Quantitation Limit (Sol)		-!	<u>-</u>		Dilution Analysis of LO-161665-WO	ļuū
Anthracene	10	330	1			1	UL!	- (
Di -a-B utylphthalate	18	334				1	NT/	-
Fiveranthene	18	334			- 	1	WL	-
Pyrene	10	330	1	J	47000	J	28000 J/ 30000	J
Butylbenzylphthalate	10	330	ļ	·	, 	l	 	<u> </u>
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	20	664	 -		; 	R	 -	i
Benze(a)anthracene	j 19 -	330	-	·· ··	 	1	 	i
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	10	330	15		120000	1	170000 3/ 130000	ا <u>د</u>
Chrysene) 10 -	336	 -) 	t	<u> </u>	Ì
Bi-m-Octylphthalate	10	330	<u> </u>		 	1	 	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	10	330	 -			l.		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	19	338	ļ			ŀ	 	_
Benzo(a)pyrene	10	330	 -			1	 	_
Indeno(1,2,3-c6)pyrene	10	330	i -)			l 	-1	
Oibenz(a,h)anthracene	10	330	-		İ	ı	 	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	10	330	i -i			t .	 -	
Quantitation <u>limit</u> Multipli	ier	,	1.20		61.6		300 / 300	į
Date of Sample Collection			5/9/91		5/9/91		5/9/91	<u>-</u>
Date Sample Received by Lai	oratory		5/13/91		5/13/91		5/13/91	—
Bate Sample Extracted			5/21/91		5/21/91		[5/21/91	
Date of Sample Analysis			6/6/91		6/5/91		6/11 6 6/11	
Instrument Used for Analys	is	ec/as	MP-3		NP-3		MP-3	1

- Compound was not detected.

- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an *as received* basis.
- MA This fraction was not analyzed.

LP-TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS-ES	STEMATED CONCE	nīri	TIONS	. 1			-pa	ge 2}
RM-Horth Central Sample Humber aboratory Sample Humber	10- 161065-W0		161865-W0 111928-1		161065-W 111928-1	1		
lesarks	Aqueous Layer		0il Layer	I 		Dilution of 10-1		
inits	ug/L		ug/Eg*		ug/Lg*			
OLATILE COMPONENTS								_
inknoun (No. of Peaks)	436 ((5))						
GH12 Isomer	144	(2)J	! !					
7M16 Isomer	140	J						
Hekneum Alkane	470	J						
Ethylmethylbenzene	710	J	!					
SENIVOLATILE COMPONENTS		-	 					
Unknown Zetene			1		-	1	•	J
Alkylbenzenes (No. of Peaks)			 	_	950000	(2)3/	•	(2)3
Unknown (No. of Peaks)	196	(3)J	363000	(9)J	2440000	(5)3/	•	(5)3
Diactyl ester Hexamediaic acid	540	1			 			
Ethylmethylbenzene	86	,			540000	3/	-	
Unknown Aromatic (No. of Peaks)	109	(2).	124000	(2)3	1330000	(3)3/	•	(3)3
Undecane	62	J	!		ļ			
Unknown Alkane (No. of Peaks)	844	(7);	71000	(4)3	4880000	(7)3/	•	(6)3
Climie Isomer	63	ı	 					
Dimothylnaphthalene (No. of Peaks)	197	(2).			 			
2,6,10,14-Tetramethyl-pentadecane	160	J			 			
3-Methyl-octadecane	144	ı						
2,3,4-Trimethyl-pontane	 		16000	J				
Mothylbenzene (Toluene)	 	_	16000	J	 		_	
Bimethyl-ectane			11990	J	370000	3/	•	(3)J
C7M12 Isamer	 !		1000	J				
locate	 	_	57000	J	 			
Unknown Cyclohexane			-	_	390000	1		
2,3,4-Trimethyl-pentane			- 		1		_	

NOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- 8 Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an "as received" basis.
- NA This fraction was not analyzed.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYS	SIS - AMALYTICAL	RESULTS		.1		-page 28	_
RH-Horth Central Sample i aboratory Sample Number	lumber		161865-W0 111928-1	161065-W0 111928-1		1965 -1 10 1928-1	
lesarks			Aqueous	Oil Layer	,	Dil eyer	
Vaits			ug/L	ug/Kg*	0	g/£g•	
Pesticides	Quantitation	Quantitation Limit (Sol)				lution Analysis 10-161865-WO	NOT
alpha-BRC	0.05	1.1	HA.		1	MA .	
beta-BRC	0.05	8.0	I MA		1	NA .	
delta-BIC	0.05	1.1	**		1	NA .	
çanna-BHC (Lindane)	0.05	1.6	NA		1	#4	
Heptachior	1.15	8.0	MA.		1	NA .	-
Aldrin	0.05	8.0	i na		R	NA .	
Meptachier Epexide	0.05	1.1	#4	510	R	NA.	
Endosulfan I	0.05	8.0	84			RA	
Dieldrin	0.10	16	WA			RÁ	I
4,4'-DBE	0.10	16	NA.		R	44	
Endrin	1.10	16	RA .			MA	_ _
Endosulfan II	0.10	16	NA.		R	RA.	
4,4'-000	0.10	16	NA.		.	NA.	
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.10	16	MA.		R	RA	
4,4'-007	0.10	16	NA			MA	
hethoxychlor	1.50	10	1 11	1800	R	NA.	1
Endrin Letone	0.10	16	HA.	4800	R	NA	
alpha-Chlordane	1.50	80	i na		1	II.	_
gama-Chiordane	0.50	10	ļ M		R	NA	
Texaphene	1.0	160	i NA		 k	na .	-

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an "as received" basis.
- MA This fraction was not analyzed.

ļ

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC A	MALYSIS - AMALYTICAL	RESULTS - DRY	WEIGHT BASI	\$		-page 29	
ERM-Morth Central Sam Laboratory Sample Mum			161865-W0 111928-1	161865-W0 111928-1		161865-H0 111928-1	1
Resarks			Aqueous	0il Layer		Oil Layer	
Units		1	ug/L	ug/Kg*		ad\rd.	
PCBs		Quantitation Limit (Sol)				Bilution Analysis of 18-161865-WO	1
Arecler-1816	♦.5	80	#4	ļ		IIA .	MOTE
Areclor-1221	0.5	10	MA	,		MA	
Arecler-1232	0.5	#	RA.			MA	-
Arecler-1242	0.5	10	#4	1130		NA.	-
Arecler-1248	0.5	81	NA.	-,	ı	NA.	-[
Arector-1254	1.0	160	MA		R	MA.	
Arecler-1268	1.0	160	lia.		1	l M	
 Quantitation Limit H	ultiplier	,) #A	j j 15		NA.	ļ
Date of Sample Colle	ction		HA	5/9/91	·	NA .	-1
Date Sample Received	by Laboratory		NA.	5/13/91] XA	-1
Date Sample Extracte	4		IA.	5/21/91		NA NA	_
Date of Sample Analy	sis		MA	5/29/91		MA	-ı

- Compound was not detected.

- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- * Reported on an "as received" basis.
- NA This fraction was not analyzed.

1

EXTRACTABLE DRGANIC AN	ALYSIS - ANA	LYTICAL RESI	ILTS		1		l					i		_			-page 3
ERM-Morth Central Samp Laboratory Sample Numb		184015-64 111897-7			1 NW0 2 D - GW 1 11 19 08 - 6		180 030-6 0 111897-9	186045-66 111918-6	1111908-1		1905D-64 111908-10			184875-64 111908-5			16106DR-MS/MS 111908-12
Remarks			 												 	\ 	
Units	1	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	jug/L	ug/L	 	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L 	ug/L 	ug/L	ug/L
PCBs	Quant. Limit	 	 		1		 					 	 		i 1	; !	
Aracler-1816	1.5		ļ									1	 			 	
Arecier-1221	0.5		 												 		
Areclor-1232	0.5												 				
Arecier-1242	0.5			 						645			1				
Arecler-1248	1.5			1]				350			j 				}
Arector-1254	1.0									1					 		
Aracler-1260	1.0	ļ		 	[-	 	 	l	 -	120			 		 	 	
 Quantitation Limit Mul	tiplier	1.99	1.00]]3.89	1.00	 1.99	1.00	11.90	1.00	19.9	110.0	11.00	 1.00	1.99	11.00	 1.00	1.80
Date of Sample Collect	ion	5/6/91	15/6/91	15/8/91	15/7/91	5/6/91	15/6/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	15/7/91	5/8/91	15/8/91	15/7/91	5/1/91	15/6/91	5/7/91
Date Sample Received	y Laboratory	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/9/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/8/91
 Date Sample Extracted		5/10/91	5/10/91	5/14/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/18/91	5/14/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/14/91	[5/14/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	1	5/10/91
Date of Sample Analys	is	5/21/91	5/21/91	5/21/91	5/20/91	5/21/91	5/22/91	5/21/91	5/17/91	5/22/91	5/20/91	5/21/91	5/21/91	5/17/91	1	1	6/4/91

MOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
 Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYT	ICAL RESUL	TS 	l 	·	I	I		l—	1		I	l 		l	l 	-page 18
ERN-North Central Sample N Laboratory Sample Number								161060R-6W 111988-11					16W1-F8 111897-11	16WZ-FB 111908-3			111918-7
Reserks				1								Duplicate of LO-1MW055-6W				Trip Blank	
Units	,	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	lug/L	lug/L	jug/L	ug/L	ug/L	j ug/L
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant. Limit		 			 	 		Analyzed Tuice				Analyzed Tuice			Analyzed Twice	1
[Ch]oromethane	10	!	 	1	! !	 			- 1	W.		UL / -					
Bronone Chane	10		 				! !	 	- 1	UL		UL / -	1				
Vinyl Chlorido	10		!		13	 			- 1	UL		Nr / -				1	
Chlereethane	10	1	 	43	5 3			53	- 1	UL		UL / -					
Methylene Chieride	5	1	1	5 8	5 0	5 8	5 0	7 %	- 23	U	6 0	50 U/ 50 U	-/ 16 3	4.3	8.3	-/ 15 3	5 4
Acetone	18								- 1	UL		UL / -					
Carbon Disulfide	5					ļ			- 1	ΨL		UL / -					
1,1-Dichloroethene	5		2 3	5	3 3		ļ	ļ	- 1	UL		UL / -	ļ				
1.1-Dichloroethane	5			50	70		43		- 1	AT.	2 3	UL / -					2 3
Total 1,2-Dichloroethene	5			21	15			ļ	- 1	UL		UL / -					3 3
Chloreform	5	[(3)	[!		[- 1	UL		UL / -	1	1		[1
1,2-Dichloroethane	5								- /	VL		31 3/ 28 3					
2-Butanone	10			R				1	R /	t	l k	R / R	R / R	R	R	R / R	1 8
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5		2.3	120	83				1			Ut / UI	L				
Carbon Tetrachloride	5		3.3	ļ		1						UL / UI	L				
Vinyl Acetate	19	- 	!									UL W	L)				
Bromodichieremethane	5												-				
1.1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5																
1,2-Dichlorepropane	 5				!	 	!				 	UL / U	-				·]———
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5										 	UL / 0	-				
Trichloroethene	5	- 		6	3 3						———— 	UL / U	٠ <u> </u>				
Dibromechleromethane	5				<u> </u>) 	-) 			UL / U	-			·]	
1,1,2-Trichlereethane	5		ļ	- 	-! !	 	- 		• 		!	UL / U	- L			 	
Benzene	- 5	-						DI	-/ UI	 L	UL	UL / U	-	-	UL	UL / UL	-

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- ANALYT	ICAL RESULT	\$:	,			1				_ t.			1	l	1	-page 11
ERN-North Central Sample N Laboratory Sample Number								16106DR-GU 111908-11				184055 111908		160 111			16W3-FB 111918-4	,		184025-NS/NSE 111918-7
Remarks				 			 				Buplicate of 10-1 MJ04 S- G U							Trip Blank		
Units		l ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/l	ug/L	i ug/l	jug/L		eg/L	ug/L		ug/	 l	ug/L	jug/L	jug/t	·{	ug/L
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant.	 					1		Analyzed Twice			Analy: Twice	red	Ana Iui	lyzed ce	 		Analyze Tuice	d	
cis-1,3-Dichlaropropene	5					 	!	 		I		UL /	ŧ	-		 				_
Bronotorn	5	1])]		<u> </u>		for /	ı	-	******	!— !	1]	<u> </u>	
2-Hexanone	10					- 										 			<u> </u>	
4-Methyl-2-Pentamone	10		1		[—		[₍						(
Tetrachloroethene	5		2 3	3 3		ļ			23/	-										
Talvene	5							U.	5 U/	Br	UL	UL /	(n :	3/ -		UL	UL/	UL	UL
Chlorobenzene	5					ļ		l vi	-/	UL	V L	Or 1		ii (1	UL/	ווו	ชเ
Ethylbenzene	5					 		Dr.	-/	UL	UL	358 3	/ 370)			UL	UL/	UL	Ut
Styrene	5	1	}	}	}	} })	m	-/	101	DI	jui /	1	DT		}) bi	W1.	บเ	ชเ
Total Tylenes	5	 	5 U	5 8				UL	12 0/	Or	UL	920 J	/ 890 .] 1: 1	- /د	 	Ul	U1,	שנ	UL
Quantitation Limit Multip	lier	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	j 1.00/ 1	.00	1.00	 10.0/	18.0	 - 1.	1.10	1.00]1.00	 1.00		1.00
Date of Sample Collection		1516191	15/6/91	15/6/91	15/6/91	5 7 91	15/7/91	1517191	15/5/91	_	5/8/91	51719	11	15/	6/91	15/7/91	15/8/91	15/2/91		5/8/91
Date Sample Received by L	boratory	5/7/91	15/7/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/7/91		5/9/91	5/8/9)1	5/	7/91	5/8/91	5/9/91	5/7/91		5/9/91
Date of Sample Analysis		5/12/91	5/12/91	5/12/91	5/12/91	5/14/91	5/14/91	5/21/91	5/12 \$ 5	/13	5/22/91	15/17	\$ 5/17	 5/	12 & 5/13	5/14/91	5/22/91	5/12 \$	5/13	5/22/91
Instrument Used for Analy	sis RS-	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	NP-2	 HP-4	HP-4	NP-2	 HP-2/ H	 {P-2	HP-4	LMP-2	/ HP-0	- - HP	-2/ HP-Z	 HP-4	- -	 HP-2/	HP-2	HP-2

NOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation.
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS				ļ							ļ							-page 12
ERN-North Central Sample Num Laboratory Sample Humber	ber LO-	16101H-GH 111897-4	161810-6W 111897-3	16102L-6H 111897-2	161020-64 111897-1	16104L-6H 111908-9	161040-6H 111908-2	161060A-GN 111908-11	111897-10		111190						61/6 -TB 111897-12 	11M025-R5/R5B 111918-7
Banasha.		— 	1		! ———— 			Ì	of		Origi Oilut	tion/		Blank	Field Blank		Trip Blank	
lenerks		 	<u> </u>		 	 	 	 	ļ	\	\		[0-1mess en	 	ļ		<u> </u>	ļ
Veits		ug/L 	ug/l 	ug/L	ug/L 	09/ L 	ug/L 	mg/L 	ug/L 	ug/L 	ug/L			ug/L 	ug/L	ug/L 	ug/L 	Ug/L
SENIVOLATILE COMPONIOS	Quant. Limit	\ 	l	\ 	 	 	 	 	 	 	Analy	yzed		(-	↓ 	 	l ├───	l
Phenol	10	 	 	 -	 	ļ	 	 	 	 	 	1/	k/ k	! 	! 	 	MA	
bis(2-Chloreethyl)ether	10	j 	İ	<u> </u>	Í 	İ	 	İ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			Ì	İ	İ	į #A	İ
2-Chlorophonol	18	<u> </u>		į							ļ	R/	k/ k	į .		į	NA.	i
1,3-Bichlerobenzene	10																HA.	
1,4-Bichlorobenzene	10								,		1						NA.	
Benzyl Aicobel	10					!				!			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	!			HA.	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	10	\ 		1			 	 	\ 	\		*****		-\ 		-\ 	j NA	
2-Nethy Lphenel	10	 	- 	- 	 	 	 	· 	 		·	R/	E/ R		- 	- 	NA.	\ \
bis(2-Chloreisopropyl)ether	10	- 		- 	- 	- 	- 	- 		·	- 				- 	-	MA.	
4-Nethylphonel	10	-\ 	-\ 	- 	-{	- 	\ 	-\ 		-\	- 	R/	1/ t	-\ 	-\ 	-	-{	
- Hitroso-di-n-Propylamine	10	-	•	- 	- 	- 		- 		- 	- 			- -	- 	-	NA.	
	10	- 	- 	- !	- 	-		-		- 	- 			- 	- 	- 	HA	·
Hitrobenzone	10			- 		- 		- 	-{ 		-	UL/	-/ -	- -	- 	-	-	
Isopherene	10		- 	- 	- 		-	- 	- 	-		VL/	-1 -		- 	- 	I NA	
2-Mitrophenel	10			- 				ļ		-		R/	-/ N	-			NA	
2,4-Binethylphenoi	18									2.3		R/	-1				NA.	
Benzoic Acid	50									27 3		R/	R/ R				NA	
	10	-1	1									UL/	-1 -	- 		 	NA	
2,4-Dichierephenel	10			l	[-	R/	N/ R				Į RA	
1,2,4-Trichlerobenzene	10				 	 	<u> </u>					UL/	-1 -				ļ RA	- -
Haph thalene	10					 	- 	<u> </u>			180	8 3/ 248	0 / 1100				NA.	
4-Chleroaniline	10					<u> </u>					-	UL/	-1 -	-			I NA	
Hexachlerobytadiene	10		 		i					- 	 	UL/	-1 -				TA	
4-Chiere-3-Hethylphenel	19			-							- -	R/	1/ 1	- 			14	-
?_Bathvinsnbthsiann		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-!	- -			-			-	-

EXTRACTABLE DRGANIC ANALYSI	S - AMALYTI	CAL RESULTS			l	1	l	1		l	l					1	-page 13
RM-North Central Sample Mu Laboratory Sample Mumber		16101#-6W 111897-4									1M055-F0 111908-8		16W1-FB 111897-11			GU6-TB 111897-12	 1000025-05/05 111918-7
Remerks		 	 		 	 	 	İ	of		Original/ Oilution/ Reanalyses	Ouplicate of LO-1MAMSS-	Field Blank			Trip Blank	
Heits		ug/L	ug/L	mg/L	ug/L	 ug/L	l ug/L	mg/L	ug/L	l ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant. Limit	:							 								
Mexachlorocyclopentadiene	10			1					ļ		Br/	UL/ U	L			į HA	
2,4,6-Trickierophenei	10										R/	k/ I				MA	
2,4,5-Trichlerephenel	50								 		R/	t/ 1				NA.	
2-Chloronaphthalene	10			1			—— 				BL/	OL/ 1)L			, KA	
2-Mitroaniline	50					1	——— 				UL/	ar\ i	ı			NA.	
Disethylphthalate	10										UL/	VL/	rt			MA	
Acenaphthylene	10										UL/	UL/	 	ļ		HA.	
3-Mitroamiline	50										UL/	VL/	n			HA.	
Acenaphthene	10										UL/	VL/	 ห) HA	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	50										l N	R/	1			HA	
4-Mitrophenol	50										R/	k/	1			MA	
Bibenzoturan	10				1						660 3/. 5	60 3/ 670)			NA.	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	10					- - 				-	UL/	UL/	#L			NA.	
2,6-Binitrotoluene	16]	1							UL/	OL/	Ar j			NA.	
Diethylphthalate	16									-	UL/	UL/	Ar			I NA	-
4-Chlorophonylphenylether	10]								UL/	VL/	nr			NA.	
Fluorene	10				1		- 	- 		- 	870 3/ 13	00 J/ 930	J			I NA	-
4-Mitroamiline	50		- 		- 		- 	-		 	- UL/	UL/	OL			I MA	
4,6-Dinitro-2-Hethylphenol	50				- -		- 	-	 		k/	R/	R/			#A	-
R-Mitrosodiphonylamine	10				- 	- 		- 	-	- -	- UL/	UL/	UL	 !	- 	1 14	
4-Bromophenylphonylether	10	-		ļ	- - 	- !		-	- - -		UL/	UL/	gr	- - 		I A	
Mexachlorobenzene	10		- 	 	- -	-	- 	- 	-		UL/	VL/	 VL	- !	- 	14	-
Pentachlorophenol	50				- 	- 	- 	- 			R/	R/		 !	-	**	 \
Phonanthrene	10	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(00 J) 3600	. 		-	 M	-

	1

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTI	CAL RESULTS												,	,	,	-page 14
ERM-Morth Central Sample Mu Laboratory Sample Mumber			 16101D-6H 111897-3							,	184855-FD 111988-8		16W1-FB 111897-11			546 -78 111897-12	1HM025-NS/NS0 111918-7
Remarks		 	 	 	 	 	! 	İ	Duplicate of LO-18403S-6	Duplicate of U LO-184045-64	 Original/ Dilution/ Reanalyses	of	Blank			Trip Blank	
		 ug/L	 ug/L	 ug/L	 ug/L	 ug/L	 ug/L	 ug/L	 ug/l	- ug/L	 ug/L		 ug/L	 ug/L	 ug/L		ug/l
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant. Limit	 	 	 	 	 - 	 	 	 	- 	- 		 	 	 	-} 	
Anthracene	10	 	 	 	 	 	 				150 3/	UL/ 210 J		 	 	I NA	
Di-n-Butylphthelate	16	 	 		} -	1	\		\ 		UL/	UL/ UL	} !	} !	\ 	AA.	
Fluoranthene	10	 		 [75 3/	UL/ 136 J	 	 		MA	
Pyrent	10			- 	!——— !			ļ			160 3/	UL/ 99 3	 			AK	
Butylbenzylphthalate	19	 	 		 	ļ		 	 	- 	UL/	VL/ -	 	 	5 3	, KA	
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	20			ļ —————	 			 			UL/	UL/ -		 		NA	
Benzo(a)anthraceme	10			ļ	ļ						UL/	UL/ -	ļ	 	 	NA.	
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalete	10]	 					520 3/ 64	10 3/ 530		}		RA.	
Chrysene	10			 			 				UL/	UL/ -			 	HA.	
Oi-n-Octylphthalate	10					1			\ \		UL!	UL\$ -		\ \		NA.	
Benzo(b)fluorantheme	10										VL/	UL/ -			-{ 	NA.	
Benzo(k)fluorantheme	10										UL/	WL/ -	 		 	HA.	
Benzo(a)pyrene	10										טנ/	DT/ -	}			NA.	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1 18										UL/	UL/ -				NA.	
 Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	10										UL/	UL/ -				NA.	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	10									!	UL/	UL/ -				HA.	
Quantitation Limit Multipl	ier	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.60	1.00	10.0/50.0/	10.1	1.00	1.66	1.00	NA	1.00
Date of Sample Collection		5/6/91	 5/6/91		5/6/91	5/7/91	 5/7/91	5/7/91	5/6/91	5/8/91	- 5/7/91		 5/6/91	- 5/7/91	5/8/91	l HA	 5/8/91
Date Sample Received by Lai	oratory	5/7/91	5/7/91	 5/7/91	- - 5/7/91	- 5/8/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	- 5/7/91	5/9/91	5/8/91		 5/7/91	5/8/91	5/9/91	 RA	 5/9/91
 Date Sample Extracted		 5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	 5/10/91		5/10/91	5/10/91	5/19/91	5/14/91		 -	 5/10/91	 5/10/91	5/14/91	- - 	
Date of Sample Analysis		- 6/4/91	6/4/91	6/4/91	- 6/4/91	- 6/4/91	5/23/91	5/23/91	5/22/91	5/38/91	- 5/27, 5/30	£ 5/31	 5/22/91	 5/23/91	5/30/91	 i NA	 5/31/91
Instrument Used for Analys	is 6CNS-	- KP-1	- HP-1	 HP-1	- HP-1	 NP-1	- HP-1	- HP-1	HP-1		 RP-1/HP-1/	/MP-1	 HP-1	- HP-1	- HP-1		

CLP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUND	S - ES	TINATED COL	CENTRATION	IS										41	-page 15
							16186DR-6W 111988-11		1	,	•		16W3-F8 111918-4		186025-85/850 111918-7
Remarks			 	 	 	 	•		Ouplicate of LO-1MA045-64	J			,	Trip Blank	
Units	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	#9/L	jug/L	ug/L	iug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/l
VOLATILE COMPONENTS	-		 -	 	 		:	Analyzed Twice		1	Analyzed Twice	! !	•	Analyzed Twice	-
Unknown (Number of Peaks)		5 (1)3	 	21 (1)3	11 (1)3	 	52 (2)3		149 (2)3	9528 (6)3/2717 (8)3		6 (1)3	5 (1)3	, ———— ,	
Blank Contaminants			! !	!) 	1		- / N R			- } 49 R			- 78 R	

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- MA This fraction was not analyzed.

LP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS -	ESTIMATE	D CONCENTR	ATIONS				ı	i	1				,	,		-page 16
								1 HM 035-FD 1 11 1897-19	18645-FD 111918-2	1MW955-FD 111908-8		16M1-FB 111897-11				 1MM025-NS/NS(111918-7
enarks	 	 	 	 	 				Buplicate of LO-1MU045-6W						Trip Blank	<u> </u>
inite	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		lug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
ENTVOLATILE COMPONENTS	1				 	 				Analyzed Thi	ree Times	ļ		 	 	
rimethylbenzens			ļ	!	 	 	2 (1)3		1							
thyldimethylbenzene		ļ	<u> </u>							- /	1886 J/ -			 		
limethylbenzene					<u> </u>		6 (2)3			766 3/	- / -				 	
Paknoum Alkame (Ms. of Peaks)	ļ	 		!	6 (1)3	 	. 	!	1	5330 (10)3/25	1200 (16)3/38440 (9))	- -	 	 	
Jaknoum Cyclohexanes (No. of Peaks)	!	ļ			!	ļ	!		ļ	540 (2)3/	- / 5900 (1)	1	-i !		 	
Bis(1,1-Gimethyi-ethyi)phenol			\	\ \	2 3		3 3		1		***************************************		· 		 	
Blank Contaminants (No. of Peaks)	39 (5)R	40 (5)8	322 (5)8	1055 (6)8		15 (4)1	1		6 (1)2	580 (1)R/	- 1 -	2 (1)6	: 		 	- -
Jaknown (No. of Peaks)	9 (3)3	8 (3)3	18 (8)3	33 (3)3	38 (5)3	10 (4)	107 (10)3	5 (1):	385 (12)3	1850 (4)3/	4900 (1)3/ 19380 (16	-)	 	6 (2)3	 	31 (2)
Waknoum Phthalate (No. of Peaks)	7 (2)3	7 (2)3	7 (2)	8 (2)3							····	-\- 		2 (1)		-
Mexadecanoic Acid			8 3		10 J			ļ				- 	- - -		 	
Ethylbenzene (VOA Target)				!			2 (1)3					- 		 		
Methylbenzene (VOA Target)	 	[2 3	ļ	!		2 J	1 1 1	• - 	 		-) 				
1,1-Biphenyl-2-ol	2 3													 	\ 	
Z-Methyl-1(1,1-dimethylethy)propanoic Acid	- 1	[!	!			-	2 3	[2 J	- 	 	 	-
Dioctylester Hexadecaneic Acid	1		- 	-l	-{ 	-\- 	- !	[490 J				640 J	-\ -	 		-
Unknown Oxygenated Compound (No. of Peaks)		- -	- !	!	- 	-! !	- 				26 (1).	-]	 	 	-
Unknown Aromatic (No. of Peaks)	-	·		- 			- 7 (2)J	 	!	320 (1)3/	5389 (1)3/ -	- 	- - 	! !		-
Unknown Polyaromatic Hydrocarbon							- !			530 3/	- 1 -	- 	- 	 	 	
Dimethylpyridine (No. of Peaks)	- 	- 	-	- 	·			-	29 (2)3			- 	- 	 	 	
5-Ethyl-2-Methylpyridine	-	- 		- 		-	-[-	28 J			- 	- 		 	-
3,3,5-Trimethyl-cyclohexane (No. of Peaks	- 	- 	- 	- 	 	- 	3 (1)3	-	157 (2)3			- 	- 	 	- 	-
Tetramethylbutyl Phenoi	- 	- !	- 	- 	- 		- 	- 	-	- - 		 	- 	- 	- 	-
Unknown Carboxylic Acid	- 	- - 	·/	- 		- 	{ 	-[- -	11 3			- 	-{- -	- -	- 	-
Laberatory Artifact (No. of Peaks)	- 	-	- 	- 	2 (1)	- R	5 (1)	-	-	 		-	10 (3)	R 2 (1)		-
Binethylmaphthalone		-	-j		-j	·	-	-}	-	-	1600 3/ -		-	-	-	-

RM-Horth Central Sample N aboratory Sample Number	umber LO-	16101#-64 111897-4	161010-6W 111897-3	16102L-6W 1111897-2	16102D-GW 111897-1	161041-6N 111988-9	16104D -6 W 111908-2	161060R-6H 111908-11	1111897-10		18655-FD 111908-8	16W1-FB 111897-11	16W2-FB 111908-3			1NW025-MS/MSD 111918-7
esarks		 	 			———— 	 		Duplicate of LO-1MM035-6W	Ouplicate of		Field Blank			Trip Blank	
aite		l ug/l	ug/L	19/1	ug/ L	ug/L	ug/L	nd\r	lug/L	ug/L	lug/L	lug/L	lug/L	lug/L	ug/t	ug/L
resticides	Quant. Limit		ļ	! !	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 										
ipha-BHC	0.05					1			 			1			i na	
e Ea-BHC	0.05														84	
le)ta-BHC	0.05]] NA	
panna-BHC (Lindane)	0.05				1	 		 		 					j MA	
teptachler	0.05	1						 				1			KA.	
Aldria	0.05		ļ					 							NA.	
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.05	<u> </u>	ļ	İ	!			1							NA.	
Endosulfan I	0.05	<u> </u>		ļ			- 						 		IIA.	
Dieldrin	0.10	<u> </u>		ļ	 										HA.	
1,4'-008	0.10	 -	 											İ	NA.	
Endrin	0.10	ļ		ļ					 						#A	
Endosulfan II	0.10	 -		.i	İ		ļ			 				-	NA.	
4,4'-000	6.10	 -		.i				 	 -	 	1			 -	NA .	
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.10) -	Ì -	Ì	Ì	Ì	Ì	Ì	` - 	Ì) 		Ì) -	NA -	Ì
4,4'-80T	0.10	i -l	-	 					Ì	 	-1	 		-	NA .	
Methoxychlor	0.50	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-l	İ	ļ	-		 -	 -			i	-	HA	
Endrin Ketone	0.16	ļ	ļ	. -	ļ	i I						 			NA	
alpha-Chlordane	1.50		.i	-	j	İ		1							NA.	
gasma-Chlordane	0.50	<u> </u>	i -i			ļ						1			#A	
Toxaphene	1.4						1				1		1		14	

XTRACTABLE BREAMIC ANAL	YSIS - ANAI	LYTICAL RES	STIBS	1. 1		ı					1			1	I	-page 18
RM-North Central Sample aboratory Sample Number								161060R-GH 111908-11	•		11111955-FD 1111968-8	16W1-FB 111897-11	16W2-FB 111908-3		5U6 -T8 111897-12	111918-7
Remarks		 	 	! !			 		Duplicate of LO-180035-EU	Duplicate of LO-1ML045-6W		Field Blank	Field Blank		Trip Blank	
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	iug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/t	ug/L
PCBs	Quant.	 				! !		 								
Arecler-1221	1.5					! !					!				RA	
Arocler-1221	0.5		 												I II A	
Arecler-1232	0.5])				- - 	1				NA.	
Arocler-1242	0.5														BA	
Arocier-1248	0.5			ļ		!		<u> </u>			420			İ	MA.	
Aroclor-1254	1.0														MA	
Areclor-1260	1.0	 			 						160				HA	
Quantitation Limit Hult	iplier	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.60	1.00	10.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	I MA	1.00
Date of Sample Collecti	6 8	5/6/91	5/6/91	5/6/91	5/6/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/6/91	5/0/91	5/7/91	(5/6/91	5/7/91	[5/8/91	HA.	5/8/91
Date Sample Received by	Laboratory	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/8/91	5/9/91	MA.	5/9/91
Date Sample Extracted		5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/14/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/14/91	MA	5/14/91
Date of Sample Analysis		5/17/91	5/17/91	5/17/91	5/17/91	5/20/91	5/17/91	5/20/91	5/22/91	5/21/91	5/22/91	5/22/91	5/17/91	5/29/91	RA	5/22/91

NOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.
- VI This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- MA This fraction was not analyzed.

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTICAL R	ESULTS		-page 19	
ERM-Herth Central Sample Mu Laboratory Sample Mumber		1 611 -781 111988-13	16H-TB 111918-8	16U-T83 1111928-2	
lonarks	••••••••	Trip Blank	Trip Blank	Trip Blank	
Maits		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
POLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	 	 		
Chloresethane	10			UL	
Ironomethane	19			UL !	
Vinyl Chloride	10			UL (
Chloroethane	10			UL	HOTE
Methylens Chloride	1 5	1	6	1 3	
Acetone	10			ł UL	
Carbon Disulfide	5			UL	
1,1-Bichloreetheme	5			UL	
1,1-Dichloroethame	5		!	U1	
Total 1,2-Dichloroethene	5			UL UL	
Chioreform	5			2 3	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	5) UL	
?-Butanone	10	R	1	1	<u> </u>
1,1,1-Trichlorgethame	5			UL	
Carbon Tetrachieride	5			UL	!
Vinyl Acetate	10			Į UL	!
Bromedichleromethane	5			l UL	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachieroethane	5			UL	! !
1,2-Dichloropropans) 5			UL	1
trans-1,3-Dichlereprepene	5			UL	1
Trichloroethene	5	- 	- 	VL	1
Dibromethleromethane	(-		(1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	- 	- 	- J- UL	
Benzene			- . U	 L 3 J	1

Compound was not detected.

U This compound should be considered 'not detected' since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.

R Unreliable result - Compound may or may not be present in this sample.

J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.

UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

1

CLP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUN	I o s - Est	INATED CO	ICENTRATIONS					1 !	_ 1		1	l	1	1	1 .	-page 6
ERM-North Central Sample Number LG- Laboratory Sample Number	111897-7			INWEZO-GU							14W065-6W 111918-5		184675-64 111908-5			161060R-MS/MS 111908-12
Remarks			[[[[[
 Units	lug/t	ug/L	ug/L	uq/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L
COMPOUNDS		j	 	 		1	 							 		
VOLATILE CORPORENTS		 					 Analyzed Tuice				 		- 	 -	 -	
Unknown (No. of Peaks)		 	24 (3)J	8 (1)3 	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		115 (4)3/ 74 (2)J	23 (1)3	5133 (8)3	77 (3)J	3 (1)J	44 (2)3	8 (1)3	 		357 (2)3
Blank Contaminants		90 R			- 43 R	- / 81	5 1/ -							!	!	
Unknown Amide	72 J				- / 63				<u> </u>	 			!			
 Laboratory Artifact			280 A] 											
Unknown Oxygenated Compound] 6 3]	j]
3-Methylhexane									1110 3							
Unknoun Alkane								!	1 460)							
SENIVOLATILE COMPONENTS			Analyzed Twice						Analyzed Twice	1	•	Analyzed Tuice			Analyzed Tuice	[
Blank Contaminants (No. of Peaks)	2 (1)	1		8 (2)R	2 (1)R	5 (1)R		10 (3)R		23 (2)3	3 (1)R		6 (2)R	6 (2) 1	R 2 (1)R/ 2 (1)R	6 (1)
Unknown (No. of Peaks)	j 27 (5) 3		33 (5)J/ 13 (1)J	27 (3)3	4 (1)3	25 (2)J	352 (12)J		18100 (6)3/ 19660 (10)3	70 (9)3	10 (3)J	17 (2)3/ 17 (3)3	2 (1)3]	4 (1)3/11 (3)	136 (14).
Unknown Phthalate				+ 3				1 1 3	}							
Alkylbenzene Isomers									- / 888 J						1	9 (3)
2-Methoxy-ethanol											1				2 J/ -	

ţ f

CLP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUN)S - ES	INATED CO	ICENTRATIONS		1 .						l	l		1		-page 7
ERM-North Central Sample Number 10- Laboratory Sample Number	184015-64 111897-7			18W020-GW 111908-6		184030-6W 111897-9		18404D-GW 111908-1			 1# #065-6 # 111918-5		184075-6W 111908-5			 16106DR-WS/WS0 111908-12
Remarks																İ
Vaits	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	jug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	l ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
COMPQUADS				 					Analyzed Tuice			Analyzed Twice	 		Analyzed Tvice]
SENIVOLATILE COMPONENTS (Cont.)	 	— — —) 	1			
Baknown Hydrocarbea	1			7 3						1	2 3	63/ -			3 3/ -	
Unknown Alkane {Ne. of Peaks}	 	1							44896 (13)3/ 16000 (8)J	2 (1)3			 		 	
Triethylene Glycel]	15)]]	30 3			1		1)]	117 3/ 9 3]
Unknown Oxygenated Compound (No. of Peaks)	207 (5) J	1	3 (1)3/-	37 (2),				21 (1)3]		!]	3 3/ -	
	6 3	4 3	2 J/ -		4 3	4 3				1				1	4 3/ -	
Tetramethylphenol	}	}	}		}		8 3	}	1						}	
3-Chloro-octane	 	4 3	1													
5-Ethyl-2-methyl-pyridine		1			\		23 3))						1
N-methyl-X-(1-oxededecyl)-glycine	5 3			ļ	1			1								
Dimethylpyridine (Number of Peaks)	1	1	}				58 (5)2	1	1)				1		
1,4-Dioxane	28 J														[
Dimethylnaphthalene				1						10 (3)3			}	1		
2,2-0xybis-butane	3 3						8 J									8 (1)3
[1,1-8iphenyl]-2-el	3 3		\				\		1							
1.2.3-Trimethylethylbenzene		ļ							3500 3/ -			,				i
Bioctyl ester hexamedioic acid					}	180)					1	1			1	
Unknown Aromatic										3 3						
Ethylmethyl benzene					1				- / 1190	3	1					
Dimethylethyl phenol isomer	-						18 3				1	2 3/ -				
Nexadecanoic acid	 		-/ 6	3					1							2 (1):
3,3,5-Trimethyl cyclohexane (No. of Peaks)							53 (2)3									

IANA - 21	LYTICAL RESU					-!							i			-page 8
		: 1 mme 10 - 6 m	1 440 28-64	Tangso-en!		111897-9	10M04S-6H 111918-6			tameso-en	 1 KH06 5-6H					1106DR-MS/MSD 111908-12
																
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	nd\r	ug/L	lug/L	l ug/L	iug/L	iug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
Quant. Limit	1															
t.t5									 		 					
t.45									1						 	
0.05			 			1			1		1			; }		
1.05									((
0.05			!											 		ļ
0.05	 								1							
0.05																
0.05					! !			 	(ļ			, 	
0.16			1						1					!		
8.10	ļ		(\ <u></u>				 -	
0.10)														
0.10	ļ				 											
0.10																
0.10							1				(ļ	
0.10									1		- 					
1.51						 			1	ļ			·-			
0.10		!	!	ļ				- 	-	-	ļ					
0.50		·	 					 	- 	 -			- 			
0.50	- 	- 	 	-{				- -	-{ 			\ 				
1.0	- 	- -	- 	·-		 			- 		-		 	·	 	
	Quant. Limit 9.95 4.95	Under CO TRUMEIS = GA	Unit Unit													

1

VOLATILE DREAMIC AMALYSIS -	AMALYTI	CAL RESULTS	s 1	I———	I				·	ļ	l		i		l	l			-page 1
ERM-Morth Central Sample Mum Laboratory Sample Mumber		1111897-7								18 45-6 4 111918-6			18655-64 111998-10						16106DR-NS/NS 111908-12
Remarks				 	 	 				 		 			 !			 	
Vaits	,	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	 	ug/		ug/t	 ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	09/ L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	 ug/L	ug/l
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant.	•		 		! !	Ana Tui	lyzed ce	Analyzed Twice	Analyzed Twice	 		 		 			! !	
Chloremethane	19		 	UL		 -	1	VL		-									
Bronone thane	19	 	 	l VL	ļ	 -	1	UL		- / UL	1				!				
Vinyl Chloride	11	 	 	UL	ļ	 •	1	UL		- / UL				 					
Chlorosthane	11		 	UL		 -	1	VL		- / UL				 	ļ	ļ			16
Nothylene Chioride	5		23 U	12 U	6 4	 	1	20 V	- / 19 U	5 U/ 5 U	5 U	50 U	6 0	5 U	7 U	 5 U			5 U
Acetone	10	 	 	UL		 -	1	Ar		1 - / UL	 			 		!		!	
Carbon Disulfide	5		1	UL		 -	1	VL		- / UL				 <i>!</i>					
1,1-Dichloroethene	5			l UL			1	UL		- / UL			1	 			 !		
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	1		UL		-	1	ظ۱		- UL	!	28 3		 	ļ.		ļ		1
Total 1,2-Dichloroethene	5			3 3		 -	1	Bſ		- / m	!	!			 		1		2 3
Chloraform	5			UL			1	VL		- / UL		14 3			 				
]1,2-Dichloroethame	5			[UL		-	1	UL		- / UL	- 		- 		!				
2-Butanone	19	R	R	l R	R	-	R/	R	R/ R	R/ R	R		- R	l R	1 8	R	R	R	1
] 5			ווע		 -	1	Ŋί		- / W	- - 		- -		!	· 		1	
Carbon Tetrachloride	5			UL			1	UL		- / UL	- -		-	 	- - 	 			
 Vinyl Acetate	10			ปเ		 -	1	VL		- / VI	- 	- 	- 		- -	ļ		- 	
Bromodichloromethane	5			UL		-	1	UL		- / VI	- 	-l	- 		1	 			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5			UL		- -	I	UŁ		- / บเ		- 	- !	 				 	
1,2-Dichloropropane	5			UL		 -	1	UL		- / UL	- 		- 			 		 	
trans-1,3-Bichlereprepene	5			UL		-	- 1	UL		- / UL	- .		- 						
Trichlorosthens	5			UL		-	1	UL		 - 23		1	 !	 	- 	 		 	
Dibromochioromethane	5) UL		-	- 1	VL	-	 - / VI	- ·		- 		- 	·	 	- 	
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	5			UL		-	1	UL	- 	- / UL	 .		- 	- 	- 	-	\ !	 	
Benzene	5			UL	1	- -	- 1	UL	•	- UL/ UL	- .	- UL	- 	UI	-	-	 	·	

1

VOLATILE DREAMIC AMALYSIS -						,		,							-page 2			
ERM-North Central Sample Number 10- Laboratory Sample Number					1111988-6				184045-64 111918-6						1MW075-6W 111908-5			 16106DR-NS/NS 111908-12
Remarks												 :					! !	
Units		ug/L	ug/L	l ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	l ug/L	ug/L (ug/L (ug/L	ug/L	uq/L
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant.				 	•	lyzed ice	Analyzed Twice	Analyzed Twice		I I	!		 				
cis-1,3-Oichlaropropone	5			Ų ŲL	1	-	٩L		- OL							ļ		
Bronoform	5			UL		-	ĦĮ	1	- / UL									
2-Hexanone	10	 	 	VL		-	υι		- / UL							 		
4-Nethyl-2-Pentanone	10	1		ן שנ		- /	ÜŁ		- / UL							! !		
Tetrachioroethene	5	1	 	ן טנ		- /	Ŋſ		- / BL			1						
Teluene	1 5	[6 U		l VL		5 0/	UL	5 U/ -	UL/ UL		UL		UL	UL		 		UL
Chlorobenzene	5		 	UL	1	- /	Af		UL/ UL		UL		UL.	UL.				J UL
Ethylbenzeme	5			Of		- /	UL		טון טו		270 J	1	UL	UL				મ
Styrene	5]	UL	1	-	UL		01/ 01	1	บเ		j UL	t) tr				Į ŲL
Total Xylenes	5			UL	 	-	ÜL	13 U/ -	UL/ U1		670 J		UL	UL.				UL
Quantitation Limit Multiplier		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	i 1.00/	1.00	1.40/ 1.00	1.00/ 1.00	1.00	10.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Date of Sample Collection		5/6/91	5/6/91	5/8/92	5/7/91	5/6/91		5/6/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/6/91	5/7/91
Date Sample Received by Laboratory		5/7/91	5/7/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	[5/7/91		[5/7/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	[5/8/91	[5/9/91	[5/9/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/8/91
Date of Sample Analysis		5/12/91	5/13/91	5/22/91	5/14/91	5/12	5/13	5/12/8 5/13	5/22 & 5/28	5/14/91	15/17/91	5/14/91	5/22/91	5/22/91	5/14/91	5/14/91	5/12/91	5/17/91
Instrument Used for Analysis MS-		HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	HP-4	HP-2/	HP-2	HP-2/ HP-2	MP-2/ HP-2	HP-4	 HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	- - -	HP-4	- - HP-2	HP-4

MOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICAL RESULTS -page 3 |1MU07S-6W:1MU070-6W|16101L-6W |16106DR-MS/MSD| LO- (180015-60)180010-60)180025-60 | 1NH02D-6H|1NH03S-6H|1NH03D-6H|1NH04S-6H | 1NH04D-6H|1NH0SS-6H | 184050-64 | 184065-64 | 184060-64 | ERM-Morth Central Sample Mumber |111897-7 |111897-6 |111918-3 |111908-6 |111897-8 |111897-9 |111918-6 |111908-1 |111908-7 1111988-10 |111918-5 |111918-1 |111908-5 |111908-4 |111897-5 1111908-12 |Laboratory Sample Number Remarks Units lug/L | ug/L | ug/L jug/t ug/L ug/L |ug/L ug/L | ug/L ug/L ug/L SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS Analyzed Analyzed Quant. Analyzed Analyzed |Limit | Tuice Twice Tuice Tuice 10 R/ R | Phenol R/ |bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether 10 -/ ut/ ut 10 R/ R [2-Chiorophenel R/ UL/ UL 1,3-Dichlorobenzeme 10 -1 R 10 UL/ UL 1,4-Dichierobenzene -1 R | |Benzyl Alcohol 10 -/ R UL/ UL 1.2-Dichlorobenzene 10 R | UL/ UL -/ 2-Nethylphenol 19 R/ R R/ R | |bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether 10 -/ UL/ Ut R 4-Methylphenol 10 R/ 2 R/ R |M-Mitroso-di-m-Propylamine 10 -/ UL/ UL R Mexachloroethane 10 -1 R UL/ UL | |Nitrobenzeme 10 UL/ R UL/ VL Isophorone 10 UL/ UL/ UL R [2-Mitrophenol 10 R/ R/ R 2,4-Dimethylphenol 14 123 R/ R Benzoic Acid 50 UL/ UL/ UL bis(2-Chioroethoxy)methane 16 UL/ UL/ UL R | 2,4-Dichlerophenel 10 R/ R | R/ R 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 18 UL/ UL UL/ R 1 Maphthalene 10 |1300 J/ 1100 J UL/ UL 4-Chloroaniline 19 DL/ UL/ UL . . Mexachiorobutadiene 10 UL/ OL/ OL | 2 | |4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol 19 R/ R |2-Mathylnaphthalene 19 3200 3/ 1900 3 | 2 3 ut/ ut

.

STRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS -	MALYTICAL	RESULTS	_		,				I	ı	r		l	1			-page 4
RM-North Central Sample Humber aberatory Sample Humber			184019-64 111897-6	 1#M025-6W 111918-3	18402D-64 1111908-6						184050-64 111908-10		184060-64 111918-1	11198-5			16106DR-AS/KSD 111908-12
lenarks		 										!					
daits	,	jug/L	ug/L	ug/L	jug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ugjt	l ug/L	ug/L	i ug/l	ug/t	ug/t	ug/L
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant.		 	Analyzed Tuice		1	 [[Analyzed Tuice	! [Analyzed Tuice			Analyzed Tuice	
Mexachierocyclepentadiene	10	1				!		!	}	UL) R	\ \					מנן שנ	
2,4,6-Trichlorophonol	10	1	1							R/ R		1	R/ S	1			
2,4,5-Trichler opheno l	50	1		ļ		!	İ	1		8/ R			2/ 1	1			1
2-Chloronaphthalene	10						İ	1	 	UL/ R				1		שנ/ שנ	
2-Witreamilies	50		1		\ \ !-					NT) 8			\			UL	
Dimethylphthalate	10	!				1				UL/ R	ļ					טני/ טנ	
Acenaphthylene	10									UL/ R						UL/ UI	
3-Mitroamiline	50									UL/ R		ļ				01/ 01	
Acenaphthene	13	1					1]) 11/ R				1	1	טון טון	.)
2,4-Dimitrophenol	50									R/ R			R/	R			
4-Hitrophesol	50									R/ R			R/	2			ļ
Dibenzofuran	10	ļ		Ì				,		410 3/ 340 3						UL/ U	.
2,4-Dimitrotoluene	19			1						UL/ R						טנ/ ט	
Z.6-Dimitrotolwene	10	1								UL/ R			-			ענ/ ט	
Diethylphthalate	10				,					, UL/ 1						VL/ U	
4-Chlorophenylphenylether	19									UL/ F						UL/ U	 L
Fluorene	10									710 3/ 580 3	- 					UL/ U	·
4-Mitrosniline	50									UL!	1				,	UL! U	. ,
4,6-@initro-2-Hethylphenel	50						-1		-[R/ 1			R/	R	1		
	10					- 				UL/	-					UL/ U	ı
4-Branophenyiphenylether	10							- !	-	UL/	R					UL/ 1	
Nexachierobenzene	10					- 	 	-		VI.				[- 		טנ/ נ	-
 Pentachierophenel	58	- -				- 	-	 	-	R/	- k (-	1/	R	- 	-	-
Phenanthrene	10	-					-	-		1800 3/ 2300	1			-		UL/ 1	

XTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - A	MALYTICA	L RESULTS		.1					l		i		!		 		-page 5
RM-Morth Central Sample Humber aboratory Sample Humber			184010-64 111897-6					1845-64 111918-6			111998-10				1 MW070-6W 111968-4		 1610608-NS/NS 111908-12
enerks			 			 	- -	 	 								
nits		iug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
ENZVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant.			Analyzed Twice		 	 			Analyzed Twice			Analyzed Twice	 		Analyzed Tvice	
inthracene	10						1			79 J/ 128 J						UL/ UL	
li-m-Butylphthalate	10				 					UL/ R			1	i 		UL/ UL	
Lucranthene	10	1			 			 		UL/ R			 	İ	1	UL/ UL	
Pyrene	10			UL/ -						110 J/ 59 J		 		 		UL/ UL	
Butylbenzylphthalate	10	!		10 0/ -						UL/ #]]	5 U) UL/ UL]
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	20			UL/ -	 					UL/ R						UL/ UL	
Benzo(a)anthracene	10			UL/ -						UL/ R						UL/ UL	
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	10		ļ	23/ -	1		ļ			290 J/ 380 J						UL/ UL	
Chrysene	10			UL/ -						UL/ R						טנ/ טנ	
Di-m-Octylphthalate	10			-/ UL) UL/ R]	1		ן טון טו	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	10			-/ UL						UL/ R						ענ/ טנ	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10			-/ UL						UL/ R						טנ/ טנ	
Benzo(a)pyrene	10			-/ UL						UL/ R	- 					טנ/ טנ	
Indeno(1.2,3-cd)pyrene	10			-/ UL						UL/ F		1				ן טנן טנ	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	19	1	1] -/ OL]				UL/ 1	1]				טנ/ טנ	
Benzo(g,h,i)peryiene	10	-		-/ UL	 		-\- 	 		UL/ 1	 			 		UL/ UL	
Quantitation Limit Multiplier	'	1.00	1.00	11.00/ 1.00	11.00	11.00	1.00	1.60	11.00	10.0 / 10.0	1.00	1.00	1.00/ 1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00/ 1.00	1.00
Date of Sample Collection		5/6/91	5/6/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/6/91	5/6/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/0/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/6/91	5/7/91
Date Sample Received by Laborator	y	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/9/91	[5/8/91	5/7/91	5/7/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/9/91	5/9/91	5/8/91	5/8/91	5/7/91	5/8/91
Date Sample Extracted		5/10/91	5/10/91	5/14/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/14/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/14/91	5/14/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91	5/10/91
Date of Sample Analysis		5/22/91	2122191	5/38 6 6/6	6/4/91	5/22/91	5/22/91	2131191	5/23/91	5/27 6 5/36	15/23/91	5 31 91	5/31 6 6/5	5/23/91	5/23/91	5/22 & 5/23	5/24/91
Instrument Used for Analysis	6CMS-	- - HP-1	 HP-1	HP-1/ KP-1	 HP-1	 HP-1	 HP-1	 HP-1	HP-1	NP-1 / NP-1		IP-1		HP-1	- NP-1		HP-1

l

l

APPENDIX P

QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW OF THE PHASE II GROUND WATER AND NAPL RESULTS



Environmental Standards, Inc.

Specialists in Environmental Risk Assessment and Data Validation

The Commons at Valley Forge, Unit 4, 1220 Valley Forge Rd P.O. Box 911, Valley Forge, PA 19481 215 435-5577

QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

OF THE LENZ OIL SITE

May 6, 1992

Revised July 8, 1992

Prepared for:

ERM-NORTH CENTRAL, INC.
102 Wilmot Road
Suite 300
Deerfield, IL 60015

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS, INC. 1220 Valley Forge Road P.O. Box 911 Valley Forge, PA 19481

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

Section 1 Quality Assurance Review

- A. Organic Data
- B. Inorganic Data
- C. Conclusions

Section 2 Analytical Results

- A. Organic Data
 - 1. Monitoring Well Samples
 - 2. Residential Well Samples
 - 3. NAPL Samples
 - 4. NAPL TCLP Samples
- B. Inorganic Data
 - 1. Monitoring Well Samples
 - 2. Residential Well Samples
 - 3. NAPL TCLP Samples

Introduction

This quality assurance review is based upon a review of all data generated from the samples which were collected from February 17-19, 1992 as part of the Lenz Oil RI/FS. The samples that have undergone a rigorous quality assurance review are listed on Table 1.

This review has been performed with guidance from the "Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analyses" (U.S. EPA, 1988 and 1990 as applicable) and the "Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses" (U.S. EPA, 1988).

The reported analytical results are presented as a summary of the data in Section 2. Data were examined to determine the usability of the analytical results and also to determine contractual compliance relative to analytical requirements and data package deliverables specified in the EPA's Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) protocols. Qualifier codes have been placed next to results so that the data user can quickly assess the qualitative and/or quantitative reliability of any result. Details of this quality assurance review are presented in the narrative section of this report. This report was prepared to provide a critical review of the laboratory analyses and reported chemical results. Rigorous quality assurance reviews of laboratory-generated data routinely identify various problems associated with analytical measurements, even from the most experienced and capable laboratories. The nature and extent of problems identified in this critical review should not be interpreted to mean that those results that do not have qualifier codes are less than valid.

TABLE 1

SAMPLES THAT HAVE UNDERGONE A RIGOROUS

QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

E	RM-North Central Sample Number	Laboratory Sample Number(s)	Group Code	Date of Sample Collection	Analyses Performed
	LO-2TB-1 (Trip Blank)	200256-1	MW	2/17/92	v
	LO-201S-FB (Field Blank)	200256-2 021000-0008 02125-01S 02127-01S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
	LO-2015-GW	200256-3 021000-0007 02125-02S 02127-02S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
	LO-201D-GW	200256-4 021000-0009 02125-03S 02127-03S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
	LO-204S-GW	200256-5 021000-0001 02125-048 02127-048	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
I	LO-204S-GWDUP (Duplicate of LO-204S-GW)	200256-6 021000-0002 02125-05S 02127-05S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
	LO-2025-GW	200256-7 021000-0004 02125-07S 02127-07S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
Treb .	LO-204D-GW	200256-8 021000-0004 02125-06S 02127-06S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
	LO-20SD-GW	200256-9 021000-0005 02125-08S 02127-08S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

ERM-North Central Sample Number	Laboratory Sample Number(s)	Group Code	Date of Sample Collection	Analyses Performed
LO-202D-GW	200256-10 021000-0006 02125-09S 02127-09S	MW	2/17/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2-TB2 (Trip Blank)	200257-1	MW	2/18/92	V
LO-208S-FB (Field Blank)	200257-2 021008-0011 02125-10S 02127-10S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-208S-GW	200257-3 021008-0012 02125-118 02127-118	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-208S-GWDUP (Duplicate of LO-208S-GW)	200257-4 021008-0013 02125-12S 02127-12S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2106DR-GW	200257-5 021008-0014 02125-135 02127-138	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2101L-GW	200257-6 021008-0005 02125-14S 02127-14S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2101M-GW	200257-7 021008-0006 02125-15S 02127-15S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2101D-GW	200257-8 021008-0007 02125-16S 02127-16S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2102L-GW	200257-9 021008-0008 02125-17S 02127-17S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P. M, CN

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

ERM-North Central Sample Number	Laboratory Sample Number(s)	Group Code	Date of Sample Collection	Analyses Performed
LO-207D-GW	200257-10 021008-0009 02125-18S 02127-18S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2075-GW	200257-11 021008-0010 02125-19S 02127-19S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-2102D-GW	200257-12 021008-0001 02125-20S 02127-20S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-205S-GW	200257-13 021008-0002 02126-01S 02128-01S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-206S-GW	200257-14 021008-0003 02126-02S 02128-02S	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-206D-GW	200257-15 021008-0004 02126-038 02128-038	MW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-203S-FB (Field Blank)	200258-1 021047-0001 02126-04S 02128-04S	MW	2/19/92	S, P, M, CN
LO-2106S-GW	200258-2 021047-0002 02126-05S 02128-05S	MW	2/19/92	S, P, M, CN
LO-203S-GW	200258-3 021047-0003 02126-06S 02128-06S	MW	2/19/92	V, S, P, M, CN
LO-203S-GWDUP (Duplicate of LO-203S-GW)	200258-4 021047-0004 02126-07S 02128-07S	MW	2/19/92	S, P, M, CN

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

ERM-North Central Sample Number	Laboratory Sample Number(s)	Group Code	Date of Sample Collection	Analyses Performed
LO-203D-GW	200258-5 21047-0005 02126-08S 02128-08S	MW	2/19/92	S, P, M, CN
LO-2-TB4	200258-6	MW	2/19/92	V
LO-2-TBS	480663	RW	2/18/92	V, S, P
LO-2-RESI-FB (Field Blank)	480668 4151-003	RW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M*, CN
LO-2-RES1-RW	480665 4151-001	RW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M*, CN
LO-2-RES1-RWDUP (Duplicate of LO-2-RES1-RW)	480669 4151-002	RW	2/18/92	V, S, P, M*, CN
LO-205S-WO (LO-205S-DN)	21012-01 2105901	NAPL	2/18/92	V, S, P, M**, CN
LO-2106S-WO (LO-2106S-DN)	21046-01 2105401	NAPL	2/19/92	V, S, P, M**, CN
LO-205S-DNT	21012-01T	TCLP	2/18/92	V, S, P
LO-2106S-DNT	21046-01T	TCLP	2/19/92	V, S, P

Notes:

V	TCL Volatile Organics Compounds
Š	TCL Semivolatile Organics Compounds
P	TCL Pesticides and Aroclors Organic Compounds
M*	Total Metals Only
M	Total and Dissolved Metals
M**	Total Metals Using TCLP Preparation
CN	Total Cyanide
MW	Monitoring Wells
RW	Residential Wells
NAPL	Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid

Section 1 Quality Assurance Review

A. Organic Data

The organic analysis of 31 aqueous samples monitoring well samples, 4 aqueous residential well samples and 2, Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPL) samples was performed by three different laboratories. Applied Research and Development Laboratories, Inc. (ARDL) of Mt. Vernon, Illinois analyzed the monitoring wells for volatile organics. CompuChem Laboratories, Inc. (CLI) of Research Triangle Park, North Carolina analyzed the residential wells for semivolatile, pesticide and Aroclor organic compounds and Enseco-Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory (RMAL) of Arvada, Colorado analyzed the monitoring well samples for semivolatile, pesticide and Aroclor organic compounds. RMAL also analyzed the NAPL samples. This data set was provided in several separate data packages and the samples are listed on Table 1. The samples were analyzed by CLP protocols (SOW390, Document OLM01.8) collectively for the Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds, the TCL base/neutral/acid extractable compounds and the TCL pesticides/Aroclors. Two NAPL samples were also analyzed for TCLP organic compounds. In addition, mass spectral library searches were performed on up to 30 extraneous chromatographic peaks for the volatile and semivolatile GC/MS analyses combined. The findings offered in this report are based upon a rigorous review of holding times, blank analysis results, surrogate and matrix spike recoveries, analytical sequence, GC/MS tuning, system performance, target compound matching quality, calibrations, internal standard areas, quantitation of positive results and Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs). The analytical results are provided in Section 2.

Overall, the organic data quality was good; however, a portion of the data was qualified or rejected. Contractual criteria and reporting requirements were met for the data package with the exception of the following. The following issues are based on a number of laboratory resubmissions received and reviewed. These resubmittals are to be considered an integral part of the laboratory data packages. It should be emphasized that the following items are contractual in nature and do not necessarily affect data usability. Usability is addressed separately.

Noncorrectable Deficiency - ARDL- Monitoring Wells

The reanalyses and diluted volatile analyses for samples LO-205S-GW and LO-2106S-GW exceeded the CLP holding times (10 days from VTSR) and the holding time specified in the Lenz Oil "RI/FS Sampling and Analysis Plan" (page T-8) for samples preserved with HCl (14 days from sample collection). The data has been qualified accordingly.

Noncorrectable Deficiencies - Enseco-RMAL- Monitoring Wells

- 1. The semivolatile extraction of sample LO-204S-GWDUP was performed 24 days beyond the 5-day holding time from the date of sample receipt.
- 2. A matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis for semivolatile or pesticide/Aroclor organic compounds was not performed on any of the aqueous samples in SDG 20147.
- 3. The reported result of bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in the matrix spike and of pentachlorophenol in the matrix spike duplicate analyses of sample LO-2106DR-GW exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. Per CLP protocol, these samples should have been diluted and reanalyzed (SOW OLM01.8, E-26).
- 4. A non-pesticide contaminant which eluted close to alpha-BHC was present in all of the chromatograms for samples, blanks, and standards provided with the data for SDG 21000 and SDG 21008. The interferant caused standards to be out of specifications and blanks to be contaminated (not >50% CRDL). The laboratory should have taken corrective action before commencing pesticide/Aroclor analysis of these samples.
- 5. Although the contaminant mentioned above was not confirmed as alpha-BHC on the RTX35 column, in three instrument blanks in SDGs 21000 and 21008 the concentration found for the pesticide on the RTX5 column was above the CRDL. Alpha-BHC exceeded the RSD criterion (30%) for the following initial calibration standards and was outside the relative percent difference (RPD) criterion (±25%) for the following PEM and INDAM continuing calibration standards. The acceptance criteria for standards, performance evaluation mixture analyses and blanks must be met for both columns as specified in CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8, D-35/PEST). Because both sets of initial calibration standards failed acceptance criteria (as specified below), all samples in this data set should have been reanalyzed.

Standard	Date and Time	<u>SDG</u>	RSD or RPD	Column
Initial Calibration	3/04/92 - 3/04/92	21000 and 21008	32.2	RTX5
Initial Calibration	3/12/92 -3/12/92	21047	32.0	RTX35
Initial Calibration	3/12/92 - 3/12/92	21047	26.3	RTX5
PEM	3/6/92 02:11	21000 and 21008	40.0	RTX35
PEM	3/6/92 21:41	21000 and 21008	46.2	RTX35
PEM	3/6/92 21:41	21000 and 21008	26.1	RTX5
PEM	3/11/92 19:18	21047	26.1	RTX5

Standard	Date and Time	<u>SDG</u>	RSD or RPD	Column
PEM	3/12/92 7:26	21047	26.1	RTX5
Cont. Cal. INDA	3/6/92 12:43	21000 and 21008	54.6	RTX35
Cont. Cal. INDA	3/12/92 18:46	21047	51.8	RTX35

- 6. The RPDs for 4,4'-DDT and tetrachloro-m-xylene were greater than 25% for the PEM analyzed on 3/11/92 at 19:18 on the RTX35 column and the INDBM standard analyzed on 3/12/92 at 18:46 on the RTX5 column, respectively. Since the first PEM failed to meet acceptance criteria, all samples in SDG 21047 should have been reanalyzed.
- 7. The laboratory analyzed all the samples in SDG 21008 and 21047 under an unacceptable florisil cartridge check. These samples should have been reanalyzed.
- In accordance with CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8, D-56/SV) the laboratory must 8. reanalyze a method blank whose semivolatile analysis does not produce acceptable recoveries for all the surrogates in the blank. If surrogate recoveries are unacceptable in the reanalysis, all samples associated with the blank must be re-extracted and reanalyzed along with a new blank. A low recovery for the surrogate compound, 2-fluorobiphenyl was observed for the method blank (SBLK01 BL022192) associated with all the semivolatile samples in SDG 21000 except LO-204S-GWDUP.
- Based on the levels of Aroclors reported in samples LO-205S-GW and LO-2106S-GW, 9. it appears that a GC/MS confirmation should have been attempted. SOW OLM01.8 (D-61/PEST) specifies that "Any pesticide/Aroclor confirmed on two dissimilar GC columns must also be confirmed by GC/MS if the concentration in the final extract is sufficient for GC/MS analysis (based on the laboratory GC/MS detection limits)." Although it may be possible that the laboratory's GC/MS detection limits are above the concentrations reported in the aforementioned samples, it appears that the concentrations are sufficient for such a GC/MS confirmation.

Noncorrectable Deficiencies - Enseco-RMAL - NAPL Samples

1. The percent differences between the calculated amount in the continuing calibration standards and the nominal amount were outside acceptable criteria (>25%) for the following analytes. All analytes exhibited higher instrument sensitivity, so the data quality was not affected; however, the laboratory is required (CLP protocol OLM01.8 D-47/PEST) to reanalyze samples that are not bracketed with acceptable standards.

Standard	Date and Time	<u>SDG</u>	RSD or RPD	Column
PEM	3/12/92 23:41	21012 and 21046	26.1	RTX35
PEM	3/13/92 18:33	21012 and 21046	40.0	RTX35
PEM	3/12/92 11:21	21012 and 21046	26.1	RTX5
PEM	3/12/92 23:41	21012 and 21046	26.1	RTX5

- 2. The laboratory analyzed all the samples in SDG 21012 and 21046 under an unacceptable florisil cartridge check. These samples should have been reanalyzed.
- 3. Based on the levels of Aroclors reported in samples LO-205S-DN and LO-2106S-DN, it appears that a GC/MS confirmation should have been attempted. SOW OLM01.8 (D-61/PEST) specifies that "Any pesticide/PCB confirmed on two dissimilar GC columns must also be confirmed by GC/MS if the concentration in the final extract is sufficient for GC/MS analysis (based on the laboratory GC/MS detection limits)." Although it may be possible that the laboratory's GC/MS detection limits are above the concentrations reported in the aforementioned samples, it appears that the concentrations are sufficient for such a GC/MS confirmation.

Noncorrectable Deficiencies - Enseco-RMAL - NAPL TCLP Samples

- 1. According to CLP protocol (OLM01.8D-40/SV), the percent relative abundance (%RA) for mass ion (m/z) 441 must be present, but less than mass ion 443. The laboratory reported two noncompliant DFTPP tunes. One was analyzed on 3/17/92 at 15:13, on instrument 4500-R and was associated with sample LO-2106S-DNT in SDG 21046-T and the method blank in SDG 21012-T, and the other was analyzed on 3/18/92 at 9:12 and was associated with a method blank in SDG 21046-T. The %RAs for m/z 441 were 13.4 and 10.7, and the %RAs for 443 were 12.1 and 10.5. Since the samples were free of target analytes, the data quality does not appear to have been affected. Copies of the DFTPP tunes have been included in the support documentation.
- 2. The target analyte, pyridine, was not present on the Form VIs and VIIs and the quantitation reports for the semivolatile initial and continuing calibration standards associated with the NAPL TCLP samples (SDGs 21012-T and 21046-T). The data reviewer found a separate pyridine quantitation report for one continuing calibration standard analyzed on 3/17/92 at 15:26, but for no other calibrations.
- 3. The percent differences between the calculated amount in the continuing calibration standards and the nominal amount were outside acceptable criteria (>25%) for the



following analytes. All analytes exhibited higher instrument sensitivity, so the data quality was not affected; however, the laboratory is required (CLP protocol OLM01.8, D-47/PEST) to reanalyze samples that are not bracketed with acceptable standards.

Standard	Date and Time	<u>SDG</u>	RSD or RPD	Column
PEM	3/12/92 23:41	21012-T and 21046-T	26.1	RTX35
PEM	3/13/92 18:33	21012-T and 21046-T	40.0	RTX35
PEM	3/12/92 11:21	21012-T and 21046-T	26.1	RTX5
PEM	3/12/92 23:41	21012-T and 21046-T	26.1	RTX5

- 4. The laboratory analyzed all the samples in SDG 21012 and 21046 under an unacceptable florisil cartridge check.
- 5. The laboratory analyzed all the samples in SDG 21012-T and 21046-T under an unacceptable GPC calibration.
- 6. Based on the levels of Aroclors reported in samples LO-205S-DNT and LO-2106S-DNT, it appears that a GC/MS confirmation should have been attempted. SOW OLM01.8 (D-61/PEST) specifies that "Any pesticide/Aroclor confirmed on two dissimilar GC columns must also be confirmed by GC/MS if the concentration in the final extract is sufficient for GC/MS analysis (based on the laboratory GC/MS detection limits)." Although it may be possible that the laboratory's GC/MS detection limits are above the concentrations reported in the aforementioned samples, it appears that the concentrations are sufficient for such a GC/MS confirmation.
- 7. According to CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8 D-52 to 53/SV), samples that do not meet the internal standard area criterion (±50%) of the internal standards area in the continuing calibration standard) must be reanalyzed after taking appropriate corrective action. The area for the internal standard naphthalene-d₈ in sample LO-2106S-DNT was low, and apparently, the laboratory did not reanalyze the sample.

Comments - ARDL - Monitoring Wells

1. The Chain-of-Custody indicated that air bubbles were present in 1 of 3 volatile vials of samples LO-201S-GW, LO-201D-GW, LO-202S-GW, LO-205D-GW and LO-2102L-GW and in 2 of 3 volatile vials of sample LO-2101M-GW. The data reviewer assumed that the volatile analysis of the samples was performed on an aliquot of sample from the vial without air bubbles present.



- 2. The Chain-of-Custody accompanying the monitoring well (MW) samples in Case 200256 had a few obliterations which were not dated and initialed. Errors should be corrected with a single line only.
- 3. The data summary package was missing from the data package for the MW samples in The laboratory has indicated that a data summary package was not submitted as the data package consisted of one volume.
- 4. The laboratory flagged target compounds found in the method blanks in this data set "B" on the applicable laboratory method blank Form I's. The CLP requires a "B" flag to be used on the sample Form I not on the method blank Form I, for compounds found in the sample and also in the associated method blank (SOW OLM01.8, B-33).

Comments - Enseco-RMAL - Monitoring Wells

- 1. The laboratory reported numerous positive identifications for the semivolatile tentatively identified compounds (TICs) found in the samples. Although the fits were fairly good for some of these TICs, the purities were much lower, and the second or third choice might have looked just as good. The data reviewer has taken a more conservative approach and assigned more generic identifications to the TICs. Modified Form I-TICs are included in the support documentation.
- 2. A semivolatile analysis was not performed on sample LO-2101L-GW and has been flagged "NA" on the data tables. Although a sample aliquot was submitted for analysis, the sample extract was inadvertently lost during processing.
- 3. The laboratory used laboratory sample numbers instead of ERM-NC sample numbers on the QA/QC summary forms and Form I's.
- 4. The laboratory scrambled the order of Form VIIs in the data package, placing a page from one standard with a page and the raw data for another.
- 5. The laboratory reported positive results for N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine in samples LO-204S-GW and LO-204S-GWDUP based on mass spectra that do not meet all of the criteria necessary for identification per the CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8, D50-51/SV).
- 6. The laboratory did not provide pesticides/Aroclor chromatograms in the format as required by CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8, D-50-52/PEST) for the blanks, samples, quality control samples and some standards. The chromatograms displayed numerous peaks, including peaks for the surrogates that were not fully on-scale. All peaks must be less than full scale unless specifically exempted, as specified in the protocol.



- 7. Due to improper presentation of the pesticide standard chromatograms, it was not possible for the data reviewer to verify whether the resolution criteria for Resolution Check, PEMs, INDA and INDB initial and continuing calibration standards were met in all cases in this data set.
- 8. In accordance with the CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8), all pesticide/Aroclor analytes that have peaks within the retention time windows set for both columns must be reported as the lowest concentration found. Concentrations reported below the CRQL are flagged "J" and analytes with percent differences between the two columns which are greater than 25% are flagged "P" on the Form I for the sample. For many samples in this data set, chromatographic peaks were present in the retention time windows for both columns, yet, the analyte was reported as "not detected" on the Form I. In all cases, however, the lower of the two concentrations was below CROL.
- 9. For samples LO-205S-GW and LO-2106S-GW, the laboratory flagged the results for Aroclors 1242 and 1260 "P" on the Form I's. Since in all cases the percent differences calculated between results obtained on both columns were less than or equal to 25%, the "P" flag is inappropriate, as described in the CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8, B-34).
- 10. The laboratory did not follow the required scheme (SOW OLM01.8, B-29) for entering identifiers for pesticide standards and blanks associated with this data set. Non-unique identification numbers were used for PEMs, INDAM and INDBM initial and continuing calibration standards and for PIBLKs.

Comments - CompuChem Laboratories, Inc. - Residential Wells

- 1. The laboratory did not provide a quantitation report for the quantitation of the tentatively identified compounds found in the residential well samples. Consequently, the data reviewer could not reproduce the reported concentrations.
- 2. The bottoms of many pages were cut-off by the copier, and the page number could not be read.

Comments - Enseco-RMAL - NAPL Samples

- As noted in the narrative associated with SDG 21046, due to a laboratory error, the VOA 1. analysis holding times were exceeded for the MS/MSD.
- 2. The data reviewer has opted to use the laboratory-altered client sample numbers of LO-205S-DN and LO-2106S-DN for ERM-North Central samples LO-205S-WO and LO-2106S-WO, respectively. Furthermore, the reviewer has added a "T" suffix to the



- sample numbers to designate the NAPL samples that underwent TCLP preparation in addition to normal preparation for the analyses.
- 3. The laboratory used laboratory sample numbers instead of ERM-NC sample numbers on the OA/OC summary forms and Form I's.
- 4. The response factors (RRF50) for 2-butanone and toluene were missing from the Form VII VOA for the continuing calibration standard analyzed on 3/5/92 at 9:10 on instrument 4500-Z. The data reviewer calculated the response factors from the areas provided on the quantitation report for the standard and determined percent differences of 77.2% and 5.5%, respectively.
- 5. For samples LO-205S-DN and LO-2106S-DN, the laboratory flagged the result for Aroclors 1242 and 1260 "P" on the Form I's. Since in all cases the percent differences between results obtained on both columns were calculated to be less than or equal to 25%, the "P" flag is inappropriate, as described in the CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8) B-34).
- 6. The laboratory did not follow the required scheme (SOW OLM01.8 B-29) for entering identifiers for pesticide standards and blanks associated with this data set. Non-unique identification numbers were used for PEMs, INDAM and INDBM initial and continuing calibration standards and for PIBLKs.
- In accordance with the CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8), all pesticide/Aroclor analytes 7. that have peaks within the retention time windows set for both columns must be reported as the lowest concentration found. Concentrations reported below the CRQL are flagged "J", and analytes with percent differences between the two columns that are greater than 25% are flagged "P" on the Form I for the sample. For many samples in this data set, chromatographic peaks were present in the retention time windows for both columns, yet the analyte was reported as "not detected" on the Form I. In all cases, however, the lower of the two concentrations was below the CRQL.

Comments - Enseco - RMAL - NAPL TCLP Samples

- 1. The laboratory used laboratory sample numbers instead of ERM-NC sample numbers on the QA/QC summary forms and Form I's.
- 2. The response factors (RRF50) for 2-butanone and toluene were missing from the Form VII VOA for the continuing calibration standard analyzed on 3/5/92 at 9:10 on instrument 4500-Z. The data reviewer calculated the response factors from the areas provided on the quantitation report for the standard on determined percent differences of 77.2% and 5.5%, respectively.



- 3. The laboratory did not follow the required scheme (SOW OLM01.8 B-29) for entering identifiers for pesticide standards and blanks associated with this data set. Non-unique identification numbers were used for PEMs, INDAM and INDBM initial and continuing calibration standards and for PIBLKs.
- 4. In accordance with the CLP protocol (SOW OLM01.8), all pesticide/Aroclor analytes that have peaks within the retention time windows set for both columns must be reported as the lowest concentration found. Concentrations reported below the CRQL are flagged "J", and analytes with percent differences between the two columns which are greater than 25% are flagged "P" on the Form I for the sample. For many samples in this data set, chromatographic peaks were present in the retention time windows for both columns, yet the analyte was reported as "not detected" on the Form I. In all cases, however, the lower of the two concentrations was below CRQL.

With regard to data usability, principal areas of concern include blank contamination, surrogate recoveries, matrix spike recoveries, target compound matching quality, holding times, internal standard areas, calibrations and sample integrity. Based upon a review of the data provided, the following data qualifiers are offered. It should be noted that the following data usability issues represent an interpretation of the quality control results obtained for the project samples. Quite often, data qualifications address issues relating to sample matrix problems. Similarly, the validation guidelines routinely specify areas of the data that require qualification, yet the methods used for analysis do not require any corrective action by the laboratory. Accordingly, the following data usability issues should <u>not</u> necessarily be construed as an indication of laboratory performance.

Organic Data Qualifiers

Due to the trace-level presence of methylene chloride, 2-butanone, di-n-butylphthalate, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, heptachlor, methoxychlor and gamma-chlordane in the laboratory, field and/or trip blanks, the reported presence of these compounds in the following samples should be considered "not-detected" and the results have been flagged "U" on the sample data tables. Furthermore, results that were reported below the quantitation limit were replaced with the quantitation limit with the appropriate "U" qualifier.

Compound

Applicable Samples

methylene chloride

LO-201S-GW, LO-204D-GW, LO-202D-GW, LO-205S-DN, LO-2016DR-GW, LO-2101M-GW, LO-207S-GW, LO-2102D-GW, LO-206S-GW, LO-206D-GW, LO-2106S-GW, LO-2106S-GW-DL, LO-2106S-GW-RE, LO-203S-GW, LO-203S-GWDUP LO-203D-GW, LO-2-RES1-RWDUP and LO-2RES1-RW



Compound

Applicable Samples

2-butanone

LO-201D-GW

di-n-butylphthalate

LO-2106DR-GW, LO-2101M-GW, LO-207S-GW, LO-2102D-GW, LO-2-RES1-RWDUP and LO-2RES1-RW

butylbenzylphthalate

LO-2-RES1-RWDUP and LO-2RES1-RW

bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate

LO-201S-GW, LO-202S-GW, LO-205D-GW, LO-202D-GW, LO-208S-GW, LO-2101M-GW, LO-2102L-GW, LO-207D-GW, LO-207S-GW, LO-2102D-GW, LO-205S-GW, LO-2106S-GW, LO-203S-GWDUP, LO-203D-GW, LO-2-RES1-RWDUP and LO-2RES1-RW

heptachlor

LO-2-RES1-RWDUP

methoxychlor

LO-2-RES1-RWDUP and LO-2RES1-RW

gamma-chlordane

LO-2-RES1-RWDUP

- Although there is no direct reason to question the reported results for acetone in sample LO-205S-GW-RE, di-n-butylphthalate in samples LO-203S-GW and LO-203D-GW and diethylphthalate in sample LO-2106DR-GW, acetone, di-n-butylphthlate and diethylphthalate are extremely common laboratory and field contaminants. Accordingly, great caution should be exercised when using these results.
- The analyses for all semivolatile compounds in all NAPL TCLP samples should be considered unreliable and the results have been flagged "R" on the data tables. The semivolatile extraction of these samples was performed 6-7 days beyond the holding time of 14 days from collection.
- The actual detection limit for 2-butanone may be higher than reported in all the residential well samples and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. A very low average response factor (<0.05) was obtained for the analyte in the associated initial calibration standards.
- The actual detection limits for the following compounds may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. High percent differences (>25%) with decreasing instrument sensitivity were observed for the response factors for these compounds in the associated continuing calibration standards compared to the average response factor from the associated multi-point calibrations.



Compound

Applicable Sample(s)

acetone

LO-208S-GW, LO-208S-GWDUP, LO-2101L-GW, LO-2101D-GW, and LO-205S-GW

2-butanone

All samples in Cases 200257 and 200258 except LO-205S-GW-DL, LO-203S-FB, LO-2106S-GW and LO-203S-GW

bromoform

LO-2106S-DN

4-methyl-2-pentanone

LO-208S-GW, LO-208S-GWDUP, LO-2101L-GW, LO-2101D-GW, LO-205S-GW, LO-205S-GW-DL, LO-205S-DN and LO-2106S-DN

2-hexanone

LO-201D-GW and all samples in Cases 200257 and 200258 except LO-2106S-GW, LO-205S-GWDL, LO-205S-GWRE, LO-2106S-GWDL, LO-2106S-GWRE and LO-203S-GW

vinyl chloride

LO-2106DR-GW, LO-2101M-GW, LO-207D-GW, LO-207S-GW, LO-206S-GW and LO-206D-GW

carbon disulfide

All residential well samples

chloromethane

All residential well samples

bis(2-chloroethyl)ether, 2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane), N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine, isophorone, bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane and 2-nitroaniline

LO-2101M-GW, LO-2101D-GW, LO-2102D-GW, LO-205S-GW, LO-206S-GW, LO-206D-GW and LO-2106S-DN

2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane), N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine, bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane, 2-nitroaniline and 4-chlorophenylphenylether

LO-205S-DN

4-methylphenol

LO-2101M-GW

SECTION 2

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

A. ORGANIC DATA

Compound	Applicable Sample(s)
4-chloroaniline	All samples in Case 21000 unless previously qualified, LO-208S-FB, LO-208S-GWDUP, LO-2106DR-GW, LO-2102L-GW, LO-207D-GW and LO-207S-GW
3-nitroaniline	All samples in Case 21000 unless previously qualified, LO-208S-FB, LO-208S-GWDUP, LO-2102L-GW, LO-207D-GW, and LO-207S-GW
4-nitrophenol	All samples in Case 21000 unless previously qualified, LO-208S-GW and LO-2106DR-GW
4-nitroaniline	All samples in Case 21000 unless previously qualified, LO-208S-FB, LO-208S-GW, LO-208S-GWDUP, LO-2106DR-GW, LO-2102L-GW, LO-207D-GW and LO-207S-GW
carbazole	LO-2106DR-GW and LO-208S-GW

The positive results for the following compounds should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. High percent differences (>25%) were observed for the response factors for these compounds in the associated continuing calibration standards compared to the average response factor from the associated multipoint calibrations.

LO-202S-GW, LO-208S-GW and LO-2106DR-GW

3,3'-dichlorobenzidine

Compound	Applicable Sample(s)
acetone	LO-205S-GW-RE
vinyl chloride	LO-2102L-GW and LO-2102D-GW
2-butanone	LO-203S-FB

The positive result for acetone in sample LO-205S-GW-RE should be considered estimated and has been flagged "J" on the data tables. A high relative standard deviation (RSD) was observed for the response factors in the initial multi-point calibration standards associated with the sample.



- The actual analyses for carbon disulfide in all monitoring well samples except LO-2TB-1, LO-201S-FB, LO-201S-GW, LO-204S-GW, LO-204S-GWDUP, LO-202S-GW, LO-204D-GW, LO-205D-GW, LO-202D-GW and LO-2106S-GW are unreliable and the results have been flagged "R" on the sample data tables. An extreme reduction ($\sim 90\%$) in the response factor between the multi-point initial calibration and the associated continuing calibration standard was observed for this analyte.
 - The positive results for volatile organics in samples LO-205S-GW-RE and LO-205S-GW-DL should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. These samples were analyzed for volatile organic compounds 4 and 6 days in excess of the Federal Register maximum allowable holding time for the analysis for volatile organic compounds of 14 days from collection in preserved samples.
- The positive results for volatile compounds in samples LO-205S-GW-RE, LO-205S-GW-DL, LO-2106S-G-DL and LO-2106S-GW-RE (unless previously qualified) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limits for these compounds, reported as "not-detected" in these samples, are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data table. These reanalyses were performed 21-23 days from sample collection. The actual detection limits for volatile organics in sample LO-2106S-GW should be considered estimated and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. In addition, the surrogate recoveries and/or internal standard area counts were outside of the acceptable ranges for the analyses of this sample. The latter reasons alone would necessitate data qualification.
- The positive results for the volatile compound ethylbenzene in sample LO-205S-GW-RE, and for ethylbenzene, benzene and total xylene in samples LO-2106S-GW and LO-2106S-GW-RE (unless previously qualified) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. These results exceeded the calibration range of the instrument.
- The analyses for semivolatile compounds reported as "not-detected" in sample LO-204S-GWDUP are unreliable have been flagged "R" on the data tables. Similarly, positive results should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. This sample was extracted 29 days from the date of sample collection.
- The positive results for N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine in samples LO-204S-GW and LO-204S-GWDUP are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data table. For each of these samples, the corresponding mass spectrum did not meet the criteria for identification specified in the CLP protocol (SOW390 OLM01.8 D-50-51/SV).
- The actual detection limits for base/neutral compounds in sample LO-201S-GW may be higher than reported by the laboratory and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. Low recoveries for two base/neutral surrogates were observed for this sample.



- The actual detection limits for gamma-BHC and 4,4'-DDT in all samples in SDG 21008 and for alpha-BHC in all NAPL and NAPL TCLP samples may be higher than reported by the laboratory and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. A low percent recovery was observed for the compounds in the pesticide florisil cartridge check.
- The actual detection limits for gamma-BHC, heptachlor, aldrin, dieldrin, endrin and 4,4'-DDT in all NAPL TCLP samples (SDGs 21012-T and 21046-T) may be higher than reported by the laboratory and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. Low percent recoveries were observed for the above-mentioned compounds in the pesticide GPC calibration check standards.
- The actual detection limits for pesticides/Aroclors in samples LO-206D-GW, LO-2101M-GW, LO-207S-GW, LO-208S-GWDUP and LO-2106DR-GW may be higher than reported by the laboratory and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. Low recoveries (<60%) for the pesticide surrogates tetrachloro-m-xylene and/or decachlorobiphenyl were observed for these samples.
- Due to interferences in the matrix of the samples, the semivolatile and pesticide surrogate compounds were diluted to the extent that 0% recoveries were obtained in samples LO-205S-GW, LO-2106S-GW, LO-205S-DN, LO-2106S-DN, LO-205S-DNT and LO-2106S-DNT. Surrogate recoveries measure laboratory performance on a sample-specific basis. Based on these surrogate recoveries, the data reviewer was not able to evaluate the extraction efficiency for the above-mentioned analyses. Therefore, the data was not qualified based on 0% recoveries obtained for the surrogate compounds.
 - The laboratory did not provide the raw data associated with the semivolatile analyses of samples LO-203S-GW, LO-203S-GWDUP and LO-203D-GW and the standards data associated with SDG 21047. The data reviewer was, therefore, not able to evaluate the data for these three samples based on standard criteria or verify the reported results.
- The actual detection limits for acenaphthene and pyrene in sample LO-201S-GW may be higher than reported by the laboratory and have been flagged "UL" on the data table. Low recoveries were obtained for the analytes in the associated matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate. Additionally, the RPDs for several analytes were outside the QC limits. Since these analytes were not detected in the field sample, the high RPDs did not affect data quality.
- The actual detection limits reported by the laboratory for pyridine in samples LO-205S-DNT and LO-2106S-DNT are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. The target analyte, pyridine, was not present on the Form VI's and VII's or on quantitation reports for the semivolatile initial and continuing calibration standards associated with the NAPL TCLP samples (SDGs 21012-T and 21046-T).



Three blind field duplicate pairs were submitted to the laboratory for this data set as listed below. Positive results were not detected above the quantitation limit for any volatile, semivolatile or pesticide/PCB target compounds for the field QC samples associated with the samples in this data set.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Duplicate</u>
LO-204S-GW	LO-204S-GWDUP
LO-208S-GW	LO-208S-GWDUP
LO-203S-GW	LO-203S-GWDUP

- Per CLP protocol, all results reported below the quantitation limit should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables.
- Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) have been evaluated and are presented on the data tables. Most of the TICs were reported at levels above the quantitation limit. The volatile TICs include numerous cycloalkane derivatives, some benzene derivatives and several alkanes and unknowns. The semivolatile TICs include numerous aromatic compounds (benzene, naphthalene, di-methyl and tri-methyl derivatives), unknown oxygenated compounds, and alkanes. The semivolatile TICs include numerous saturated hydrocarbons, oxygenated compounds, cyclohexane derivatives, naphthalene derivatives, biphenyl derivatives and benzene derivatives. The biphenyl derivatives are probably from the Aroclor mixtures present in some of the samples in this data set.
 - The following high percent differences (>35%) were observed between results reported above the quantitation limit for several target compounds in the original analysis and reanalysis and/or dilution analysis of the following samples. Accordingly, the positive results reported for the following compounds should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the sample data tables.

<u>Sample</u>	Compound	Result for Original Analysis	Result for Reanalysis/Dilution	Relative Standard Deviation
LO-205S-GW	acetone	-	24 / - μg/L	173%
LO-205S-GW	ethylbenzene	$100~\mu \mathrm{g/L}$	270 E / 28 μg/L	NA / 112%

Phenanthrene was reported in sample LO-204S-GW, but not in sample LO-204S-GWDUP, and fluoranthene was reported in sample LO-204S-GWDUP, but not in LO-204S-GW, with values below CRQL, 1 and 2 μ g/L, respectively. Although



the associated mass spectra marginally met identification criteria, caution should be exercised in using these results.

The reported trace-level results for pesticides in field duplicate pair LO-2-RES1-RWDUP and LO-2RES1-RW should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables unless previously flagged "U". The trace-level results were not confirmed by the detection of the same pesticides in both of the aforementioned samples. Furthermore, because of the trace-level presence of several of the pesticides in the associated field blank, it is possible that all trace-level pesticide results may be artifacts of contamination. Accordingly, these results should be used with a great deal of caution.

A complete support documentation of this organic quality assurance review is presented in Section 3 of this report.

B. Inorganic Data

The inorganic analysis of 28 monitoring well samples (including 3 field blanks) for Target Analyte List (TAL) total and dissolved metals and cyanide was performed by Skinner and Sherman Laboratories, Inc. of Waltham, Massachusetts. In addition, 3 residential well samples (including 1 field blank) were analyzed for TAL metals and cyanide by Warzyn, Inc. of Madison, Wisconsin and 2 dense, non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) samples were analyzed for TAL metals utilizing TCLP preparation and cyanide by Enseco-Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory (RMAL) of Arvada, Colorado. All monitoring well and NAPL samples were analyzed according to Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) protocols (SOW788), and the residential well samples were analyzed according to CLP protocols (SOW390, Document ILM02.1). The laboratories were requested to provide full CLP documentation to substantiate the results of the analyses performed.

The findings offered in this report are based upon a rigorous review of the sample holding times, blank analysis results, pre- and post-digestion spike recoveries, laboratory duplicate analyses, initial and continuing calibrations, ICP interference checks, instrument sensitivity, system performance, ICP serial dilutions, graphite furnace duplicate burns and the quantitation of positive results. The analytical results are provided in Section 2B.

Overall, the data quality was good. Contractual criteria and reporting requirements were met for the multiple data packages with the exception of the following. It should be emphasized that the following items are contractual in nature and do not necessarily affect data usability. Data usability is addressed separately.

Noncorrectable Deficiencies

- An ICB result of 5.3 µg/L was obtained for selenium in SDG 212501. Since the result 1. is greater than the CRDL (5.0 μ g/L), the analysis should have been terminated (SOW788, E-7). The Skinner and Sherman Laboratory did not follow this procedure; however, since sample LO-201S-GW was bracketed by acceptable CCB results, no qualification is warranted.
- The pH results prior to digestion for the ICP, GFAA and mercury analyses were above 2. 2 for samples LO-205S-GW(Total), LO-201S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GWDUP(Total),LO-202S-GW(Total),LO-202D-GW(Total),LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total and Filtered), LO-2101M-GW(Total) and LO-207S-GW(Total). In addition, the pH results prior to distillation for the cyanide analysis were less than 12 for all samples in SDG 212501. Per CLP protocol, the aqueous metal samples must be preserved at pH less than 2 and the aqueous cyanide samples must be preserved at a pH greater than 12 (SOW788, D-4). The laboratory should have contracted ERM-North Central prior to sample preparation of these samples. Data usability has been impacted and is addressed in the inorganic data qualifier section.
- 3. A separate determination of solids content was not performed for the laboratory duplicate samples LO-2106-WOD and LO-205S-WOD, as required (SOW788, E-12).

Comments

- 1. The result for chromium in sample LO-204D-GW is reported as not detected at or above the instrument detection limit of 4.0 μ g/L on the associated Form I; however, a result of 3.95 μ g/L was observed in the raw data for this sample. Since this result rounds to 4.0 μ g/L by the EPA rounding rules, a result of 4.0 μ g/L should have been reported (SOW788, B-16).
- 2. Warzyn, Inc. flagged the thallium results on the Form V and associated Form I's in SDG 4151 with an "N" qualifier code due to an 83.4 percent pre-digestion matrix spike recovery. A control limit of 85-115% recovery was reported on the Form V and was subsequently used by the laboratory for flagging results. According to the laboratory, the QAPP is the basis for the more stringent criterion.
- 3. Warzyn, Inc. did not use the CRDL (3 μ g/L) as the control limit for flagging the laboratory duplicate result for lead (SOW390, ILM02.1, E-22). Instead, the laboratory used the IDL (2.0 µg/L) as a control limit and incorrectly flagged all lead results with a "*" on the Form VI and associated sample Form I's. According to the laboratory, the OAPP is the basis for the more stringent criterion.



- 4. Enseco Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory did not flag the results for iron, calcium and lead on the Form IX and associated Form I's in SDG 021054 with an "E" qualifier code as required. Percent differences greater than the QC limit of 10 percent were obtained for these analytes in the ICP serial dilution analysis and the initial results were greater than 50-times the corresponding IDLs (SOW ILM02.1, E-23).
- 5. The percent solids results for samples LO-2106S-WO and LO-205S-WO were incorrectly reported as 0.00% on the associated Form I's, V's or VI's. The actual percent solid results were 20.5% and 65.3%, respectively. In addition, the reported analyte results, spike added amounts and control limits were not corrected for moisture on the associated Form I's, V's or VI's. However, it should be noted that the sample matrix is oil and it is unlikely that a meaningful percent solids could be obtained. In fact, the percent solids sheet in the raw data indicated that "oil remained in the crucibles after the percent solid determination was done." The results reported on the data tables are on a "wet-weight" basis.
- 6. The SDG 021054 continuing calibration standard analyzed on 2/27/92 at 18:36 and the continuing calibration blank analyzed on 2/27/92 at 18:38 for arsenic (pg. 105 of data package) were not reported on the associated Forms II and III. The data reviewer calculated an acceptable recovery for the standard and response below the instrument detection limit for the blank; data quality was not affected.
- 7. A Form VIII (Standard Addition Results) was not included in the SDG 021054 data package submitted for review, as required (SOW788, B-29). The method of standard additions was used for the analysis of arsenic and selenium in sample LO-205S-WO and its laboratory duplicate, LO-205S-WOD, in this SDG. The data reviewer verified acceptable correlation factors (>0.995) for both analyses, and the results from these standard additions have been entered on the data tables.
- The Form XIV's associated with SDG SD4151 did not accurately reflect which analytes 8. were reported in the ICP analysis sequences performed on 3/8/92 (except for sodium) and 3/13/92 on instrument PE PLASMA40 or in the graphite furnace atomic absorption (GFAA) lead analysis performed on 3/6/92 on instrument V400B. The Form XIV should reflect only those analytes which were measured and reported in any one sample analysis by entering an "x" in the column of the analyte. In addition, the dilution factor of 2.00 recorded for calcium and magnesium in the raw data was not reported on the associated Form XIV for the ICP post-digestion spike sample LO-2-RES1-RW-A (SOW788, B-37).
- 9. The results for barium in sample LO-2-RES-RW and the corresponding matrix spike, LO-2-RES1-RW-S, and sample LO-2-RES1-RWDUP and the corresponding laboratory duplicate sample, LO-2-RES1-RWDUP-D, reported on Forms I, V and VI did not agree with the results obtained for this analyte in the raw data. The data reviewer has entered the correct result on the data tables.



<u>Sample</u>	Reported Result	Actual Result
LO-2-RES1-RW	$32.0~\mu\mathrm{g/L}$	$40.0~\mu \mathrm{g/L}$
LO-2-RES1-RW-S	1992 μg/L	2001 μg/L
LO-2-RES1-RWDUP	$34.0~\mu \mathrm{g/L}$	$42.0~\mu \mathrm{g/L}$
LO-2-RES1-RWDUP-D	$34.0~\mu g/L$	$42.0~\mu g/L$

10. The analysis of the solid LCS for mercury was incorrectly labelled as "BLANK" in SDG 021059 and SDG 021054.

With regard to data usability, principal areas of concern include blank contamination, sample preservation, correlation coefficients, CRDL recoveries, pre- and post-digestion spike recoveries, total and dissolved metals result comparison, and laboratory and field duplicate results. Based upon a review of the data provided, the following data qualifiers are offered. It should be noted that the following data usability issues represent an interpretation of the quality control results obtained for the project samples. Quite often, data qualifications address issues relating to sample matrix problems. Similarly, the validation guidelines routinely specify areas of the data that require qualification, yet the methods used for analysis do not require any corrective action by the laboratory. Accordingly, the following data usability issues should <u>not</u> necessarily be construed as an indication of laboratory performance.

Inorganic Data Qualifiers

Due to the trace-level presence of beryllium, cadmium, copper, chromium, lead, manganese, nickel, potassium, vanadium and zinc in various laboratory and/or field blanks, the positive result for these analytes in the following samples should be considered qualitatively questionable and have been flagged "U" on the data tables.

Analyte	Applicable Samples
lead	LO-206D-GW(Total), LO-203D-GW(Total), LO-205S-GW(Filtered),
	LO-206S-GW(Filtered), LO-2106S-GW(Filtered), LO-203S-GW(Filtered),
	LO-203S-GWDUP(Filtered), LO-203D-GW(Filtered), LO-201D-GW(Total),
	LO-204D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-205D-GW(Total and Filtered),
	LO-202D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-2106-DR-GW(Total and Filtered),
	LO-2101L-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-2101M-GW(Total and Filtered),
	LO-2101D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-2102L-GW(Total and Filtered),
	LO-207D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-207S-GW(Total and Filtered),
	LO-2102D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-204S-GW(Filtered),
	LO-204S-GWDUP(Filtered), LO-202S-GW(Filtered),
	LO-208S-GW(Filtered) and LO-208S-GWDUP(Filtered)



Analyte	Applicable Samples
copper	LO-206S-GW(Filtered) and LO-2106-WO
manganese	LO-203S-GW(Filtered) and LO-2101M-GW(Filtered)
nickel	LO-205S-GW(Filtered), LO-203S-GW(Filtered) and LO-203S-GWDUP(Filtered)
zinc	LO-206D-GW(Filtered), LO-201D-GW(Total), LO-204D-GW(Total), LO-205D-GW(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-2106-DR-GW(Total), LO-2101L-GW(Total), LO-2101D-GW(Total), LO-2102L-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-102D-GW(Total) and LO-204S-GWDUP(Filtered)
beryllium	LO-205S-GW (Filtered), LO-201S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GWDUP(Total), LO-202S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total) and LO-2101M-GW(Total)
vanadium	LO-201D-GW(Total), LO-204D-GW(Total), LO-205D-GW(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-2106-DR-GW(Total), LO-2101L-GW(Total), LO-2101D-GW(Total), LO-2102L-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-207S-GW(Total) and LO-2102D-GW(Total)
cadmium	LO-204S-GWDUP(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-2101L-GW(Total), and LO-2101D-GW(Total)
chromium	LO-204S-GWDUP(Filtered), LO-208S-GW(Filtered) and LO-2101M-GW(Filtered)
potassium	LO-205S-WO and LO-2106-WO

The analysis for total selenium for all samples in SDG 212501 and for dissolved thallium in all samples in SDG 212701 are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. Very low recoveries (0.0% for selenium and 20.0% for thallium) were obtained in the associated matrix spike samples.

The analysis for total selenium in samples LO-201S-FB, LO-201D-GW, LO-204D-GW, LO-205D-GW, LO-208S-FB, LO-208S-GWDUP, LO-2106-DR-GW, LO-2101L-GW, LO-2101D-GW, LO-2102L-GW, LO-207D-GW and LO-2102D-GW, for total arsenic in samples LO-201S-FB, LO-205D-GW, LO-208S-FB, LO-2106-DR-GW, LO-2101L-GW and LO-2101D-GW should be considered unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. Very low recoveries (17.2% for selenium and 18.2% for arsenic) were obtained in the associated Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL) standards.



- The positive results for silver in samples LO-204S-GW(Filtered) and LO-204S-GWDUP(Filtered) and for mercury in samples LO-2106S-BW(Total) and LO-2106S-GW(Filtered) are unreliable and have been flagged "R" on the data tables. Very high percent differences (>50%) were obtained between the results for silver and mercury in the total and filtered analyses of the aforementioned samples.
- All positive results for all metals in samples LO-205S-GW(Total), LO-201S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GWDUP(Total), LO-202S-GW(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total and Filtered), LO-2101M-GW(Total) and LO-207S-GW(Total) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limits not previously flagged "U" or "R" for the aforementioned samples may be biased low and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. The samples were not properly preserved at a pH less than 2.
 - and The positive results for cyanide in samples LO-204S-GW(Total) LO-204S-GWDUP(Total) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limits for cyanide in all samples in SDG 212501 except samples LO-204S-GWDUP(Total) and LO-204S-GW(Total) may be biased low and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. The samples were not properly preserved at a pH greater than 12.
 - Due to the matrix spike results outside the 75 to 125 percent criteria, the reported concentrations of the following analytes should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limits for these analytes in the associated samples may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables.

<u>Analyte</u>	Estimated Sample Results	Biased <u>Detection Limits</u>	Percent <u>Recovery</u>
antimony	LO-202D-GW(Total)	LO-205S-WO and all samples in SDG 212601 and SDG 212501 except sample LO-202D- GW(Total)	70.7%, 32.9% and 64.9%
arsenic	LO-201S-GW(Total), LO-201D-GW(Total), LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GWDUP(Total), LO-204D-GW(Total), LO-202S-GW(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total), LO-2101M-GW(Total), LO-2102L-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-207S-GW(Total), LO-2102D-GW(Total), LO-205S-WO, LO-2106S-WO and all samples in SDG 212601 except sample LO-203S-FB(Total)	LO-203S-FB(Total)	-60.0%, 20.0%, 70.2% and 55.3%



<u>Analyte</u>	Estimated Sample Results	Biased <u>Detection Limits</u>	Percent Recovery
barium	LO-205S-WO		54.2%
chromium	LO-205S-WO		62.8%
cobalt		LO-205S-WO	67.9%
manganese	LO-205S-WO		72.3%
mercury		LO-205S-WO and LO-2106S-WO	36.0% and 48.0%
nickel	LO-205S-WO		70.6%
selenium	LO-205S-WO and LO-2106S-WO		18.0% and 36.0%
silver		All samples in SDG 212501	58.3%
thallium		All samples in SDG 212501	45.5%
zinc	LO-205S-WO		66.4%
cyanide	LO-204S-GW(Total) and LO-204S-GWDUP(Total)	All samples in SDG 212501 except samples LO-204S-GW(Total) and LO-204S-GWDUP(Total)	38.4%
lead	LO-2RES1-RWDUP		125.5%

Due to the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL) results outside of the 90 to 110 percent criteria, the reported concentrations of the following analytes should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limits for these analytes in the associated samples may be higher than reported and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables.

	Estimated	Biased	Percent
<u>Analyte</u>	Sample Results	Detection Limits	Recovery
antimony		LO-205S-WO and LO-2106S-WO	63.4%, 67.4%, 87.2% and
			78.8%



Analyte	Estimated Sample Results	Biased Detection Limits	Percent Recovery
arsenic	LO-206S-GW(Filtered), LO-206D-GW(Filtered), LO-203S-GW(Filtered), LO-201D-GW(Total), LO-204D-GW(Total), LO-202S-GW(Total), LO-202S-GW(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-2101M-GW(Total), LO-2102L-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-207S-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-207S-GW(Total), LO-2102D-GW(Total), LO-2RES-1RW and LO-2RES1-RWDUP		114%, 18.2% and 118.8%
beryllium	LO-201S-FB(Total)	LO-201D-GW(Total), LO-204D-GW(Total), LO-205D-GW(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-206-DR-GW(Total), LO-2106-DR-GW(Total), LO-2101D-GW(Total), LO-2101D-GW(Total), LO-2102L-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-207S-GW(Total), LO-2102D-GW(Total), and all samples in SDG 212801 except sample LO-205S-GW(Filtered)	and 86.5%
cadmium	LO-205S-GW(Total) and LO-206S-GW(Total)	All "not-detected" results in SDG 212601	72.3% and 68.1%
chromium	LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204D-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total), LO-2102L-GW(Total) and LO-207S-GW(Total)	All results in SDG 212801, LO-201S-FB(Total), LO-201D-GW(Total), LO-205D-GW(Total), LO-208S-FB(Total), LO-2106-DR-GW(Total), LO-2101L- GW(Total), LO-2101D-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-2RES1-RW, LO-2RES1-RWDUP and LO-2RES1-FB	63.4%, 63.4%, 79.0%, 75.8%, 55.0% and 80.0%
lead	LO-203S-FB(Filtered), LO-201S-FB(Total), LO-208S-FB(Total), LO-201S-FB(Filtered), LO-208S- FB(Total), LO-205S-WO and LO-2106S-WO		418.7%, 194.7%, 74.3%, 121.2%, 115.4% and 119.6%
manganese	LO-205S-WO and LO-2106S-WO	LO-203S-FB(Total)	88.8%, 88.3%, 111.0%, 116.6% and 113.5%
nickel	LO-2106S-WO		121.6% and 112.3%
silver		LO-206S-GW(Total), LO-203S-FB(Total), LO-203S-GW(Total) and LO-203S-GWDUP(Total)	112.6%
vanadium	LO-205S-WO and LO-2106S-WO		111.7%, 114.2%, 118.2% and 117.6%



Estimated		Biased	Percent
Analyte	Sample Results	Detection Limits	Recovery
zinc	LO-201S-FB(Total), LO-208S-FB(Total),	LO-206D-GW(Total) and	129.5%, 143.0%,
	LO-207S-GW(Total), LO-206S-	LO-203S-FB(Total)	86.3%, 112.3%,
	GW(Total), LO-2106S-GW(Total),		115.6% and
	LO-203D-GW(Total), LO-205S-WO		116.9%
	and LO-2106S-WO		

Due to the ICP serial dilution results outside the 10 percent difference criteria, the reported concentrations of the following analytes should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables.

<u>Analyte</u>	Estimated Sample Results	Percent <u>Difference</u>
barium	All positive results in SDG 212701	12.2%
iron	LO-205S-WO and all positive results in SDG 212701	12.4% and 30.5%
calcium	LO-205S-WO	12.2%
vanadium	LO-201S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GWDUP(Total), LO-202S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total) and LO-2101M-GW(Total)	15.7%
zinc	LO-201S-FB(Total), LO-201S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GWDUP(Total), LO-202S-GW(Total), LO-208S-FB, LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total), LO-2101M-GW(Total) and LO-207S-GW(Total)	15.2%
lead	LO-205S-WO	16.6%

- The positive results for aluminum and potassium in all samples in SDG 212501, for arsenic and iron in sample LO-2106S-WO and for zinc in sample LO-205S-WO should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. High relative percent differences (>20%) were obtained for these analytes in the associated laboratory duplicate analyses.
- The positive result for arsenic in sample LO-205S-GW(Filtered) should be considered estimated and has been flagged "J" on the data tables. Similarly, the actual detection limit for selenium in sample LO-206S-GW(Filtered) may be biased low and has been



flagged "UL" on the data tables. Low correlation coefficients (<0.995) were obtained in the method of standard addition analysis of these samples.

- The positive results for arsenic in samples LO-205S-GW(Total and Filtered) and for sodium in samples LO-203S-GWDUP(Total and Filtered), LO-208S-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-2106-DR-GW(Total and Filtered) and LO-2101L-GW(Total and Filtered) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. High percent differences (>10%) were obtained between the results for these analytes in the total and filtered analyses of the aforementioned samples.
- Sample pairs LO-203S-GW(Total and Filtered) and LO-203S-GWDUP(Total and Filtered); LO-208S-GW(Total and Filtered) and LO-208S-GWDUP(Total and Filtered); and LO-204S-GW(Total and Filtered) and LO-204S-GWDUP(Total and Filtered) were identified as field duplicates. Good precision (<20% relative percent differences) was obtained between the results in the aforementioned field duplicate pairs with the exception of the following, which have been flagged "J" on the data tables and should be considered estimated.

Analyte(s)

Field Duplicate Pair(s)

aluminum, potassium and mercury aluminum, calcium, chromium, iron, lead, magnesium and potassium

LO-203S-GW(Total) and LO-203S-GWDUP(Total)

LO-208S-GW(Total) and LO-208S-GWDUP(Total)

iron

LO-204S-GW(Filtered) and LO-204S-GWDUP(Filtered), LO-208S-GW(Filtered) and LO-208S-GWDUP(Filtered)

aluminum, arsenic, barium, calcium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, magnesium, manganese, nickel and zinc

LO-204S-GW(Total) and LO-204S-GWDUP(Total)

The actual detection limits for selenium in samples LO-205S-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-206D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-203S-GWDUP(Total), LO-203D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-203S-FB(Filtered), LO-2106S-GW(Filtered), LO-201S-FB(Filtered), LO-201D-GW(Filtered), LO-204S-GW(Filtered), LO-204S-GWDUP(Filtered), LO-204D-GW(Filtered), LO-202D-GW(Filtered), LO-208S-GW(Filtered), LO-208S-GWDUP(Filtered), LO-2106-DR-GW(Filtered), LO-2101L-GW(Filtered), LO-2101M-GW(Filtered), LO-2101D-GW(Filtered), LO-207D-GW(Filtered), LO-207S-GW(Filtered), and LO-2102D-GW(Filtered); and for thallium in samples LO-205S-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-206S-GW(Total), LO-206D-GW(Total and Filtered), LO-

2106S-GW(Total), LO-203S-GW(Total), LO-203D-GW(Total), LO-201S-GW(Total), LO-201D-GW(Total), LO-204S-GW(Total), LO-204S-GWDUP(Total), GW(Total), LO-205D-GW(Total), LO-202D-GW(Total), LO-208S-GW(Total), LO-208S-GWDUP(Total), LO-2106-DR-GW(Total), LO-2102L-GW(Total), LO-207D-GW(Total), LO-207S-GW(Total), LO-2102D-GW(Total) and LO-2106S-WO may be biased low and have been flagged "UL" on the data tables. Similarly, the positive results for arsenic in samples LO-201D-GW(Filtered) and LO-2102L-GW(Filtered); and for lead in sample LO-208S-FB(Filtered) should be considered estimated and have been flagged "J" on the data tables. Recoveries outside of the 85-115 percent criteria were obtained for the aforementioned analytes in the corresponding post-digestion spike analyses.

C. Conclusions

This quality assurance review has identified aspects of the analytical data that have required qualification. In general, the majority of the data represents good qualitative and quantitative Nonetheless, a portion of the data has been either qualified or rejected. confidently use any of the analytical results from the data sets examined, the data users should understand the qualifications and limitations stated in this report.

Organic report prepared by:

Jill B. Henes, Ph.D.

Vitte J. By

Quality Assurance Specialist/Principal

William E. Stokke, L

Inorganic report prepared by:

William E. Strohben, Jr.

Quality Assurance Chemist

ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS, INC.

1220 Valley Forge Road

P.O. Box 911

Valley Forge, PA 19481

(215) 935-5577

Inorganic report reviewed by:

Meg A. Clark

Quality Assurance Chemist

Mag O Clark

Report reviewed and approved by:

Rock J. Vitale

Quality Assurance Specialist/Principal

Date: 7/8/92

SECTION 2

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

A. ORGANIC DATA

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- ANALYTICAL F	RESULTS - RE 	SIDENTIAL WELL	DATA	-page 1	
ERM-North Central Sample Number LO- Laboratory Sample Number		2-TBS 2RES1-FB 480663 480668		2-RES1-RWDUP 480669	2-RES1-RW 480,665	
Remarks						
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/i	ug/l	
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of	,	
Chloromethane	1	וט	. NT	UL	Vi	
Bromome thane	1 1					
Vinyl Chloride	1) 		
Chloroethane	1 1			[
Methylene Chloride	- 1	4	4	2 U	3 (
Acetone	5					
Carbon Disulfide	1 1	UL	UL	 UL	UL	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1 1					
1,1-Dichloroethane	1 1	·				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1 1	***********				
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1 1					
Chloroform	1		3			
1,2-Dichloroethane	1 1					
Bromochloromethane	1					
2-Butanone	5					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1					
Carbon Tetrachloride	1 1			 		
Vinyl Acetate	1					
Bromodichloromethane	1 1	**********				
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1					
1,2-Dichloropropane	1 1					
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1 1				,	
Trichioroethene	1					
Dibromochloromethane	1					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1					
Benzene	1 1					

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

ERN-North Central Sample Num Laboratory Sample Number	•		2RES1-FB 480668	2-RES1-RHOUP 480669	2-RES1-RW 480665
Remarks					
Units	 	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of LO-2RES1-RW	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	 			
Bromoform	1				1
2-Hexanone	5				
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	1 1				
Tetrachloroethene	1				
Toluene	1 1	_		- 	
Chlorobenzene	1 1				
Ethylbenzene	1				
Styrene	1				
Total Xylenes	1				
1,2-Dibromoethane	1				
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	1				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1 1				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1 1				
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	 1 			- -	
Quantitation limit Multiplie		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Date of Sample Collection	 	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92
Date Sample Received by Labor	ratory	2/20/92	2/20/92	2/20/92	2/20/92
Date of Sample Analysis		2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92
Instrument Used for Analysis		MS-51	MS-51	MS-51	MS-51

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

ERM-Morth Central Sample Num Laboratory Sample Number	nber LO-	2-TBS 489663	2RES1-FB 489668	2-RES1-RWDUP 480669	2-RES1-RW 480665
Remarks					
Units	 	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	 ug/L
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of LO-2RES1-RW	
Phenol	5	NA			
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	5	NA			
2-Chlorophenol	5	MA			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5	MA			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5	MA			
Benzyl Alcohol	5]	MA			*********
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5	NA			
2-Methylphenol	5	NA			
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	5	#A			
4-Methylphenol	5	MA			
N-Nitroso-di-n-Propylamine	5	NA			
Hexachloroethane	5	MA			
Nitrobenzene	5	NA			
Isophorone	5	NA	 	 	
2-Nitrophenol	5	NA			
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5	NA			
Benzoic Acid	5	NA NA			
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	5	NA			
2,4-Dichlorophenol	5	NA NA		-	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	5	NA			
Maphthalene	5	NA		- -	
I-Chloroaniline	5	NA		-, -	
nexachlorobutadiene	5 ;	NA .		- 	
-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	\$	NA.			
?-Methylnaphthalene	5	 Na		-	

HOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- NA Not analyzed.

RM-North Central Sample Nu Laboratory Sample Number	•			2-RES1-RWDUP 480669	2-RES1-RW 480665
Remarks					
Units	 	ug/L	ug/L	ug/l	ug/l
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of LO-2RES1-RH	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	5	1	A		
2,4,6-Trichiorophenol	5)	A		
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	20		A		
2-Chioronaphthalene	5		A .		
2-Mitroaniline	20		A		
Dimethylphthalate	5		A		
Acenaphthylene	5)	A 		
3-Nitroaniline	20		A		
Acenaphthene	5	, 	A 1		
2,4-Dinitrophenol	20		A }		!
4-Hitrophenol	20		A 		!
Dibenzofuran	S		 A	- 	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	5		IA 	 	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	5		A		!
Diethylphthalate	5 	 	A		,
4-Chlorophenylphenylether	5		IA 		
Fluorene	5 -	 	IA		
4-Nitroaniline	20	1	iA 		
4,6-Dinitro-2-Methylphenol	20	 	(A 		
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	5	 	IA		
4-Bromophenylphenylether	Ş	 	IA 		
Hexachlorobenzene	5		IA		
Pentachlorophenol	28		1A		
Phenanthrene	5		IA :	1	!

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- NA Not analyzed.

ERM-Morth Central Sample M Laboratory Sample Mumber			2-RES1-RHDUP 480669	2-RES1-RW 480665	
Remarks					
Units	1	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of LO-2RES1-RW	
Anthracene	5	NA			
Di-n-Butylphthalate	5	NA	4 J	5 U	5 U
Fluoranthene	5	NA			
Pyrene	5	NA			
Butylbenzylphthalate	5 [MA	•.6 J	5 U	5 U
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	5	NA	 		
Benzo(a)anthracene	5	NA	 		_
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	5	NA	4 J	5 U	5 U
Chrysene	5	NA	 		
i-n-Octylphthalate	5	AM	 		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5	MA			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5	NA			
Benzo(a)pyrene	5	NA			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5	NA			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	5	MA			
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5	NA .			
Quantitation Limit Multiplier		NA	1.00	1.60	1.00
Date of Sample Collection		NA	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92
Date Sample Received by Laboratory		MA	2/20/92	2/20/92	2/20/92
Date Sample Extracted		NA	2/24/92	2/24/92	2/24/92
Date of Sample Analysis		NA (2/26/92	2/26/92	3/2/92
Instrument Used for Analysi	\$	NA	NS-57	MS-57	MS-57

- Compound was not detected.

- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.

 R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- NA Not analyzed.

ERM-North Central Sample Number LO Laboratory Sample Number	- 2-TBS 480663	2RES1-FB 480668	2-RES1-RWDUP 480669	2-RES1-RW 480665	
Remarks					-
Units	ug/L	ug/L	ug/l	 ug/L	-
COMPOUNDS	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of LO-2RES1-RW		- -
VOLATILE COMPONENTS	-	-			
Unknoun		 -	85 J	86 J	- -
		 			 -
	 	 	-		 -
	 	 	 - 		 -
			-		-
SEMIVOLATILE COMPONENTS	NA NA	 -	- 	-	-
Dimethylbutanone			26 JN		
inkno⊌n			10 J		1
				******	-1
			 -		-
			 -		1
	 	 	 - 1		1-1-1
		 	-		
		 	-		

					1

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered *not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- N Tentative identification.
- NA Not analyzed.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANAL			-		
ERM-North Central Sample Laboratory Sample Number	2-TBS 480663	2RES1-FB 486668	2-RES1-RWDUP 480669	2-RES1-RW 480665	
Remarks					
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
PESTICIDES	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of LO-2RES1-RW	
alpha-BHC	0.010	NA			
beta-BHC	0.010	MA			
delta-BHC	0.010	NA			
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.010	NA			0.00052 J
Heptachlor	0.010	NA	0.0086 J	€. €1 U	
Aldrin	0.010	MA			
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.010	HA			
Endosulfan I	0.810	NA		[0.00052 J	
Dieldrin	0.020	MA			
4,4'-DDE	0.020	NA		0.0014 J	
Endrin	0.020	MA			
Endosulfan II	0.020	NA			
4,4'-000	1.020	NA			1.0035 J
Endosulfan Sulfate	1.020	NA		0.00053 J	
4,4'-DOT	0.028	MA	İ		i
Methoxychlor	1.020	NA	0.0083 J	0.02 U	●.●2 U
Endrin Ketone	1.020	NA	i		
alpha-Chlordane	6.619	NA	İ		
ga nn a-Chlordane	0.010		0.00051 J	0.01 U	
Toxaphene	1.0	MA	1		

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.

 R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.

 J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified
- NA Not analyzed.

ERM-North Central Sample Mumber 10-		2-TBS 2RES1-FB		2-RES1-RHOUP	2_BFS1_BU
Laboratory Sample Num		486663	489668	480669	489665
Remarks					
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
AROCLORS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	Duplicate of LO-2RES1-RW	
Aroclor-1016	0.20	MA			
Aroclor-1221	1.41	MA			
Aroclor-1232	0.20	NA			
Aroclor-1242	0.24	NA.	 		
Aroclor-1248	0.20 	NA			
Aroclor-1254	0.20	NA 	 		
Aroclor-126# 	0.20	MA	; 		
Quantitation Limit Mu	ltiplier	NA	1.00	1.00	1.00
Date of Sample Collection		NA	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92
Date Sample Received by Laboratory		NA	2/20/92	2/20/92	2/20/92
Date Sample Extracted		NA	2/24/92 	2/24/92	2/24/92
Date of Sample Analys	is	NA	2/27/92	2/27/92	2/27/92

| NOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified
- NA Not analyzed.

VÖLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICAL RESI		 LO-205S-DN	 L0-21065-DN	- - 	-page 1 -
ERM-North Central Sample Number Laboratory Sample Number		21012-01	21046-01		
Remarks					
Units		 ш g/ Кg	ug/Kg		
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit				
Chloromethane	1200				
Bromomethane	1200				
Vinyl Chloride	1266				
Chloroethane	1200				
Methylene Chloride	1200	310000 U			
Acetone	1200	} 			
Carbon Disulfide	1200				
1,1-Dichloroethene	1288				
1,1-Dichloroethane	1200				
Total 1,2-Dichloroethene	1200				
Chloroform	1200				
1,2-Dichloroethane	1200				
Bromochloromethane	1208				
2-Butanone	1200		1		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1200				
Carbon Tetrachloride	1200				
Bromodichloromethane	1200				
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1200				
1,2-Bichloropropane	1200				
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1200				
Trichloroethene	1200				
Dibromochloromethane	1200				-
1,1.2-Trichloroethane	1200				
 Benz e ne	1200				

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICAL RES		ULTS - MAPL DATA - 1	WET WEIGHT BASIS	-page 2
ERM-North Central Sample Number Laboratory Sample Number		LO-205S-DN 21012-01	LO-2106S-DN	
Remarks		Oil	Oil	
Units	1	ug/kg	ug/Kg	
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit			
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1200			
Bromoform	1200		UL	
2-Hexanone	1200		300000 J	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	1200	ן טנ	Ut	
Tetrachloroethene	1200			
Toluene	1200		64000 J	
Chlorobenzene	1200			
Ethylbenzene	1200	45000 J	320000	
Styrene	1200			
Total Xylenes	1200	180000 J	1700000	
Quantitation Limit Multiplier		258.3	258.3	
Date of Sample Collection		2/18/92	2/19/92	
Date Sample Received by Laboratory		2/19/92	2/20/92	
Date of Sample Analysis		3/1/92	2/29/92	
Instrument Used for Analys	is	HPD	HPD	
			-	

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

ERN-North Central Sample Number Laboratory Sample Number	LO-205S-DN 21012-01	LO-2106S-DN 21046-01	
Remarks			
Units	ug/Kg	ug/Kg	
COMPOUNDS			
	 -		
VOLATILE COMPONENTS	-	-	
C3 - Substituted Cyclohexane	268808 JN	458980 JN	
Propylester Cyanic Acid		390000 JH	
C3-Substituted Cyclopentane	500000 JN		
Unsaturated Hydrocarbons	20000 JN	610000 JW	
C4-Substituted Cyclohexane	650000 JN		
C4-Substituted Cyclopentane	380000 JN		
C3-Alkylbenzene (Number of Peaks)	990000 (2) JN	4070000 (5) JN	
Decahydronaphthalene	190000 JN		
Saturated Hydrocarbons (Number of Peaks)	174000 (3) JN	4890000 (7) JN	

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICAL				IS 	-page 4	
ten-morth Central Sample Number Laboratory Sample Number		•		10-2106S-DN 21046-01	<u> </u>	
Remarks		Oil	Cil	-		
Units	1	ug/Kg	ug/Kg			
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit					
Phenol	19998					
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	1999		UL			
2-Chiorophenoi	10000					
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	16066					
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	10000					
Benzyl Alcohol	10000					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	10000					
2-Methylphenol	10000					
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)	19998	 UL	UL			
4-Methylphenol	19999					
N-Mitroso-di-n-Propylamine	10000	 UL	UL			
Hexachloroethane	10000				*	
Nitrobenzene	19900					
Isophorone	10000) UL			
2-Nitrophenol	10000					
2,4-Dimethylphenol	19999		 -			
Benzoic Acid	10000					
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	10000	UL	UL			
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10006					
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10000					
Naphthalene	18800	150000 J	210000 J			
4-Chloroaniline	10000					
Hexachiorobutadiene	10000					
4-Chlore-3-Methylphensl	10068					
2-Methylnaphthalene	19982	1000000	1389908	j		

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

ERM-Morth Central Sample Number Laboratory Sample Number Remarks		_ '		LO-2106S-DN	
		 Oil	0il		
 Units		 ug/Kg	ug/Kg		
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit				
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10000				
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10000				
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	25000				
2-Chloronaphthalene	10000	 			
2-Mitroaniline	25000		L UL		
Dimethylphthalate	10000				
Acenaphthylene	10000				
3-Nitroaniline	25000				
Acenaphthene	10008		58000 J		
2,4-Dinitrophenol	25000				
4-Nitrophenol	25000				
Dibenzofuran	19900				
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	10000	 	66000 3		
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	10000		; 		
Diethylphthalate	10000				
4-Chlorophenylphenylether	10000	ן			
Fluorene	10008	120000 J	100000 3		
4-Nitroaniline	25000				
4,6-Dinitro-2-Methylphenol	25000				
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	10000		250000 3*		
4-Bromophenylphenylether	10000				
Hexachlorobenzene	10008				
Pentachloropmenol	25000				
Pnenanthrene	10006	27000 3	250000		

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.—
- Cannot be distinguished from diphenylamine.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICAL : ERN-Morth Central Sample Number Laboratory Sample Number Remarks				-page 6 	-
		 Oil	011		-
 Units		ug/Kg	ug/Kg		-
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	 			- NOT
Anthracene	10000				-
Di-n-Butylphthalate	10000				- -
Fluoranthene	10000				_
Pyrene	19000				- -
Butylbenzylphthalate	10000				-1
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	10000	1			- -!
Benzo(a)anthracene	10000				- (
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	18900	 !	110000 J	.	-
Chrysene	19994				- -
Di-n-Octylphthalate	10000				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	10000				-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	19999	1			- -
Benzo(a)pyrene	10000				1
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	10000				
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	18880	 . 			
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	19999	 			 - -
Quantitation limit Multipli	er	100	50.0		
Date of Sample Collection		2/18/92	2/19/92		-
Date Sample Received by Lab	oratory	2/19/92	2/20/92		-
Date Sample Extracted		3/2/92	3/2/92		-
Date of Sample Analysis		3/16/92	3/16/92		i
Instrument Used for Analysi	.\$	4500-R	4500-R		-

- Compound was not detected.

- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

CLP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS - { - NAPL DATA - ALL SOLIDS REPORTED ON		ONS	-page 7
ERM-North Central Sample Mumber Laboratory Sample Number	•	L0-2106S-DN 21046-01	
Remarks			
Units	ug/Kg	ug/Kg	
COMPOUNDS			
SEMIVOLATILE COMPONENTS		-	
Saturated Hydrocarbons (Number of Peaks)	30786000 (15) JN	18490000 (13) JN	
Oxygenated Hydrocarbon	980000 JN	790088 JN	
Dihydro-6H-purin-6-one	4780000 JH	278888 JN	
1=Methylnaphthalene	1600000 JN	1500000 JN	
Substituted Cyclohexane	1100000 JN		
Dimethylnaphthalenes	4000000 JN	5200000 JN	
Trimethylnaphthalenes	3520000 JN	4020000 JN	
Dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl Isomer	1300000 JN		
C3-Alkylbenzene		1300000 JN	
Unknown Alcohol	[760000 JN	

ERM-Morth Central Sample Mumber Laboratory Sample Mumber Remarks				-	-page 8 -	-
		Oil	Oil	- 		·-
Units	1	ug/Kg	ug/Kg			-[
PESTICIOES	Quantitation Limit				- 	
alpha-BHC	51	UL	UL			-[
beta-8HC	51					-
delta-BHC	51					-]
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	51					
Heptachlor	51					-1
Aldrin	51					-
Heptachlor Epoxide	51					-
Endosulfan I	51					-
Dieldrin	99					-
4,4'-DOE	99					-
Endrin	99					-}
Endosulfan II	99					
4,4'-DDD	99	·				-
Endosulfan Sulfate	99					-
4,4'-DDT	99					·}
Methoxychlor	510				1	1
Endrin Ketone	99					-
Endrin Aldehyde	99					
alpha-Chlordane	51					-
gamma-Chlordane	51					1
Toxaphene	5100					1

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICAL		RESULTS - MAPL DA	ITA - HET WEIGHT BAS	SIS	-page 9
•					
		011	Oil		
Units	1	ug/Kg	ug/Kg		
AROCLORS	Quantitation Limit				
Aroclor-1016	990				
Aroclor-1221	2010				
Aroclor-1232	990				
Aroclor-1242	99#	19000 J	30000		
Aroclor-1248	990				
Aroclor-1254	998				
Aroclor-1260	990	17000 J	22080		
Quantitation Limit Multipli	er	20.2	20.2	 	
Date of Sample Collection		2/18/92	2/19/92	-	
Date Sample Received by Laboratory		2/19/92	2/20/92	-	
Date Sample Extracted		3/2/92	3/2/92		
Date of Sample Analysis		3/13/92	3/13/92		
			,	-	

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified

TCLP VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICA		IL RESULTS - MAP	L DATA (TCLP)	-page 1
Remarks		Oil	Oil	
Units		ug/Kg	ug/Kg	
TCLP VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit			1
Vinyl Chloride	1280			
1,1-Dichloroethene	1280			
Chloroform	1280			
1,2-Dichloroethane	1280			
2-Butanone	1280			
Carbon Tetrachloride	1280			
Trichloroethene	1280			
Benzene	1286			
Tetrachloroethene	1280			
Chlorobenzene	1280			
Quantitation limit Multip	Lier	25.0	671.9	
Date Sample Collected		2/18/92	2/19/92	
Date Received by Laborator	 ry	2/19/92	2/20/92	
Date of Analysis		3/1/92	3/1/92	
Instrument Used for Analys	sis	HPD	HPD	

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

- MAPL DATA (TCLP) - ALL SOLIDS R	EPORTED ON A WET WEIGH	T BASIS	
ERM-Morth Central Sample Number Laboratory Sample Number	LO-205S-DNT 21012-01T	LO-2106S-DNT	
Remarks	Oil	Oil	
Units	ug/Kg	ug/Kg	
COMPOUNDS			
VOLATILE COMPONENTS		 	
Xylenes Isomers	120000 JN	880000 JN	
Saturated Hydrocarbons (Number of Peak	s) 490000 (1) JN	870000 (1) JN	
C3-Substituted Cyclohexane	67000 JN		
Unsaturated Hydrocarbon (Number of Pea	ks) 210000 (2) JN		
C3-Substituted Cyclohexane	210000 JN		
C4-Substituted Cyclohexane	220000 JN		
C3-Alkylbenzenes (Number of Peaks)	916000 (5) JN	1400000 (3) JN	
Decahydronaphthalene	120000 JN		
Propylester Cyanic Acid		530000 JN	
Unknown Alcohols		570000 (2) JN	
Azido-2,3,3-trimethylbutane		620000 JN	
2-Octenal (E)		470000 JN	

MOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is profhigher due to a low bias identifie during the quality assurance review.

TCLP EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC A	NALYSIS - ANALYT	ICAL RESULTS	-	MAPL DATA (T	CLP)	.1	-page 3	j
•		LO-205S-DNT 21012-01T		LD-2106S-DNT 21046-01T				-
		Oil		Oil				-
Units	1	ug/¥g		ug/Xg				-
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	 						-1
Pyridine	2000		R		R		 	- -
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	10000		R		R			- MOTES: - - U
2-Hethylphenol	10000		R		R			
4-Methylphenol	10000		R		R			-{ R -
Hexachloroethane	10000		R		R			-[J
Nitrobenzene	10000	! 1	R		R			- - -
Hexachlorobutadiene	10000		R		R			UL
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10000		R		R]
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	25000		R		R			1
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	18008		R		R			1
Hexachiorobenzene	10006	 	R		R			1
Pentachlorophenol	25000	 	R		R			 -
Quantitation Limit Multipl	ier	100		100			1	
Date Sample Collected		2/18/92		2/19/92				·
Date Received by Laborator	······································	2/19/92		2/20/92				'
Date Sample Extracted		3/11/92		3/11/92				1
Date of Analysis		3/18/92		3/17/92				·]
Instrument Used for Analys	is	45 00 -R		4500-R				1

- Compound was not detected.

U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level. R Unreliable result - Compound may or

may not be present in this sample.

J Quantitation is approximate due to

limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).

UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

TCLP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS - - MAPL DATA (TCLP) - ALL SOLIDS REPOR			ı	-page 4
ERM-North Central Sample Mumber Laboratory Sample Number	LO-205S-DNT 21012-01T	 LD-2106S-DNT 21046-01T		
Remarks	Oil	 Oil		
Units	ug/Kg	 ug/Kg		
COMPOUNDS				
SENIVOLATILE COMPONENTS		-		
Saturated Hydrocarbons (Number of Peaks)	75700000 (15) JN	86200000 (12) JN		
Unsaturated Hydrocarbon (Number of Peaks)	2300000 (1) JN	3200000 (1) JN		
1-Methylnaphthalene	3900000 JN	6800000 JN		
Oxygenated Hydrocarbon	2300000 JN			
Dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl Isomer	2800000 JN	350000 JN		
Ethylnaphthalene Isomer	4900000 JN	2600000 JN		
Dimethylnaphthalene Isomers	B100000 JN	16000000 JN		
Trimethylnaphthalene Isomers	10900000 JN	17800000 JN		
Substituted Cyclohexanes		2900000 JN		

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

TCLP EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC		*************			-page 5	-
•		LO-2055-DNT 21012-01T	LO-2106S-DNT 21046-01T			
		Oil	Oil			-
Units		ug/Kg	ug/Kg			-
TCLP PESTICIDES	Quantitation Limit					-
alpha-BHC	49.5	UE	UL			- -
beta-BHC	49.5			 		` -
delta-BHC	49.5					
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	49.5	UL	UL			- -
Heptachlor	49.5	UL	UL			1
Aldrin	49.5	UL	UL			-1
Heptachlor Epoxide	49.5					-1
Endosulfan I	49.5	 				1
Dieldrin	95	Į UL	UL			
4,4'-DDE	95					
Endrin	95	UL	ÜL			-
Endosulfan II	95					· [
4,4'-DDD	95					
Endosulfan Sulfate	95					1
4,4'-DDT	95	UL	UL			1
Methoxychlor	495					1
Endrin Ketone	95					1
Endrin Aldehyde	95					
alpha-Chlordane	49.5				 	1
gamma-Chlordane	49.5					
Toxaphene	4950	-	i			1

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- Ul This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified

TCLP EXTRACTABLE ORGAI	CIC ANALYSIS - ANALYT	TICAL RESULTS -	MAPL DATA (TCLP)	-page 6
ERM-North Central Samp Laboratory Sample Numb		LO-205S-DNT 21012-01T	LO-2106S-DNT 21046-01T	
Remarks		Gil	Oil	
Units	j	ug/Kg	ug/Kg	
ARDCLORS	Quantitation Limit			
Aroclor-1016	950			
Aroclor-1221	1900			
Aroclor-1232	950			
Aroclor-1242	950	19000 J	34000	
Aroclor-1248	950			
Aroclor-1254	950			
Aroclor-1260	950	17000 J	21000	
Quantitation Limit Mul	tiplier	20.0	20.0	
Date of Sample Collect	ion	2/18/92	2/19/92	
Date Sample Received b	y Laboratory	2/19/92	2/20/92	
Date Sample Extracted		2/27/92	2/27/92	
Date of Sample Analysi	s	3/13/92	3/14/92	

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identifier

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	AMALYTICAL R	ESU(15 - MON)	ITORING WELL S	ATA											-page 1
ERM-Morth Central Sample Mus Laboratory Sample Mumber		278-1 200256-1	2015-FB 200256-2			2005-6U 200256-5	1845-6WDUP 180256-6	2025-6H 200256-7	1940-6H _88256-8	2050-6H ,200256-9	. 2020-6W ,200256-10	2-182 1288257-1	2085-F8 . 200257-2	2085-GH 200257-3	2085-6HDU 200257-4
Remarks			1				1					i			İ
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	i ug/L	ug/L	; ug/L	181r	: ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	. ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	1		 	Duplicate of LO-2045-6W	[<u> </u>		Trip Blank	Field Blank		Duplicate LO-2085-
Chloromethans	10					1							1]
Bromomethane	10							-					·{		
Vinyl Chloride	10	\ 		ļ											
Chloroethane	10			1											
Methylene Chloride	19	8 J	8 1	10 U					10 U		10 (
Acetone	10													Į UI	. [
Carbon Disulfide	10				- (-							-	R	R
1,1-Dichloroethene	18		\ \			- 									[-
1,1-Bichloroethane	10				-	1							1		
Total 1,2-Dichloroethene	10														
Chlorofors	10	6 3] 3 3										1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	10		-									1			
2-Butanone	10				12	J						ļ u	L	טנן נ	ı.
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	10				!	1		ļ							
Carbon Tetrachioride	7.0		}		1]								
Bromodichloromethane	10		 												
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	10														
1,2-Dichloropropane	10					1									
trans-1,3-Bichloropropens	16				1										
Trichloroethene	10														
Dibromochloromethane	10														
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	10														
Benzene	10														

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTICAL R	ESULTS - MON	ITORING WELL	DATA						.1				1	-page 2
ERM-Morth Central Sample Mu Laboratory Sample Mumber	imber 10-	2TB-1 200256-1	2015-FB 200256-2	2015-6W 200256-3	2010-6N 200256-4	2845-6H 200256-5	2045-GHOUP 200256-6	1025-6H 200256-7	204D-6W 200256-8	205D-6W 200256-9	2020-6H 200256-10		, 2885-FB , 200257-2	2005-6H 200257-3	2085-GWDUP 200257-4
Remarks				i) 	-1	-					
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	i ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	- u3/L	ug/L	, ug/L	nd/r
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank		İ		Ouplicate of LO-2045-6W					Trip Blank	Field Blank	1	Ouplicate of LO-2085-GW
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10	1													
Br en oform	10							1							
2-Hexanone	10	 			UL						ļ	UL	i nr	ļ UL	UL.
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	10	ļ											 	UL	JL VL
Tetrachloroethene	10													- 	
Toluene	10														
Chloropeusaue	10														
Ethylbenzene	10														
Styrene	19														
Total Xylenes	10				 		 						- -		
Quantitation limit Hultipl	ier	1.10	1.00	1.00	1.40	1.00	1.44	1.66	1.00	1.86	1.66	1.66	1.00	1.00	1.98
Date of Sample Collection		2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92
Date Sample Received by La	boratory	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	 2/18/92	2/18/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92
Date of Sample Analysis		2/21/92	2/21/92	2/24/92	2/25/92	2/24/92	2/24/92	2/24/92	2/24/92	2/24/92	2/24/92	2/25/92	2/25/92	2/26/92	2/26/92
Instrument Used for Analys	i s	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	KP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-3	HP-3	HP-3	HP-3
		-				-									

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered 'not-detected' since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{R}}$. Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS		ļ					.,		204D-6W	205D-6W					-page 3
ERM-Morth Central Sample Humb Laboratory Sample Humber	er LO-	278-1 -	2015-FB 021080-0008	2015-6H 021000-0007			2045-6HDUP 621006-000Z	2025-6H 021000-0004			, 2020-6W 021000-0006	, 2-T B2 I	2085-FB 021008-0011	2085-6W 021008-0012	
Remarks			[1	1		,	\ \			
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	l ug/L	ug/L	. ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUMOS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank				Duplicate of LO-2045-6H					Trip Blank	Field Blank		Ouplicate LO-2085-6
Phenoi	10	MA.			 							NA			İ
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	10	NA.		 UL			R					MA			
2-Chlorophenol	10	NA		{		!				i		NA.			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	10	NA		UL								#A			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	19	#A		UL			1					NA	 		
Benzyl Alcohol	10	, AA	(l UL	1		R	ļ				NA.			
1,2-Dichlerobenzene	10	MA		j UL				ļ				NA			
2-Nethylphenol	10	114			1		R) NA	1	}	
2,2'-0xybis(1-Chloropropane)	10	NA		Į VL			R					KA	-		
4-Methylphenol	10	NA.					8		-			NA			
M-Mitroso-di-n-Propylamine	10	MA		UL		2 R	2 8					Į NA			
Hexachloroethane	10	HA		UL.								i NA	-\		
Mitrobenzene	10	NA.		UL			R					NA.			
Isophorone	10	I NA		VL			R					N/			
2-Mitrophenoi	10	NA					R					"			
2.4-Bimethylphenol	10	AA					R					11			
Benzaic Acid	58	KA				-1				-	\ \	\	\ \	\	-
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	10	#A		UL								N/	·		
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10] AA]			M	. (
1.2.4-Trichlorobanzene	10	NA		UL			ı					N			
Naphthalene	10	IA.		UL		-		 				N	A		
4-Chloroaniline	10	IA.	ļ UL	UL	į vi	U	L I	ı İ uı	L U1	. U	t Ul	. M	A U	r a	
 	10	11		UL								#	A		
4-Chloro-3-Rethylphenol	10	14						 				#	A		
2-Rethylnaphthalene	10	14		UL								1	A		

(

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS ERM-Morth Central Sample Mum Laboratory Sample Number		278-1	2015-FB	2015-6W	2010-6W		345-6HOUP ,021000-0002	:	2840-6W {021008-0003	2050-6W 021008-0005	:020-6H (021000-0006)	2-TB2	:08S-F8	1085-6W 021008-0012	-page 4 1085-6WOUP 021808-0013
Remarks			 					1	;						
 Units		 ug/l		ug/L	 ug/L	 . ug/L	, ug/L	; ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	 , ug/L	 . ug/L	:
SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUMOS	 Quantitation limit	Trip Blank	 Field Blank		 		Ouplicate of LO-2045-6H	 	 	; } !	 	Trip Slank	, Field Blank		 Duplicate of LO-2085-GH
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10	NA.	 	 UL		 	R	 		 	 	NA.		, 	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenoi	10	NA.			-		R	 		 	 	#A	!	! 	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	50	HA.		 	 	\ 	R	\ 	\ 	 	 	MA		{ 	
2-Chloronaphthalene	-	AA		 UL	 	 	 R			 		MA			
2-Mitroaniline	50	HA		 VI	 	 	R					HA.			
Dimethylphthalate	10	HA.		nr			R	 				NA	 		-{
Acenaphthylene	10	HA		 UL	 	!	-	 			i	MA	·	i	
3-Mitroaniline	58	 NA	 UL		UL	 at	R	 UL	 4L	l ut	-j UL	HA.	-	- 	- \ ul
Acenaphthene	10	 NA		- UL	 -		- R	· 		 	-	NA	1		-
2,4-Dinitrophenol	50	 NA	 					-	 		 		-\ 		-
4-Mitrophenol	50	 HA	 UL	 UL		 UL	-{	UL	Of	-{ UL	UL	AA	·	i	-
Dibenzofuran	10	i KA	·} 	- VL	 		R	·	- 	- 	- 	AK	-	-{ 	-
2,4-Dinitrataluene	10		 	- UL			; R			 		 NA	- 	·	-
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	10	 NA	1	i vi		·\	R				· [A		-	
Diethylphthalate	10	NA.		UL			-	-		• 	-	MA		-	
4-Chlorophenylphenylether	10	HA.		UL	 		R	- 			-	ļ AA	·	- i	-
Fluorene	10	HA	·	VL	 		-}	-} 		-	-1	NA.	- 	- 	-\
4-Witroaniline	50	NA	dr	 UL	 UL	UL	R	UL	UL	UL	- Ul	, NA	- UL	- UL	-
4,6-Dinitro-2-Methylphenoi	50	NA.					-	- 		-			-		
M-Mitrosodiphenylamine	10	HA		UL			-						-	- - 	
4-Bromophenyiphenylether	10	 MA		UL		1	i R						- 	-	<u> </u>
Hexachlorobenzene	10	NA		ut	}		- R	- 	-		- 	N/	- 	-	
Pentachlorophenol	50	HA					R	-		-		N/		-	
Phenanthrene	10	NA.		VL			R	- <i></i>		-1	-)		- 	-	

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- AMALYTICAL	RESULTS - N	ONITORING WEL	L DATA		1								1	-page 5
ERM-Morth Central Sample Num Laboratory Sample Number	iber (0-			2015-6H 021000-0007	2010-6W 021000-0009	2045-6H 021000-0001	.2045-6HOUP 021000-0002	,025-6H 1021000-0004	2040-6H 021000-0003	2050-GH 021000-0005	202D-6N 021000-0006	1-782	2085-FB 021000-0011	2085-6H 221000-0012	2085-6HDUP 021000-081
Remarks								ļ	1		i				
Units		ug/l	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quantitation limit	Trip Blank	Field Blank	1			Duplicate of LO-2045-6W					Trip Blank	Field Blank		Ouplicate o
Anthracene	10	NA		UL.			R				 	HA			
Carbazole	10	NA		UL.								HA		j UL	
DI-m-Butylphthalate	10	HA		UL			R	1 1			1 1 1	HA.			
fluoranthene	10	HA		j vi			2 3					NA.			
Pyrene	10	NA.		UL.			R					I NA			
Sutylbenzyiphthalate	10	MA		Į UL								I NA			
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	10	MA		1			R	UL UL				NA.		J UL	
Benzo(a)anthracene	16	NA.		l ar			1					NA.			
 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	10	i HA	2 J	10 U	 		R	10 U		10 0	14 U	I NA	2 3	10 0	
Chrysone	10	HA.	i	l ui] R]				NA.		-	
Bi-n-Octylphthalate	10	NA	L	UL.			R		-		 	, KA		-	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1 18	ļ NA		ן ענ			R	-\ 				HA	-(
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	j NA		UL			R	-			1) NA			
Benzo(a)pyrene	10	HA	!	UL	!		į R	!]				#A			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	10	NA.		UL			, A				-	NA			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	10	NA		UL				1				#1			\ \
Besze(g,h,i)perylene	10	I NA	((UL	(l Ki	-		
 Quantitation Limit Multipli	er	, HA	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.60	1.20	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1	1.00	1.00	1.60
Date of Sample Collection		NA	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	Ni	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92
Date Sample Received by Lab	oratory	NA.	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	i ii	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92
Date Sample Extracted		NA.	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	3/17/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92		A 2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92
Date of Sample Analysis		KA	1/16/92	3/19/92	3/16/92	3/19/92	3/19/92	3/17/92	3/16/92	3/19/92	3/19/92		3/19/92	3/17/92	3/19/92
Instrument Used for Analysi	5	110	4500 U	4500 U	4500 U	4500 U	4500 U	4500 U	4500 U	4500 U	4500 U		A 4500 U	4500 U	4500 U

(

CLP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUND		STERATED	CONCENTRATI	OKS - 40K	ITORING WEL	LOATA					***			-page b	•
•	-	200256-2 021000	1200256-3	201D-6W 200256-4 221000 -0009		2045-GMDUP 200256-6 021000 -0002	2025-6H 200256-7 021000 -0004	2040-6H 100256-8 021000 -0003		: 2020-6H 200256-10 021000 -0006	2-782 200257-1	021008 -0011	1885-5W 188257-3 221888 8012	-:085-6MDUP -: 200257-4 -021008 -0013	-
Remarks	 	 i			· [· - 	i				-1
Units	ug/L	 ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	-1
	Trip	Field Blank				Ouplicate of LO-2045-6W					Trip Blank	Field Blank		Duplicate of LO-2085-6W	
VOLATILE COMPONENTS	-	-		\ -]]]	-	-	:		MO1
Unknouns (Humber of Peaks)	 	 	49 J		110 J	110 J	5 3	65 J	56 1	24 J			250 J	270	וֹנ
SENIVOLATILE COMPONENTS	I I MA	1	i i	ļ			}				NA	•	1		ì
Blank Contamination	1	24 3	34 R	11 8	14 R	19 8	44 R	11 1		14 R			,	6	R
Laberatory Artifact]]]	İ				-						1		
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon		14 J	15 J				1 1		11 J	59 1	-] 2 J	26 3	11	•
2-Butanone, 3,3-Dimethyi		 	48 3#	1	150 JH	180 JN		-[100 JH					1 1
Aromatic Compounds		5 3	1 3 3		54 J	13 3		3 ;	3	64 3				1]
Cyclohexanone										3 JM					
Alcohols		20 J			2 3	4 3				11 J			1		
Unknown Setones] 4]	-[-[-{		}						:		{
Sulfur, Mol (S8)					7 31		,		:				6.3	1)	
Unknown Phenois			-												
Unknown Acids												1		2	J
Phthalate Esters			-)							1	4	J
Unknowns		10 J		1 2			1 14	3 6	J 3 .	26 3) (23] 8	3

TES:

- Compound was not detected.
- This compound should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level. Unreliable result - Compound may or
- may not be present in this sample. Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

MA Not analyzed.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYS	SIS - AMALYTICA	L RESULTS	- MONITORING	WELL DATA	.,	,								, - 	-page /
ERM-Morth Central Sample I Laboratory Sample Number		,t0-278-1 -					(UD-2045-940UP) (021000-0002		0-3840-6w 821888-8883	.0-0450-6w (#21444-4445	.02920-6W 1921000-0006	. 0-2- 782 I		10-2685-6W 021068-0012	.0-2085-6WDUR 921008-0013
Remarks		!	·	;			:								
Units		ug/L	i ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	-g/L	ag/L	1 19/1	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
PESTICIDES	Quantitation Limit	1	Field Blank	1			Duplicate of LO-2045-6W		! !			Trip Blank	Field Blank		Ouplicate of LO-2085-GH
alpha-BHC	1.45	, AA								i		MA			l ut
beta-8HC	0.05	HA										HA			ar
delta-BHC	0.05	KA										KA			UL
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.05	AR										NA	l UL	U1	,
Heptachior	0.05	NA.			\							NA.	}	1	\ UL
Aldrin	8.85	HA								,		NA			UL
Heptachlor Epoxide	4.45	, KA			1	\		;		1) NA		-[UL
Endosulfan I	1.05	NA.				-		 				HA.			UL UL
Dieldrin	0.10	NA.										HA			J VL
4,4'-DDE	0.10	NA.					-					NA.			UL UL
 Endrin	0.10	MA										NA		- - 	-
Endosuifan II	0.10	HA.			-							NA	- 		_ UL
4,4'-000	0.10	NA			-							i NA	-		- UL
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.10	MA	-				\					1 11	-	 	- UI
4,4'-DOT	0.10	NA.			-							NA.	-	. j UL	
Methoxychlor	1.50	NA.	i) NA	- 		U
Endrin Ketone	0.10	HA.	-			-						##	·- ·		-
Endrin Aldehyde	0.10					-{ 				-		N/	-\ 		-\UI
alpha-Chlordane	0.50	NA	-			-				- 		11			
gamma-Chlordane	0.50	HA.	-\	-\	-\	-			-\	-	!	-}	·	·-	-) UI
 Toxaphene	1.0	 	- 		-	-			-			N	·- 		

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSI	S - AMALYTICA	L RESULTS	- MONITORING	WELL DATA											-page 8
ERM-Morth Central Sample Mu Laboratory Sample Number	mber	10-2TB-1					1.2-2045-6NDUP 021000-0002								100-2085-6WDUP 1021008-0013
Remarks												·;		!	*;*******
Units	1	ug/L	, ug/L	, ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	. ugju	աց/Ն	ug/l	ug/t	agyt	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
AROCLORS	Quantitation Limit	Trip Blank	: Field Blank			 	Ouplicate of	; [1	i		Trip Blank	Field Blank		Duplicate of 10-2085-6W
Areclor-1016	1.0	MA										NA.			(UL
Arociar-1221	2.0	, AA									 	NA.		\ \	UL
Aroclor-1232	1.0	HA.										į #A			UL
Aroclor-1242	1.0	NA.]]								HA			UL
Aroclor-1248	1.0	KA	(İ		1	1		ĺ	HA			UL
Arector-1254	1.0	XA.							-		1	NA.			UL
Aractor-1260	1.0	XA.	 		 		.;	 			-	HA	 	 -	UL
Quantitation Limit Hultipli	er	i #A	1.08	1.82	1.08	1.16	1.16	1.14	1.08	1.12	1.08	HA.	1.06	1.06	1.06
Date of Sample Collection		NA.	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92	2/17/92] NA	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92
Date Sample Received by tab	oratory	#A	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	NA	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92
Date Sample Extracted		AK.	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	NA.	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92
Date of Sample Analysis		NA	3/6/92	3/5/92	3/6/92	3/5/92	3/5/92	3/5/92	3/5/92	3/5/92	3/5/92	NA.	3/6/92	3/6/92	3/6/92

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.
- MA Not analyzed.

- EZZYJANA DINABRO BJĪTAJOV	ANALYT:	CA: RESULT	TIMON 2	ORING WELL	CATA																	; age	
ERM-Morth Central Eamole Humb Laboratory Sample Humber					121010-6H 1200257-3			875-64 200257-11			3		2065-6H 200257-14:							.835-6WDUP .88258-4	1830-6# 188258-5	184	4
Remarks					!					Analyz Three i						Analyze Three Tim						.,	
Units		49/L		ug/L	j ug/L	Jg/L	-q/L	-9/L	l		ig/L		ind if	,g/t	-3/L	J	g/L		. ug/L	1 9 /L	ng/L	-g/L	
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant.	 	 						1	; ; !	-				Field Blank		••			Duplicate of LO-2035-6W	1	Trip (81an)	k .
Chloromethane	10					i				- <i>i</i>	R/	Я	 			UL/	3/	, 	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1			
Bromomethane	16		; 			1				-1	R/	R			1	UL/	R/	R					
Vinyl Chloride	16	 UL) UL		11 1	j ut	 UL	15 J	-/	R/	8		JL UL		UL/	8/	9		1	i		
Chloroethane	10	24	[]			[-/	R/					93]/							
Methylene Chloride	10	1 15 U		i u		!		10 U	10 U	1 .:	٦/	R	1 10 U	10 U	4 J	12 0/	39 U/	1 0 U	17 9	i 10 U	1 10 1	4	3
Acetone	19)	ן טו	1) ગ	1]	UL/	24 3/	3				UL/	R /	ģ		:	1	!	
Carbon Disulfide	10	ļ R	R	Į R	R	R		1 8	ļ 8	8/	R/	R	R	j 3	1 8	UL/	R/	7	1 6	j R		3	Ř
1,1-Dichloroethene	10	! !	[[1	5 1	1		1 1 1	1 1	R/	Ŗ				4 3/	Rj	5 1		!		\	
1,1-Øichloroethane	10			i	 	69		 	58	14 /	12 3/	12 J				3]/	R/	3 3			;		
Total 1,2-Dichloroethene	10					19			10	-1	R/	R	·		-i	41.1	R (R	·			!	
Chlorofor a	10									-/	R/	R				UL/	R/	R					4 J
1,2-Dichloroethane	18		!							-/	R/	R	· 			UL/	R/	 ۶					
2-Butanone	18) n	į a	31	n	1 at	JL) Ji	9	- :1/	Ry	Ŗ	j a	ļ Jī	1	-/	R /	;		UI	-	L.	JĻ
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	10			1		110			62	-/	R,	R				! UL/	R/	3					
Carbon fetrachloride	10						1	1		-/	R,	R		i		91/	R/	٠ ۽					
Bromodichioromethane	10	ļ	ļ				1	!	 	-/	R	R	 			UL/	R/	R					
1,1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane	10				ļ					-1	R	R		į	i	ี ขเ	R/	R	-	·-·			
1,2-Dichloropropane	18			- 				 	i	-	R	R	-		 	- UL;	R/	9	-			· 	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	18	ļ		-		- 				- ·/	R	R	-			- UL	R/	;	- 				
Trichloroethene	10			-	·,		- 		********	-	R	. 8	* 	- i		- UL	/ R/				i		
Dibromochloromethane	10	1						1	·-; 	1 -1	Ŗ	9) n	j Rj	· · · ·			 	i	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	10	·; 			ļ					-1	R	R				- U1	/ R/	1					
Benzene	10		-	- 	-		-	-;		 -/	R		- 	-		278 1/	344 1/	330	; . !	,	:		

VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS -	ANALYT	ICAL RESUL	TS - MONIT	ORING WELL	CATA																			-page 10
ERM-Morth Central Sample Num Laboratory Sample Mumber		21060R-6H 200257-5														2 00258 -1							200258-5	
Remarks			!	: :	: 						Anaiyz ree Ti			;				nalyzi ee I.:		;		***********	1	
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	; Jq/L	-GIL	ndir	ug/L	ug/L		49/L		, ag/L	uç	gsl	-9/L		ugjt) ug/L		ug/L	, ug/L	109/1
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant. Limit						!									Field Blank					4	Duplicate of 10-2035-6W		Trip Blank
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10					i i			1	-/	,	/ /	1				۷L	1	۹/	R			 	
Bromoform	10						!			-/	,	/ /	1				UL	1	۹/	R	<u> </u>			
2-Hexanone	10	UL.	UL	UŁ	l nr	UL	Į UL	l UL	j UL	JL	/ 1	1/ 1	·	UL	٥L	UL	ÜL	I	₹/	8		UL	UL	01
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	10		UL UL		Į UL	 				-/	1	1/ 5	}				UL	1	R/	R			[
Tetrachloroethene	10			!	1					•/	1	1/ (3				UL	1	۹/	P				
Toluene	10	4 3			1	ļ				-/	1	1/	?			i	13# J/	160	J/ 130	1]				1
Chlorobenzene	10							1		-/	1	8/	R			 	UL.		۹/	R	 			
Ethylbenzene	10									100 J/	278	1/ 28 .]				410 3/			1 3				
Styrene	10						1		-1	-/		*/	R				UL	I	R/	R			 	
Total Tylenes	10			 	į					27 6							1800 J/	1300	J/ 190	• 3 • 3				
 - Quantitation Limit Multiplie	r			1.00			1.00		1.00							1.00			,	,	•	1.00		1.40
Date of Sample Collection		1	1	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92	2/18/92		2/18/	92	1	i		2/19/92	Ì	2/19	/92	ł.	/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92
Oate Sample Received by Labo		;2/19/92			2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	(2/19/92	1	2/19/	92				2/20/92		2/20	/92			2/20/92	,2/20/92	2/20/92
Date of Sample Analysis		2/27/92		12/21/92	1	1	1	1	1	1				- 1		1	1			L	/92	2/25/92	2/ 25/9 2	2/25/92
Instrument Used for Analysis		HP-4	HP-3	HP-4	HP-3	, HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-3	HP-4	/ HP-	4 HP-4		HP-4	HP-4	HP-4 /	HP-4	/ HP-			HP-4	HP-4	HP-4

NOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.
- Ut This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS	- 4#A	LYTICAL RE	SULTS - #0	HITORING !	ÆLL CATA												,	-page 11
ERM-Morth Central Sample Numb Laboratory Sample Number		12105DR-6H 021808 -0014	021011-04 021008 -0005	21818-66 821888 -8886	(21010-6H (021008 -0007		12870-6W 1821888 1889	2075-9H 021008 -0010	001800-6W 821888 9881	.855-6H #21608 -8002	1265-6W 221008 -0003	3850-6W 821888 -3864	.2035-FB 821047 8001	21068-6W .821647 -8682	2205 8W 821847 -8883	2235-6HDUP 221047 -0004	2030-6W 821047 -0005	.2-194
Remarks															,			
Units		ug/L	i ug/L	-9/L	_ug/L	- Jg/L	ug/L	. ng/r	ا/ود ,	19/L	19/L	ug/L	Jg/L	_g/L	.q/L	ug/L	.ag/L	ug/L
	Quant. Limit									:			Field Blank	,	1	Ouplicate of LO-2035-6H	i I	Trip Blank
Phenoi	10		NA	!		1		-[-	-		1	}		##
bis(2-Chlaroethyl)ether	10		HA	1	ן טו				UL	Ų Ų L	į UL	l nr			!	!		NA
2-Chlorophenol	10		NA.	1) NA
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	10		NA.	.		·		-	- - 	ļ)] NA
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	10		NA		- 	ļ		-j										MA
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	10		NA.	-]										:	i			NA.
2-Methylphenoi	10	1) HA															NA
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)	10		NA.		ן טנ			<u> </u>	ן טנ	Į UL	UL	 UL		·	\ \	··	- 	KA.
4-Methylphenoi	10		MA	ur	-	 			-i				-[-	HA.
M-Mitroso-di-n-Propylamine	10		NA.	- 	- UL		·[UL	JL	ן טנ	ar	ļ		- i		-\	NA.
Hexachloroethane	10	 	#A	- 	- -			·-		 	- 				- 	\ \	-) HÀ
Mitrobenzene	10	 	HA.	- - 	-		- 		·•	-		-} 			-		-	HA.
Isophorone	10		NA.] -) II		- - -) UL	j ji	1 31	1 1						; HA
2-Mitraphenai	10		NA.				- 		-	1	-i 	-			1	·		NA.
2,4-Dimethylphenol	10		NA	1					·-	ļ	-			·-	1			#A
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	10		NA.	-} JL	u	-	-		UL	-)) ur	-j		···		i	-	HA
2,4-Dichlaraphenal	18	· 	NA.	·;	-i	- 	-	 		-	- 		 		 i		- 	 AH
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10		KA	- 		-1 	 	·^ 		-	- 	-	 	 	-j		-	 NA
Naphthalene	10	 	-	• 	- 	-	- 	 	[-	.		 	 46 0)	 		 	j MA
4-Chloroaniline	10	ar 		-,		-∤ j Ul		- L	 L	- 		- 		(HA
Hexachlorobutadiene	10		- MA			- -	 		·	i	\	i 			 		 	;
4-Chloro-3-Hethylphenol	10	i 	- NA	- 					 	 			 	 	: -	; 	 	
2-Methylnaphthalene	11	-{]- NA		·	-\ 	-			(666		·-		1866	\			

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS ERM-North Central Sampie Num Laboratory Sample Number	per .3	21860R-6W	2101L-6W 221008	 2191M-6W			2070-6W a21008 -a009	12875-6W 821068 -8010	12102D-6w 021008 -0001	2855-6W 821008 8807	2065-6W 821008 - 2003	`2060-6W #21008 -#004	2025 F8 021047 -0001	31865-6W 821047 8002	12035-6W .021047 -0003	12035-6HDUP . 021047 -0004	203D-6H 021047 0005	
Remarks					!	i i	·	i						· i			,	!
Units		ug/L	.g/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	Jg/L	, -g/L	 ug/L	-4/L	 ug/L	-g/L	ug/L	Jg/L	19/L	ug/L		jug/L
SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	Quant. Limit			 					 		 		Field Blank	-\ 		Duplicate of		Trip Blank
dexachlorocyclopentadiene	10		#A			! !			 							!	l	į NA
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10		NA.	! !					:				-			!		N/
2,4,5-Trichlorophenoi	25	ļ	NA.	!		1	 					-	ļ					N/
2-Chloronaphthalene	10		##								 					-		N
2-Mitroaniline	25	 	#A	UL) UL				UL	1 91	l ut	ut						N
Dimethylphthalate	10	 	, NA									-	1					N
Acenaphthy Lene	10		, NA	ļ			 											N
3-Mitroanuline	25		HA.			UL UL	 VL	JI.	ļ									, N
Acenaphthene	10		HA.			· 			·					72 J		·-		N
2,4-Dinitrophenol	25		NA.	·							-1					 		
4-Witrophenol	25	UL	NA															1
Dibenzofuran	10		NA.				\ 						 	76 3			- 	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	10		, NA				 			;					1		-!	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	10		; NA					.,				<u> </u>						
Diethylphthaiate	10	;)	NA															
4-Chlorophenylphenylether	10		HA															
Fluorene	10		KA							120 3	 			120				
4-Mitroaniline	25	j ut	NA.			l ur	l ut	11										
4,6-Dinitro-2-Methylphenol	25		NA.															
M-Mitrosodiphenylamine	10		14							!								
4-Bromophenylphenylether	10		MA									ļ						
Hexachiorobenzene	10		NA							-{	1							
Pentachiorophenoi	25	!	NA.												{ 			^-
Phenanthrene	18		, AA	1	1]	-1			1000	 3			310	 J		[{

-

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - HANLYTICAL RESULTS - HONITORING WELL DATA ERN-Morth Centra: Sample Mumber 13-)2106DR-6W|21011-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W |21018-6W | [021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021008 | 021007 | 021007 ; Laboratory Sample Mumber -2002 Remarks Units Jg/L ug/L ∍g/L ug/L , ug/L 19/1 |SENIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS \Field |Duplicate of Trip Quant. |8lank | limit | 8lank LO-2035-6W Anthracene 10 MA | NA. Carbozole 10 NA (MA UL |Oi-n-Butylphthalate NA | 18 U 10 U | 10 U 1 1 1 1 1 1 XA 10 | 10 U Fluorantheme 10 MA (Pyrene 10 MA | MA |Butylbenzylphthalate 10 NA | |3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine 10 4 MA | (Benzo(a)anthracene 10 MA |bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate 10 5 J 1 500 U 10 U | 10 U | NA | 10 U 10 U | 10 U | 2000 U Chrysene 18 HA ! NA Di-m-Octylphthalate 18 MA | NA | |Benzo(b)fluoranthene 10 MA | MA | (Benzo(k)fluoranthene 10 } MA ! MA | | Benzo(a)pyrene 10 44 MA. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene 10 NA | AH (Olbenz(a,h)anthracene 14 MA MA |Benzo(q,h,i)perylene 19 Quantitation limit Multiplier 1.00 ; 1.00 200 NA Date of Sample Collection 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/18/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 | 2/19/92 44 Date Sample Received by Laboratory | 2/19/92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]19]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]92 [2]2992 [2]29]92 [2]29]29 2/20/92 ЖA Date Sample Extracted 2/21/92 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/92 | 2/21/9 (2/22/92) MA (|Date of Sample Analysis 3/17/92 MA |3/15/92 |3/16/92 |3/19/92 |3/19/92 |3/19/92 |3/19/92 |3/16/92 |3/15/92 |3/15/92 |3/16/92 |3/17/92 |3/17/92 |3/19/92 |3/17/92 | Instrument Used for Analysis HA]4580 R [4500 R |4500 U |4500 U |4500 U |4500 U |4500 R |4500 R |4500 R |4500 R |4500 R |4500 R |

CLP - TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUND					NITORING H												-page 14
	200257-5 021008	200257-6 021008	200257-7 121018	200257-B 021008	200257-9 221008	200257-10 021008	200257-11 021008	21020-6W 200257-121 021008 -0001		200257-14	,021008		200258-2 021047		200258-4 421447	2830-6W 200258-5 821047 -0005	
Remarks			 	 	 				***************************************		i			;	(
Units	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	 ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	19/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	-g/L	lug/L	ug/L	jug/L	ug/L
COMPÓUNOS			 	 			 		VOAs Analyzed Three Times			Field	VOAs Analyzed Three Times		Duplicate of		Trip
VOLATILE COMPONENTS		 						 		 		-				 	
Blank Contamination	12 R		7 R		23 R	12 R	12 R	7 R	-/ -/ 41	R 5 R	5 R						
Cycloalkanes				,		 			274 3/ 394 3/	-	ļ		24 1/ - / 36 J				
Alkanes						[54 3/ 110 3/	-		1	300 J/ 72 J/220 J				
Substituted Benzene))	1]			1]		950 3			
Unknouns	 .\	6 J	 	 	 	 	14 J		420 J/ 25 J/ 32	-	16 J		160 J/ 810 J/150 J	1 46 J		12 J	6 J
SENIVOLATILE COMPONENTS		, HA	<u> </u> 	-	i !	!	1	ļ			<u> </u>		!	İ	ļ		
Blank Contamination					16 R	6 R		17 R			-	7 R		23 R	24 R	11 R	
Benzene-ethenyi	24 311						ļ				-						
Mexamoic acid 2-ethyl					2 JN		4 JM	19 J									
Oxygenated hydrocarbons	39 J		1							2 3							
Cyclohexane isomers							1		-/ 4000 J/	-							
Dimethylnaphthalene isomers		,							-/ 21006 3/				-/ 5500 J/	-			
Trimethylmaphthalene isomers							-1		-/ 19800 J/	-			-/ 1800 J/	-			
Siphenyl compounds									-/ 13000 J/	-			-/ 918 J/	-			
Aromatic compounds	11 J		3 J						-/ 9200 J/	- -			-/ 3500 J/	-			
Alkanes	17 3		[19]		43 J	[10]	18 J	140 J	-/120000 J/	-\ 5 i	1 1]] 3]	-/28000 J/	- 60 3	31] 2 J	1
Unknoun acids	11 J																
Unknowns	59 3		12 3		9)		19 3) 3)		1	1 10	3 }	-/ 46 J/	24	1) 11	3 4 3)

t t

-

1

RM-Worth Central Sample Number aboratory Sample Number		021908		21018-64 321008 -0006	, 221008		800156		-21020-6W -321008 -3001		1901908	2060-6 021008 0004	12035-FB 821047 , 2001	21865-6W 821847 -8082	12035-6H 821047 -0003		2830-6H ,821847 -8885	
emarks					1		!		!	,		:		1			!	
Inits		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	g/L	 ug/L	ag/L	ıg/L	.g/L	iag/L	Jg/L	Jg/L	j ug/L	-9/L	ug/L	ug/L	.ug/L
Įι	uant. imit			 	 		 						Field Blank	1	; ;	Duplicate of	 	Trip Blank
JKB-shqir	1.45	UL	UL	[UL) 11	UL.	UL	1 11	11	j u	ן טנ	JL	1					
peta-8HC	1.05	UL	 	Į UL	1	 		UL				UL						
ı	0.05	UL		UL				VL	1			ÜL						
pamma-BHC (Lindane)	1.05	UL	UL	UL	UL	l or	UL		1) UL	UL	ן ענ						1
Septachlor	1.05	UL		J UL				JL			1	UL.						
	0.05	UL		UL.		1		[JL				ا تا	l					
leptachlor Epoxide	1.05	UL		UL				JL JL				UL			ļ		-	
ndosulfan I	4.45	UL		UL				j st	ļ			VL	1				- -	
ieldrin	1.10	บเ)	UL.				51]]) UL]		1			
1	1.11	UL	 	UL				{ 3L	1			(VL	1	1		1		
	0.10	UL		UL				i ut				UL			1			
	0.10	UL		ן טנ	ļ			UE	İ			UL						
,	F.10	l nr		n				4	ı	i	1	ļ VL	Ì		ļ			
ndosulfan Sulfate	0.10	J UL		ગ				JL	i	-		UL	 		ļ			1
1	8.18	1	UL	Jt	į u) at	UL	-1	j ji	UL	UL	j ji				 		
ethoxychlor	8.50	Vi		ชเ				ן טו	í			VL						i
ndrin Ketone	0.10) OF		JL				UL				UL		1				
	0.10	UL.		UL				UL	!			UL						
lpha-Chlordane	1.51	 UL		UL				j ut			I	UL						
amma-Chlordane	0.50	UL		UL				_ii	}			i UL		\				!
Toxaphene	1.0	 UL		j Jt	- 	. [- -1	-j JL				- UL			-	!	;	

{

Aluminum P	Detection Limit 66.0 131.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	70tal : 2126#1 : ug/L :	75tdi 212681 ug/L 7850 UL 7.7 J		1064 212661 109/\(\text{V} \) 109/\(\text{V} \)	Total;	'otal 2126#1 ugjt 1140# J	Tota:	Total
INITS EMORGANIC ELEMENTS D Aluminum P Antimony P Arsenic F Barium P	Detection timit 66.8 31.8 2.0 1.0	ug/L 47680 J UL 44.8 J	7858 UL	128 6	ug/l Field Blank	39/t	2126 0 1 ug/t	Ug/L Duplicate of LO-2035-6H	29/t
INITS INORGANIC ELEMENTS D Numinum P Intimony P Arsenic F Barium P	Detection timit 66.8 31.8 2.0 1.0	47880 3 UL 44.8 J 1418 J	7459 UL 7.7 J	1280 UL	 Field Blank 	3320	ugjt	Duplicate of	2911
Aluminum P	Limit	47800 J UL 44.8 J 1418 J	UL 7.7 J	1286 UL	81ank 		11460 3	LO-2035-6H -	371
Antiaony P Arsenic F Barium P	2.0	UL 44.8 J 1410 J	UL 7.7 J		 UL		11400 J	15100 J	371
Arsenic F	2.0	44.8 J	1.7 J		UL				1
	1.0	1410 J		1.6 3		: ۷۰	UL	UL	UL
	1.0		43.7	1	UL	23.6 3	41.7 3	43.4 J	5.4 3
1		2.2.1	43.1	46.6		168	81.5	92.4	30.7
	1.0	, •				i 1			
Cadmium P		1.6 J	1.1 J	UL	UL UL	, VI	ΨL	Ut.	UL
1	92.4	955 000 J	150000	217800	226	166000	234666	252888	186666
Chromium P		82.2 J	32.1	5.5		24.9	28.2	32.2	6.6
Cobalt P[55)	3.7				18.3	19.6	
Capper P	3.0	16.1 J	102		1	13.7	30.3	33.8	
l.	35.0	127 000 J	19300	8144		7430	427 40	48000	2970
Lead F	2.0	532 J	26.3	6.2 U	2.2	564	31	35.7	3.6 u
Magnesium P	69.0	544 00 J	75400	114000	02.7	B6188	130000	142000	96544
Manganese P		3920 J	386	206	Į VI	167	614	686	57.9
	6.20	1.57 3				0.38 R	€,24 J		
Nickel P	1.1	85.8 J	24.6	4.7		19.6	50.7	58.1	5.3
Potassium P	76.0	276 00 J	7110	5960	!	25000	5420 J	667 6 J	1360
Selenium F	4.6	UL (5x)		UL				UL	UL
Silver P	5.0	30.6 J	, UL	8	UL		UL	UL	9.4
l.	34.D	170000 J	24900	87900	130	249000	22500	20500 3	44600
Thallium F	3.0	UL	 	UL		UL (5x)	UL.		UL
Vanadium P	3.0	79.8 J	15.4	3.5		13	22.1	24.2	
Zinc P	11.0	168 J	44.6 J	nr	UL	25.3 J	39.5	108	21.9 3

- Analyte was not detected.

U This analyte should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level. R Unreliable result - Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.] Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review. Ul This analyte was not detected, but

the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review. MA Not analyzed.

(#x) This element was analyzed for, but not detected; however, due to sample dilutions, the reported detection limit is equal to the "normal" detection limit multiplied by the factor in parentheses.

MALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma
- F Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
- AS Auto Analyzer

RM-Morth Central Sam aboratory Sample Mum		LO-2055-6W 02128-015	LO-2065-6W 02128-025	10~206D=6H 02128=03S	.0-2035-FB .02128-045	.0-2186S-6W ,02128-053S	:0-2035-6W ,02128-06S	10-2035-6HDUP 02128-075	10-2030-6W 02128-085
Remarks		 Filtered	filtered	Filtered	Filtered	Filtered	Filtered	 Filtered	filterea
Sample Delivery Group		 212801	212801	712801	212801	212801	212801	212801	212801
Units		ug/l				 ug/L	ug/L	 ug/L	i ug/L
INDRGANIC ELEMENTS	Detection Limit	 			Field Blank		 	Duplicate of LO-2035-6W	-
Aluminum	P 56.0								
Antimony	P 31.0	1				!			
Arsenic	F 2.0	52 J	2.4 J	2.6 3			3.3 J		3.9 J
Barium	P 1.1	230	14.9	37.2		182	14.9	14.9	29.8
Beryllium	P 1.0	1.6 U	UL	บเ	JL	UL UL	UL UL	l UL	UL
Cadmium	P 1.0								
Calcium	P 92.0	186000	74400	149800	237	123000	92000	94000	186000
Chromium	P 2.0	ן שנ	บเ	j UL	UL	UL	UL.	UL	nr
Cobait	P 3.1								
Copper	P 3.0		12.6 U			 	 		
[ron	P 35.0	12800	38.8	2478		367			2140
lead	F) 2.0	2.8 U	2.0		1.5 3	2.4 0	1.4 U	1.7 U	1 8
Magnesium	P 69. ₽	51900	30500	75400		60700	44600	45900	97600
Kanganese	P 1.8	382	35.4	10.3	3.1	42.2	12.7 U	15.8	37.5
Rercury	C 0.20					0.86 R			,
Nickel	P 4.4	9.4 U					8.9 U	7.1 0	
Potaccium	P) 76.4	2424	5374	5200	199	25600	2860	2200	4554
Selenium	F 4.0	UL	UL.	UL	JL	VL		[UL
Silver	P 5.0								-
Sodium	P 34.8	170000	24800	83900	491	264000	24200	26400 J	48200
Thallium	F] 3.0	UL.		U1					
Vanadium	P 3.0						([
Zinc	P 11.8			13.8 U	14.8			·-	-
		· , —————							

- Analyte was not detected.

U This analyte should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level. R Unreliable result - Analyte may or may not be present in this sample. 3 Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review. Ut This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review. NA Not analyzed.

(#x) This element was analyzed for, but not detected; however, due to sample dilutions, the reported detection limit is equal to the "normal" detection limit multiplied by the factor in parentheses.

ANALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma
- F Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
- AS Auto Analyzer

MORGANIC ANALYSIS	AMALYTICA	L RESULTS - "OT	AL MONITORING WEL	L CATA				.,			page 3
RM-North Central Sam aboratory Sample Num			LO~2085-6HOUP 02125-125	10-2106-0R-6H 02125-135	LD-2101L-6W (02125-14S	.0-2101N-6W .02125-15S	_0-21010-6H _02125-16S	10-21021-6W 02125-173	. 10-2070-6H . 12125-183	0-2075-6W _02125-195	.2-21020-66 02125-205
emarks		Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	' Total	Total	Total	Total	otal .
Sample Delivery Group		212501	212501	212501	212501	212501	, 212501	212501	212581	212501	212501
inits		ug/L	ug/L	Jg/L	l ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	ug/L
NORGANIC ELEMENTS	Detection Limit	 	Duplicate of LO-2085-GH								1
luminum	P 20.0	13500 J	9300 J	1900 J	940 J	227 00 J	731 J	1730 3	1030 J	5200 J	434 J
ntimony	P 8.0	UL	Af	UL		UL UL	UL	UL	UL	ן טנ	UL
rsenic	F] 2.0	10.5 J	8.4 J	, R	R	3.3 J	R	18.2 J	2.1 J	6.9 J	10.7 J
arium	P 4.0	178 J	160 J	117	23.4	126 J	28.4	53	38.2	123 J	51.8
eryllium	P 1.0	2.6 0	2.1 0	ענ	บเ	1.6 U	ar	υL	VL	\ VL	n
	P 1.0	UL	UL		2.1 U	UL	1.3 U			UL UL	
alcium	P 39.0	549000 J	437000 J	161008	116000	[637000 J	115000	184900	174000	361000 3	127080
hromium	P) 4.0	38.2 J	25.7 J	Ut	UL] 38.9 J	UL	13.2 J	UL	17.1 J	12.2
obalt	P 3-0	22.4 J	19.5 J			34.4 J	9.8	4		9.8 3	
	P 3.0	56.2 J	44.6 J	3.2		82.9 J	3.4	12.4	3.7	16.6 J	9.2
ren	P 11.8	37500 J	27900 J	4780	3080	101000 J	2060	10500	3840	16800 J	5570
ead	F 1.9	86.5 J	54 J	4.9 U	3.7 U	25.4 U	3 U	, 8.7 U	4.3 U	10.4 U	. 6 U
agnesium	P 49.0	313000 J	239000 J	75300	59900	393000 J	69100	99700	92460	198000 J	54700
anganese	P 1.0	1280 J	1970 J	172	12.1	2010 J	39.7	362	92.3	152 J	182
lercury	C 0.20	UL	VL			l UL	1			VL	1
ickel	P 4.0	51.6 J	43 J	6.4		76.3 J		14.9		20.4 J	15.8
otassium	P 130	9950 J	8840 J	14300 3	355# J	11300 J	365# J	6080 J	43 00 J	7600 J	5190 J
elenium	F 4.#	R (5x)	 R -	R	R	R (5x)	R	, R 	R	R (5)) R
ilver	P 2.0	UL	 	UL	UL	UL	UL	UL	UL	UL	, U
odium	P 38.8	145000 J	145000 J	154800 J	8380 J	6420 J	18484	137000	80000	478000 J	139000
hallium	F 2.4	ut	UL	UL	UL UL	UL	UL	VL	UL	. VL	. u
anadium	P] 2.0	30.3 J	21.4 J	3.8 U	2.3 U	45.4 J	3.4 U	7.8 U	4.3 U	14.3 U	4.1 (
inc	P 4.8	125 J	189 J	23.5 U	16.6 U	268 J	13.5 U	26.6 U	15.6 U	48 J	15.3 L
yanide A	S 10.0	ÜL	; UL	UŁ	UL UL	UL	, UL	UL	i üt	. UL	; u

Compound was not detected. This compound should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level. Unreliable result - Compound may or may not be present in this sample. Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review. This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review. Not analyzed. x) This element was analyzed for, but not detected; however, due to sample dilutions, the reported detection limit is equal to the "normal"

MALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma
- F Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption

detection limit multiplied by the factor in parentheses.

AS - Auto Analyzer

				!							
ERM-Worth Central Sam Caboratory sample Mum		r (18-2085-6H 02127-113	.0-2085-6WDUP .02127-125	.0-21060R-6W 02127-13S	10-2101L-6W 02127-14S	1 01818-он 80107-151	.0-21010-6# .02127-163	.00-21021-3w .02127-178	.3-2070-6w .02127 135	.0-2075-6w .02127-195	0-2107D-GW 02127-20S
Remarks		Filteres	Filterea	Filtered	Filterea	-iltered	filtered	_Filtered	filtered	filteres	filtered
Sample Delivery Group		212701	2127#1	212701	212761	. 212701	212701	212701	217741	212701	212701
Units		ug/l	ug/\	ug/L	ug/L	, ugji	ngst	indir	, ugjt	ug/L	ugil
INORGANIC ELEMENTS	Detecti		Ouplicate of LO-2085-6W			i	Ì				!
luninum	P 66.0	68.4	88.3 J			İ		 			
Antimony	P 31.0		UL			1	1	į	İ	İ	
Arsenic	F 2.0] 3	UL	 				7.5 3			1
	P 1.0	66.5 3	66.7 J	113 1	19.2 J	17.6 3	27.4 J	41.6 J	32.6 3	96.2 J	45.9 J
	P 1.0		UL		1						
	P 1.0		UL	1							
Calcius	92.0	147000	147800 J	13000	122000	111000	119000	115000	170000	220001	112000
promine	P 2.0] 3 W	UL UL			2.2 U					
	₽ 3.0	3.7	l ur				8.2			1	
Capper	P 3.0		l vi			5.1					
	P 35.0	642 J	746 J	1450 J	814 J	;	1050 J	497 J	167 0 J	536)	1820 J
	F 2.0	1.7 U	2.1 U	1.6 U	2.8 U	1.7 U	2.3 U	2.2 U	2.8 U		2 U
	P 69.0	75000	, 76500 J	56300	63600	5740	61380	59200	88400	112000	56780
Manganese	P) 1.8	125	141 3	75.3	23.4	; 8.9 U	34.6	152	18.8	. 49.2	112
Mercury	0.20	 						_ <u> </u>			İ
Mickel	P 4.8	11.4	18.9 J	1.5	1.2	4.8		4.5		4.8	6.3
Potassium	P 76.4	5050	4620 J	14800	3920	2110	3448	5771	4110	553€	5460
Selenium	E 4.4	ענ	UL	; UL	UL	Ut	יין טנ			1. UL	וו
	P 5.0		, 41)					1	
Sodium	P 34.0	164000 J	157000 J	172000 J	935 0 J	\$890	10800	147000	86540	495080	147000
Thallium	f 3.1	R	R	R	R	ļ R	R	Į R	,	R R	R
	P 3.1		UL								
	P 11.8		UL.								
Cyanide #	S 10.0	NA.	NA.		A HA	i NA	, K 1	n i n	A	HA I N	A İ NA

- Analyte was not detected.

This analyte should be considered

Thot-detected since it was detected
in a blank at a similar level.

R Unreliable result - Analyte may or
may not be present in this sample.

Quantitation is approximate due to
limitations identified during the
quality assurance review.

Ut This analyte was not detected, but
the quantitation limit is probably
higher due to a low bias identified
during the quality assurance review.

NA Mot analyzed.

(0x) This element was analyzed for, but not detected; however, due to sample dilutions, the reported detection limit is equal to the "normal" detection limit multiplied by the factor in parentheses.

MALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma
- F Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
- AS Auto Analyzer

INORGANIC ANALYSIS -	ANALYTICA	IL RESULTS - TOT	AL MONITORING WELL (DATA							-page 5	1
ERM-Morth Central Sam Laboratory Sample Num		L0-2015-F8 02125-015	,	10-2010-6W 02125-03S		10-2045- Gu dup	LD-2040-6H 02125-065	LO-2025-6H D2125-075		 LO-2020-6H 02125-095	LO-2085-FB 02125-105	!
Remarks		Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	1
Sample Delivery Group		212501	212501	212501	212501	212501	212501	212501	212501	212501	212501	1
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	HOT
INORGANIC ELEMENTS	Detection Limit	,		 		Ouplicate of 10-2045-6W		 		 	Field Blank	- U
Aluminum I	20.0		68700 J	233 J	11900 3	20500 J	4940 1	34500 1	863 1	1840 J		
Antimony	8.0	UL.	UL	UL	ן טנ	UL	UL	j VL	VL	13.2 J	UL]]
Arsenic	2.0	į R	35.7 3	3.1 J	47.1 3	92 J	5.1 J	8.5 3	R	3 3	R	- -
Barium	P 4.0		481 3	32	115 J	150 J	12.1	194 J	85.1	64.1 J		1 4
Beryllium	1.0	1 1	7.6 U	UL	2.6 U	1.6 U	UL	1.6 U	UL.	i UL	UL.	-1 -1
Cadmium	1.0		UL		UL UL	1.2 U		ar		1 1 0		(
Calcium	39.0	192	1020000 J	177000	383000 J	552000 J	246000	542000 J	167000	197 000 J	251	1
Chronium	P 4.0	UL	117 J	VL.	25.7 3	39.5 3	4.3	107 J	UL	1.23	UL	-[
Cobalt	P 3.1		91.8 J		16.1 J	24.7 J	6.3	43 J		4.6 J		-
Capper	P 3.0		212 3		42 J	80.4 3	10.1	126 J	3.3	16 J		1
Iron	P 11.0		192000 J	3000	40200 3	59400 J	10200	129000 J	5520	6120 J		
lead	F 1.0	5.1 J	126 J	1.5 0	112 J	173 J	11.4 U	55.4 J	5.8 U	1.6 U	2 3	- 88
Nagnesiua	P 49.0	83.8	597000 J	96688	329000 J	446000 J	137666	312000 J	87688	104000 J	132	1
Hanganese	2 1.0		4650 J	86	1170 3	1570 J	263	1780 J	194	161 J	 	-
Rercury	0.20		0.31 J		VL	UL		UL UL	 	DL		-1
Mickel	P 4.0		164 J		42.2 3	72.4 J	8.9	194 J	4.3	14.9 3		-l
Potassium	P 130		20400 J	3880 J	49700 J	54100 J	7320 J	14200 J	8940 J	6050 J		-
Selenium	F 4.0	l t	R	R	R (Sx)	1 (5x)	R	R (5z) •	-	R	
Silver	P 2.0	VL VL	UL	UL	UL	UL	UL	UL	-	UL.	u	 L
Sodium	P 38.0	162	252000 J	31300	803600 J	790000 J	153888	198000 J	182000	84600 J	281	!
Thailius	F 2.0	UL	VL	UL UL	UL (5x)	UL (5x	-){	UL UL	UI	. UL	u	 l
Vanadium	P 2.0		130 J	4.2 U	33.2 J	48.9 J	8.9 U	80.8 3	5.8 8	 6.5 U		
Zinc	P 4.0	9 3	386 J	11.8 U	251 J	364 J	37 U	301 J	13.1 U	31.3 U	6.1 J	-
Cyanide A	S 10.0	UL	UL (1.7x)) UE	44.9 3	43.7 J	Į VL	UL	UI UI	L UL	 U	-
		-	-									i

- Analyte was not Jetected.

Unis analyte should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.

Unreliable result - Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.

J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.

Ut This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

NA Not analyzed.

(\$2) This element was analyzed for, but not detected; however, due to sample dilutions, the reported detection limit is equal to the "normal" detection limit multiplied by the factor in parentheses.

AMALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma F - Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
- AS Auto Analyzer

INDRGANIC ANALYSIS	AMALYTICA	L RESULTS - FI	LTERED MONITORING W	ELL DATA							-page 6	
ERM-North Central Sai Laboratory Sample Nu		LO-2015-FB B2127-01	LO-2015-6H 02127-025		LO-2045-GH 02127-045	,10-2045-GHOUP 02127-055	.g-2040-6# 02127-065	.0-2025-6W 02127-075	,02127-085	LO-2020-6H 02127-095	.0-2085-FB ,02127-105	l
Remarks		Filtered	Filtered	Filtered	Filtered	Filtered	Filteres	filtered	ı	 filterea	filterea	
Sample Delivery Group)	212701	212701	212701	212701	212701	212701	212701	212701	212701	212701	1
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/t	ug/L	i ug/L	ug/L	ug/i	ug/L	ug/L	! : Hotes:
INORGANIC ELEMENTS	Detection		 			Ouplicate of LO-2045-6W					Field Blank	#UIES
Aluminum	P 66.0					171		170	81			1 R
Antimony	P 31.0	; 							 -			• 3
	F 2.0	 	15.5] 3 J	31.2	23.2				 		-
Barium	P 1.6	 	99.8 3	27.2 J	42.6 J	43.2 J	54.7 J	52 J	84.8 J	45.9 J		UL
	Pj 1.8	 					ļ -	 				İ
Cadmium	P 1.0	! ! !	-						l			HA (#x
	P 92.8	 	152000	176000	203000	20000	156000	151600	141000	159000	126	
Chronium	P 2.0	 				2.9 U				 		
	P 3.0) 		 	 		 	-			1
Copper	P 3.0	 	 		 	 	<u> </u>	 				
Iron	P 35.0	 	1840 J	2180 J	473 J	873 J	1986 J	1610 J	2260 J	2310 J	47.3 J	
Lead	F 2.0	1.7 3			1.1 U	1.8 U	1.1 U	2.6 U	1.4 8	1.7 U	2.1	ANAL
Magnesium	P 69.8		78300	95100	224000	221000	78500	72900	78180	80100		
 Manganese 	P) 1.6	1.5	314	63.7	363	360	50.4	284	187	55.7	1.3	
 Mercury 	C 0.20	, 										
 Mickel 	9 4.6		6.9		9.9	12.3		11.1				
 Potassium 	P 76.0	 	5510	3920	49900	49300	4968	5380	9370	5310	117	1
 Selenium 	F 4.0	ן טנ		l VL	nr	UL	i nr	1		Į UL		1
	P] 5.0			5.4	16 R	15.5 R						-
 Sodium 	P 34.0	172	258000	32300	861000	852960	165000	195000	199888	89100	199	
Thallium	F 3.0	R	R (Sx)	R (5x)	R (5x)	R (5x) [R (5x	R	R	2	R	
Vanadium	P1 3.0				5	5.3						-1
Zinc	P 11.0		-			14.6 U						
Cyanide	AS 10.0	NA	NA NA	IA.	i NA	AA.	NA.	i		AK T	1 10	d .

- Analyte was not detected.

Units analyte should be considered inct-detected since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.

Unreliable result - Analyte may or may not be present in this sample.

Quantitation is approximate due to

limitations identified during the quality assurance review.
UL This analyte was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified

during the quality assurance review.

MA Not analyzed.

(Ex) This element was analyzed for, but not detected: however, due to sample dilutions, the reported detection limit is equal to the "normal" detection limit multiplied by the factor in parenthesis.

ANALYTICAL METHOD:

- P Inductively Coupled Plasma
- F Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- CV Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
- AS Auto Analyzer

- 1

EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYS	TRACTABLE ORGANIC ANALYSIS - ANALYTICAL RESULTS - MONITORING WELL DATA - page															-page		
		2106DR-6W 821888 -8814					12070-6W 021008 0009		101820-64 821888 9881			801115		11865-6W 821847 -8882	12035-6W 021047 -0003	321047	:2030-6W :021047 :-0005	
Remarks		!	!							*								; -
Juste 39/F		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	19/L	1g/L	ug i L	-3/1	-9/L	-3/L	-9/L	-9/L	Jg/L	ug/L	, ug/L	.¢/L	ug/L	ug/L
ARDCLORS	Quant. Limit				 	1	:			,			Field Blank			Duplicate of iO-2035-6W	•	Trip Blank
Aroclar-1016	1.0	l UL		Ar				UL	ļ			טנ 💮			!			N
Aroclor-1221	2.0	UL		UL	 		¦	UL				U						1
Aroclar-1232	1.1	UL		Ut	ļ			j UL				Ut				 		
Arociar-1242	1.5	\ UL		l ut				ן ענ	1	\$ \$ \$) VL	1	160))	
Aroclar-1248	1.5	ן טנ		UL				i VL		!		ગા				1	!	,
Aroclor-1254	1.0	ן טנ) UL	!	1		ļ UL	1			i ir						
Aroclor-1260	1.0	UL		UL		 		UL		51	 -	UL		97 J	 			
Quantitation Limit Multiplier 1.04		1.04	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.84	1.11	1.85	1.84	22	1.14	1.06	1.14	110	1.12	1.16	1.14	
		2/18/92		1 1												2/19/92	2/19/92	-
Date Sample Received by Laboratory 2/			1	2/19/92	II.	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/19/92	2/20/92	2/20/92	2/20/92	2/20/92	
Date Sample Extracted		2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	2/21/92	1		1	1				+	2/24/92	1	2/24/92	2/24/92	
Date of Sample Analysis		3/6/92	3/6/92	3/6/92	. 3/6/92	3/6/92	3/6/92	13/6/92	3/5/92	.2/6/92	3/6/92	3/6/92	3/6/92	3/12/92	3/12/92	3/12/92	3/12/92	-

NOTES:

- Compound was not detected.
- U This compound should be considered "not-detected" since it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- R Unreliable result Compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review.
- UL This compound was not detected, but the quantitation limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.
- NA Not analyzed.

1